## METROPOLITAN DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA





Department of Environmental Resources Ma 33 S.W. 2nd Avenue	anagement							
Miami, FL. 33130-1540								
SEND TO:								
Nama: +HERESO HERON								
Company/Department:								
Phone Number:								
Fax Number: 850 - 922 - 6979								
Massage:								
Thinks.								
	PRIT							
	DARWE							
	EVA							
FROM:								
Name: EVA RUNASA								
Division/Section: An Francis Section	•							
Phone Number: (205) 372-6926								
Fax Number: (305) 372-6954								
Date: July 15, 1998								



### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### REGION IV

JUL 8 1980

345 COURTLAND STREET ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308

REF: 4AH-AP

Mr. Albert W. Townsend Coordinator of Ecological Planning Lonestar Florida/Pennsuco, Inc. P. O. Box 122035 Palm Village Station Hialeah, Florida 33012 RECEIVED

Dept. of Environmental Reg. West Palm Beach

Re: PSD-FL-050 Fuel Conversion on 3 Kilns

Dear Mr. Townsend:

Review of your February 11, 1980 application to construct a coal handling facility and to convert three existing kilns to coal firing (PSD-FL-050) near Hialeah, Florida has been completed. The construction is subject to rules for the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) of air quality, contained in 40 CFR 52.21.

It has been determined that the modification, as described in the application meets all applicable requirements of the PSD regulations, subject to the conditions in the conclusion section to the Final Determination (enclosed). EPA has performed the Preliminary Determination concerning the proposed modification, and published a request for public comment on May 29, 1980.

Only one comment was received, that being from your company. In response to that comment, condition 11 has been reworded to clarify the exact definition of what averages constitute a compliance performance test for each of the various pollutants.

Authority to construct a modification to a Stationary Source is hereby issued, subject to the conditions in the Final Determination. This Authority to Construct is based solely on the requirements of 40 CFR 52.21, the Federal regulations governing significant deterioration of air quality. It does not apply to other permits issued by this agency or permits issued by other agencies.

Information regarding EPA permitting requirements can be provided if you contact Mr. Joe Franzmathes, Director, Office of Program Integration and Operations, at (404) 881-3476. Additionally, construction covered by this Authority to Construct must be initiated within 18 months from the receipt of this letter.

The United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit issued a ruling (December 14, 1979) in the case of Alabama Power Co. vs. Douglas H. Costle (78-1006 and consolidated cases) which has significant impact on the EPA Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program and permits issued thereunder. The ruling will require modification of the PSD regulations and could affect permits issued under the existing program. You are hereby advised that this permit may be subject to reevaluation. Please be advised that a violation of any condition issued as part of this approval, as well as any construction which proceeds in material variance with information submitted in your application will be subject to enforcement action.

Authority to Construct will take effect on the date of this letter. The complete analysis which justifies this approval has been fully documented for future reference, if necessary. Any questions concerning this approval may be directed to Kent Williams, Chief, New Source Review Section (404) 881-4552.

Sinceraly yours,

Thomas W. Devine Director Air and Hazardous Materials Division

TWD:JWP:clu

Enclosure

S. Smallwood Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Williams

PSD-FL-050 Final Determination

#### I. Applicant

Lonestar Florida/Pennsuco, Inc. Cement and Aggregate Division P. O. Box 122035 Palm Village Station Hialeah, Florida 33012

#### II. Location

The proposed modification is located at the applicant's existing Portland Cement Plant at 11000 N.W. 121 Street, Hialeah (Dade County), Florida. The UTM coordinates are: Zone 17-562.75 km East and 2861.65 km North.

#### III. Project Description

The applicant proposes to convert fuel used in kilns #1, #2, and #3 from the permitted gas or oil firing to coal firing. Each kiln has one emission point. The coal to be fired will have a maximum sulfur content of 2 percent.

Further, the applicant proposes to construct a coal handling system with four (4) emission points. Each of these points are to be controlled by baghouse dust collectors.

A summary of new and modified facilities is shown in Table 1.

## IV. Source Impact Analysis

Table 2 summarizes the total potential to emit (uncontrolled) from the proposed modification. The proposed modification has the potential to emit greater than 100 tons per year of particulates (TSP) and sulfur dioxide (SO $_2$ ). Therefore, in accordance with the provisions of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 52.21 (40 CFR 52.21) promulgated June 19, 1978, a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) review is required for each of these pollutants.

TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF PROJECT

Facilities	Operating Capacity, Tons/Hour Input	Fuel	Process Weight Tons/Hour	Product Cement Clinker Tons/Hour
New Coal Handling				
Mill A	23	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mill B	ገ5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Feedbin & Elevator	150 <sup>a</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hopper & Weight Feeder	150 <sup>8</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Modified (After)	Feed	Coal (T/hr)		
#1 Kiln	40.5	7.5	48 <sup>C</sup>	25
#2 Kiln	40.5	7.5	48 <sup>C</sup>	25
#3 Kiln	141.75 <sup>b</sup> ,	23		87.5
•		38		137.5
Modified (Before)	·	Gas (MMCF/hr)		
#1 Kiln	40.5	.18	40.5 <sup>C</sup>	25
#2 Ki]n	40.5	.18	40.5 <sup>C</sup>	25
#3 Kiln	141.75 <sup>b</sup>	.54		87.5
		-90		137.5

a Intermittent capacity since average capacity equals the sum of the two mills (38 tons/hr).

Basis of particulate emission standard - standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS); 40 CFR 60 Subpart F.

Basis of particulate emission standard ~ Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP); 17-2.05 (2) FAC.

2

PSD~FL~050

The change in potential nitrogen oxide emissions due to the modification are not quantified. Without data to the contrary, the applicant has assumed the modification is subject to PSD review for nitrogen oxides. All other regulated pollutants are not subject to PSD review because potential emissions increase by less than 100 tons per year.

Full PSD review consists of:

- 1. Control Technology Review
- 2. Air Quality Review
  - a. Impact upon Ambient Air Quality
  - b. Impact upon Increment
  - c. Impact upon Soils, Visibility and Vegetation
  - d. Impact\_upon Class I Areas
- 3. Growth Analysis

Table 3 summarizes allowable emissions and the various categories of changes that determine the level of PSD review required under the regulations. Each type of facility and each pollutant is classified.

Line E of Table 3 shows that TSP has increased allowable emissions of less than 50 tons per year. With no limits placed upon operating time, 50 tons per year is more restrictive than the additional 100 pounds per hour or 1000 pounds per day criteria. Therefore, consistent with the provisions of 40 CFR 52.21(j) and (k), PSD review for particulates is limited to:

- 1. Ensuring compliance with State Implementation Plans (SIP) and Federal Regulations (40 CFR Parts 60 and 61), and
- Impacts upon Class I areas and upon areas of known increment violation.

Table 3 shows that  ${\rm SO}_2$  increased allowable emissions of 562 tons per year requires full PSD review.

TABLE 2
APPLICABILITY SUMMARY

Potential	to Emit	(Uncontr	olled), To	ns/Year
TSP	<u>50</u> 2	MO*	<u>co</u>	<u>HC</u>
. 25100 <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	0
137313 <sup>b</sup>	672 <sup>C</sup>	(d)	Negl.	Negl.
137313 <sup>b</sup>	' 50 <sup>e</sup>	(d)	Negl.	Negl .
25100	562	(d)	Neg1.	Negl .
N/A	97	N/A	6.6	38
25100	659	(d)	6.6	38
	75P 25100 <sup>a</sup> 137313 <sup>b</sup> 137313 <sup>b</sup> 25100 N/A	TSP SO <sub>2</sub> 25100 <sup>a</sup> 0  137313 <sup>b</sup> 612 <sup>c</sup> 137313 <sup>b</sup> 50 <sup>e</sup> 25100 562  N/A 97	TSP SO2 MOX  25100 <sup>a</sup> 0 0  137313 <sup>b</sup> 612 <sup>c</sup> (d)  137313 <sup>b</sup> 50 <sup>e</sup> (d)  25100 562 (d)  N/A 97 N/A	TSP SO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>x</sub> CO  25100 <sup>a</sup> 0 0 0  137313 <sup>b</sup> 612 <sup>c</sup> (d) Negl.  137313 <sup>b</sup> 50 <sup>e</sup> (d) Negl.  25100 562 (d) Negl.  N/A 97 N/A 6.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Calculated from vender guaranteed controlled emissions (5.7 lb/hr) and assumed 99.9% efficiency.

b Based on AP-42 Table 8.6-1 uncontrolled emissions 228 pounds of particulate per ton on cement ash in coal is absorbed in the cement product. Substantially less kiln feed ash in required for coal burning.

C Potential emissions is based on the proposed allowable emission rate which is based on absorption of SO<sub>2</sub> in the clinker of 91.3 percent in kilns #1 and #2 and 98.7 percent in kiln #3.

d The change in nitrogen oxides emissions are not quantified. Without data to the contrary, the applicant assumed PSD review applies. (See discussion in Section IV. A.4).

e Based upon test results on existing facilities.

f Source is subject to PSD review for specific pollutant if potential increased by 100 tons/year or more.

TABLE 3
ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS, TONS PER YEAR
(No Limits Upon Hours Per Year)

Fac	ilities	TSP	205	NOX	
A.	New or Reconstructed	25.4			
в.	Modified (After)	468.2	. 612	<2624ª	
c.	Modified (Before)	460.3	50	2624	
D.	Increases from Modified	7.9	552	NONE	
Ε.	Increase New and Modified (A&D)	33.3	<b>562</b>	NONE	

The applicant will determine minimum NO<sub>X</sub> emission rates with performance tests following start-up. The proposed allowable represent the maximum allowable rate.

3

PSD-FL-050

It should be noted that the application was reviewed under the Partial Stay of PSD Regulations, published February 5, 1980 and the proposed revisions to the PSD regulations referenced in that partial stay. It was determined that the exemption outlined in the partial stay does not apply and that the proposed modification is subject to review under existing PSD regulations (promulgated 6/19/78) because:

- The existing source is a major source of particulates as defined in the September 5, 1979 proposed revised regulations (greater than 100 tons of allowable emissions), and the proposed modification would significantly (greater than 10 tons per year) increase allowable emissions of particulates.
   And further,
- 2. The proposed modification alone is making the source a major modification because sulfur dioxide emissions increase by greater than 100 tons per year, irrespective of the sulfur dioxide emissions from the existing source.

#### A. Control Technology Review

Although these facilities are exempt from a Best Available Control Technology (BACT) review for the specific pollutants (TSP) and NO<sub>X</sub>, they are required to meet all applicable emission limits and standards of performance under the Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP) and Federal Regulations (40 CFR Parts 60 and 61). In addition, and as discussed later in this section, the modification is subject to BACT review for SO<sub>2</sub>. Several of the facilities proposed for construction are subject to Federal New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and/or requirements under the Florida SIP. These requirements are referenced in Table 4 which summarizes the allowable emission limits for the proposed emission limits for the proposed new and modified facilities. Only the most stringent requirement of (1) NSPS. (2) Florida SIP, (3) Florida permit, or (4) allowable limit proposed by the applicant is listed.

The limitations upon emissions of nitrogen oxides from the three kilns were proposed by the applicant and are conditions of this permit to ensure the

TABLE 4
SUMMARY OF ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS LIMITS

Facility/Pollutant	Basis for Requirement	Emissions Limits Standard	ibs/hr	
23 Tan Mill				
TSP .	Proposed by Applicant, Florida BACT	<.01 grains/ACF	< 3.1	
Opacity	NSPS Subpart Y (40 CFR 60.252)	<20%	-	
15 Ton Mill				
TSP	Same	<.01 grains/ACF	<2.1	
Opacity	- Same	<20%	-	
Feedbin & Elevator	·	•	•	
TSP	Same	<.01 grains/ACF	. <0.3	
Opacity	Same	≺20%	-	
Hopper & Weight Feeder				
TSP	Same	<pre>.01 grains/ACF</pre>	≤0.3	
Opacity	Same .	<b>&lt;20%</b>	-	
#1 Kila			•	
TSP	Florida SIP, Operating Permit	Florida Process Weight Equation	<32.2	
so <sub>2</sub>	Proposed by Applicant as BACT	<2% S in Coal, 2.27 lbs/ton <sup>a</sup>	<u>&lt;</u> 56.7	
NO <sub>X</sub>	Proposed by Applicant	<4.73 lbs/Ton <sup>a</sup>	<118	

CHANGE SOUNTY D. M.

TABLE 4
SUNMARY OF ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS LIMITS
(Continued)

acility/Pollutant Basis for Requirement		Emissions Limits Standard	1bs/hr
#2 Kiln		r	•
TSP	Florida Permit	Florida Process Weight Equation	₹32.2
SO <sub>2</sub>	Proposed by Applicant as BACT	<pre>&lt;2% S in Coal, 2.27 lbs/Ton<sup>a</sup></pre>	≤56.7
NOX	Proposed by Applicant	<4.79 lbs/Ton <sup>a</sup>	<118
#3 Kiln	•	•	_
TSP	Florida SIP & Federal NSPS Subpart F (40 CFR 60.62)	<0.30 lb/Ton feed <sup>b</sup>	_42.5
SO <sub>2</sub>	Proposed by Applicant as BACT	<2% S in Coal, 0.3 lbs/Tona	<26.3
NO <sub>x</sub>	Proposed by Applicant	<6.77 lbs/Ton <sup>a</sup>	<u>&lt;</u> 592
Opacity	Federal NSPS Subpart F (40 CFR 60.62)	<20%	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Pounds of pollutant per ton of clinker produced.

b Pounds of TSP per ton of feed (except fuel).

4

PSD-FL-050

validity of the exemption from further PSD review (no net increase in emissions).

The three kilns emitting increased sulfur dioxide are reviewed for a determination of Best Available Control Technology (BACT). To achieve the limited emissions of Table 4 the following control technologies will be utilized:

#### Coal Handling System - Particulates

All potential particulate emissions points are controlled by baghouse type dust collectors. These are to control 99.9 percent of the particles above 0.5 microns. The exhaust gases will have a maximum concentration of 0.01 grains per actual cubic foot.

These have been proposed to the State of Florida to meet the SIP BACT requirements.

These facilities must not emit gases which exhibit 20 percent opacity or greater. These baghouses and properly ducted dust collection system should comply with this requirement.

## Kilns - Particulates

The existing kilns will continue to utilize their existing electrostatic precipitators to maintain compliance with the emission standards specified in their operating permits in accordance with the Florida SIP. Number 3 kiln will continue to operate in compliance with the NSPS standards under which it has been certified with continued compliance verified by the State of Florida.

A small increase in allowable TSP emissions is due to the addition of the solid coal to the process weight. The allowable emissions are calculated according to the Florida SIP process weight rule. The actual emissions will probably not increase because the ash introduced with the coal (compared with gas as a fuel) is compensated by a decrease in fly ash in the cement feed materials.

- 5

PSD-FL-050

### 3. Kilns - Sulfur Dioxide (BACT)

The three kilns are subject to a BACT review for the control of sulfur dioxide.

Sulfur dioxide potentially is derived from sulfur in the process feed materials and from sulfur in the fuel.

The majority of this potential sulfur dioxide combines with the process products (limestone). The efficiency of this absorption is a function of the Size and design (mixing of gas and solids) of the kilms and also of the type of particulate control (baghouse is better than electrostatic precipitator due to intimate contact of gas with fine particles). Since the three kilms and their particulate controls are existing these parameters will not change. The applicant presents test results using oil (2.38% sulfur) as fuel. These results show that 91.3 percent of the potential sulfur dioxide was absorbed by the products in the smaller kilns (#1 and #2), and that 98.7 percent of the potential sulfur dioxide was absorbed in the larger kiln (#3). The applicant proposes BACT be the use of low sulfur coal (maximum 2% sulfur) and a maximum of 2.27 pounds of 50, per ton of clinker produced from kiln #1 and #2, and 0.30 pounds of SO2 per ton of clinker produced from kiln #3.

EPA concurs with the applicant that for the cases of existing kilns with existing particulate control technology these do constitute BACT. Further the applicant used these emission rates at full design operating rates in its air quality presentation.

## 4. Kilns - Nitrogen Oxides

The applicant has proposed to run tests to optimize operating conditions. The criteria to judge such optimization would be:

- a. satisfactory product,
- energy economy,
- c. minimum NO emissions, and
- d. continued negligible emissions of carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons.

6

PSD-FL-050

The applicant further stipulates that the NO $_{\rm x}$  emissions shall be less than those from the existing gas fueled operation. These current NO $_{\rm x}$  emissions have been established by tests to be 6.77 pounds of NO $_{\rm x}$  per ton of clinker produced from Kiln #3 and 4.7 pounds per ton from Kilns #1 and #2.

The applicant has presented published test data which reports emissions of nitrogen oxides are less using coal than when using gas or oil as a fuel for cement kilns. This report attributes this reduction to the characteristics of the flame. It has been described as a longer, "lazier" flame (with lower temperature in the center of the flame). The conclusion that reduced emissions of nitrogen oxides are experienced when cement kilns are converted from gas to coal fuel has also been reported in reference 2.

The coal to be used in this proposed modification will contain ~1.7 percent mitrogen (compared with ~0 percent for gas or <.5 percent for oil). Therefore, the potential for fuel derived  $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$  is greater. The literature  $^2$  confirms that less than 20 percent of the fuel nitrogen will be converted to nitrogen exides and that the amount of conversion is a function of the same flame characteristic variables (maximum temperature, and time at high temperature) that control thermally derived NO, (oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen). AP-42 emission factors and NSPS for large utility boilers seem to indicate the potential for increased NO, emissions of coal firing over gas firing. Regardless of these factors that indicate nitrogen oxide emissions could increase, the EPA concurs with the applicant that operating conditions can be found which will result in reduced emissions, or at least no net increased emissions. Therefore, with testing to find allowable operating conditions required as a permit condition. No net increase in NO emissions will occur and no air quality impact analysis is required for NO, consistent with paragraph (k) of 40 CFR 52.21.

7

PSD-FL-050

### B. Air Quality Review - 40 CFR 52.21 (E)

The applicant has demonstrated with the modeling results summarized in Table 5 that the impact upon the annual, 24-hour and 3-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standards for  $\rm SO_2$  and upon the annual and 24-hour Class II increment are below the significance levels as published 43 FR 26398, June 19, 1978.

The modeling was conservatively run upon the total  $50_2$  emissions from the three kilns rather than only the increase (coal less gas).

The CRSTER model was used to determine maximum predicted annual concentrations and to identify worst-case 24-hour and 3-hour meteorological conditions. The CRSTER was run using five years (1970-1974) of meteorological data. The maximum short term 24-hour and 3-hour predictions were made using the PTMTP-W model.

The lack of significant impact indicated by this modeling eliminates requirements for monitoring detailed NAAQS and increment impact analyses, growth impacts and additional impact analyses upon visibility, soils, and vegetation.

## C. <u>Class I Area Impact</u>

The proposed modification is located about 30 km from the Everglades National Park. As discussed previously maximum impacts which occur in the vicinity of the plant are insignificant. On the basis that further dilution will occur over the 30 kilometers, the impact on this Class I area is considered insignificant and detailed assessment of Class I area impacts is not required.

## V. Conclusions

EPA Region IV proposes a final determination of approval for construction of the new coal handling facilities and the conversion to coal as a fuel for kilns #1. #2, and #3 by Lonester Florida/Pennsuco, Inc. as proposed in its application dated February 11, 1980 as amended by letter dated April 25, 1980.

The conditions set forth in the permit are as follows:

TABLE 5
AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

SO<sub>2</sub>, micrograms/meter<sup>3</sup>

	<u>Annual</u>	24-hour average <sup>a</sup>	3-hour average <sup>a</sup>
NAAQS	. 80	365	1300
Class II Increments	20	. 91	. 512
Maximum Predicted Concentration	0.63	4.90	18
Significance Level	1	5	25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Not to be exceeded more than once per year.

- The modifications and the facilities constructed shall be in accordance with the capacities and specifications stated in the application. Specifically included are the operating capacities listed in Table 1 for new and modified facilities.
- Particulate emissions from each of the four new emitting points of the coal handling system shall not exceed 0.01 grains per actual cubic foot or the emission limits listed in Table 4.
- 3. Visible emissions from four emission points of the coal handling system shall be less than 20 percent opacity.

  Visible emissions from any fugitive sources associated with the coal handling system shall be less than 20 percent opacity.

  Opacity shall be measured by EPA standard method 9.
- 4. Emissions of sulfur dioxide from #1 and #2 kilns shall not exceed 56.7 pounds per hour from each kiln at the maximum operating rate of 25 tons per hour of clinker produced per kiln. At lesser operating rates the emissions of sulfur dioxide shall not exceed 2.27 pounds per ton of clinker produced.
- 5. Emissions of sulfur dioxide from #3 kiln shall not exceed 26.3 pounds per hour at the maximum operating rate of 87.5 tons per hour of clinker produced. At lesser operating rates the emissions of sulfur dioxide shall not exceed 0.30 pounds per ton of clinker produced.
- 6. The coal used to fuel kilns #1, #2 and #3 shall have a sulfur content of 2 percent or less.
- 7. Tests shall be run to optimize the operating conditions toward a minimum emissions of nitrogen oxides. The results of the test shall be analyzed and the resulting optimum operating conditions shall be described to EPA Region IV with a plan describing how continuing compliance will be maintained.

- 8. Emissions of nitrogen oxides from #1 and #2 kilns shall be less than 118 pounds per hour from each kiln at the maximum operating rate of 25 tons per hour of clinker produced per kiln. At lesser operating rates the emissions of nitrogen oxides shall not exceed 4.73 pounds per ton of clinker produced.
- 9. Emissions of nitrogen oxides from #3 kiln shall be less than 592 pounds per hour from each kiln at the maximum operating rate of 87.5 tons per hour of clinker produced. At lesser operating rates the emissions of nitrogen oxides shall not exceed 6.77 pounds per ton of clinker produced.
- 10. Visible emissions from #3 kiln shall be less than 20 percent opacity as measured by EPA standard method 9.
- pe determined by performance tests scheduled in accordance the General Conditions attached. The performance tests shall be in accordance with the provisions of reference methods in Appendix A of 40 CFR 60, except as provided under 40 CFR 60.8(b), as follows:
  - a. Method 1 for sample and velocity traverses;
  - b. Method 2 for velocity and volumetric flow rate;
  - Method 3 for gas analysis;
  - d. Method 5 for concentration of particulate matter and associated moisture content;
  - e. Method 6 for concentration of 502; and
  - f. Method 7 for concentration of NO<sub>X</sub>. For Method Reach run shall consist of at least four grab samples taken at approximately 15-minute intervals. The arithmetic mean of the samples shall constitute the run value.

10

PSD-FL-050

g. For Method 6, the minimum sampling time shall be 20 minutes and the minimum sampling volume 0.02 dscm (0.71 dscf) for each sample. The arithmetic mean of two samples shall constitute one run. Samples shall be taken at approximately 30-minute intervals.

A compliance test shall consist of the average of at least three (3) consecutive runs.

The processes shall operate within 10 percent of maximum capacity during sampling.

12. The source will comply with the requirements of the attached General Conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Hilovsky, Robert J., PE: NO Reductions in the Portland Cement Industry with Conversion to Coal-Firing, Presented at the 1977 EPA Emission Inventory/Factor Workshop, Raleigh, North Carolina. September 13-15, 1977.
- 2. EPA-450/1-78-001, January 1978, Control Techniques for Nitrogen Oxide Emissions from Stationary Sources.

#### GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 1. The permittee shall notify the permitting authority in writing of the beginning of construction of the permitted source within 30 days of such action and the estimated date of start-up of operation.
- The permittee shall notify the permitting authority in writing of the actual start-up of the permitted source within 30 days of such action and the estimated date of demonstration of compliance as required in the specific conditions.
- 3. Each emission point for which an emission test method is established in this permit shall be tested in order to determine compliance with the emission limitations contained herein within sixty (60) days of achieving the maximum production rate, but in no event later than 180 days after initial start-up of the permitted source. The permittee shall notify the permitting authority of the scheduled date of compliance testing at least thirty (30) days in advance of such test. Compliance test results shall be submitted to the permitting authority within forty-five (45) days after the complete testing. The permittee shall provide (1) sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to such facility, (2) safe sampling platforms, (3) safe access to sampling platforms, and (4) utilities for sampling and testing equipment.
- 4. The permittee shall retain records of all information resulting from monitoring activities and information indicating operating parameters as specified in the specific conditions of this permit for a minimum of two (2) years from the date of recording.
- 5. If, for any reason, the permittee does not comply with or will not be able to comply with the emission limitations specified in this permit, the permittee shall provide the permitting authority with the following information in writing within five (5) days of such conditions:
  - (a) description of noncomplying emission(s),
  - (b) cause of noncompliance,
  - (c) anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue or, if corrected, the duration of the period of noncompliance,
  - (d) steps taken by the permittee to reduce and eliminate the noncomplying emission.

and

(e) steps taken by the permittee to prevent recurrence of the noncomplying emission.

Failure to provide the above information when appropriate shall constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of this permit. Submittal of this report does not constitute a waiver of the emission limitations contained within this permit.

· ...,

- 6. Any change in the information submitted in the application regarding facility emissions or changes in the quantity or quality of materials processed that will result in new or increased emissions must be reported to the permitting authority. If appropriate, modifications to the permit may then be made by the permitting authority to reflect any necessary changes in the permit conditions. In no case are any new or increased emissions allowed that will cause violation of the emission limitations specified herein.
- 7. In the event of any change in control or ownership of the source described in the permit, the permittee shall notify the succeeding owner of the existence of this permit by letter and forward a copy of such letter to the permitting authority.
- 8. The permittee shall allow representatives of the State environmental control agency or representatives of the Environmental Protection Agency, upon the the presentation of credentials:
  - (a) to enter upon the permittee's premises, or other premises under the control of the permittee, where an air pollutant source is located or in which any records are required to be kept under the terms and conditions of the permit;
  - (b) to have access to and copy at reasonable times any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit, or the Act;
  - (c) to inspect at reasonable times any monitoring equipment or monitoring method required in this permit;
  - (d) to sample at reasonable times any emission of pollutants; and
  - (e) to perform at reasonable times an operation and maintenance inspection of the permitted source.
- 9. All correspondence required to be submitted by this permit to the permitting agency shall be mailed to the:

Chief, Air Facilities Branch
Air and Hazardous Materials Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IV
345 Courtland Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

10. The conditions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

The emission of any pollutant more frequently or at a level in excess of that authorized by this permit shall constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of this permit.

## Best Available Copy





### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IV

345 Courtland Street Atlanta, Georgia 30365

DEC 28 1984

REF: 4AW-AM

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Albert W. Townsend, Manager Real Estate and Environmental Affairs Lonestar Florida Pennsuco Inc. P. O. Box 122035 - PVS Hialeah, Florida 33012

RE: PSD-FL-050, Lonestar Florida/Pennsuco, Inc.

Dear Mr. Townsend:

This office has reviewed your March 23, 1984, request for a revision of the above referenced PSD permit for cement kiln Nos. 1, 2, dated November 9; 1984, we hereby revise you In 1860 rafaps permit the (PSD-FL-050) issued on July 8, 1980, as outlined below.

- I. Specific Conditions 4, 5, and 6 are changed as follows:
  - 4. Emissions of sulfur dioxide from Nos. 1 and 2 kilns shall not exceed 125.0 pounds per hour from each kiln at the maximum operating rate of 25 tons per hour of clinker produced per kiln. At lesser operating rates, the emissions of sulfur dioxide shall not exceed 5.0 pounds per ton of clinker produced.
  - 5. Emissions of sulfur dioxide from No. 3 kiln shall not exceed 400 pounds per hour at the maximum operating rate of 87.5 tons per hour of clinker produced. At lesser operating rates, the emissions of sulfur dioxide shall not exceed 4.6 pounds per ton of clinker produced.
  - 6. The coal used to fuel kilns Nos. 1, 2, and 3 shall have a sulfur content of less than 1.75 percent (monthly average) and a 2.0 percent maximum; or the sulfur content, determined once by the stack test program described below, that consistently meets the revised sulfur dioxide emission standards, whichever sulfur content is most restrictive.

#### II. TEST PROGRAM

In establishing the maximum sulfur content of the coal that can be used in each kiln, the Company shall conduct a test series on the kilns while they are operating near maximum production.

The test series shall consist of a minimum of three separate compliance tests, each test at least 168 hours after the preceding test, and using fuel with a constant ( $\pm$  0.25 percent) sulfur content. All test results for coal of this sulfur content must be below the BACT standards.

If test results show the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a kiln do not meet the BACT standard, then the Company shall reduce the sulfur content of the coal burned in this kiln by at least 0.25 percent (average) and repeat the test series until the emissions consistently comply with the revised BACT standards.

The Company shall maintain a record of these test results for review during subsequent inspections.

In addition, for each test sample, the Company shall measure or estimate and record the following parameters:

- a. feed rate (TPH);
- b. sulfur content of feed;
- c. coal rate (TPH);
- d. sulfur content of coal; and
- e. oxygen content of flue gas
- III. Specific Conditions 13 and 14 are hereby added as follows:
  - 13. Only two kilns will be operated with coal as fuel at the same time. The Company shall maintain a log or logs that show(s), as a minimum: the operational status of all three kilns at any time; when each kiln is placed in service; the clinker, feed, and fuel feed rates to each kiln; and when the kiln is taken out of service.
  - 14. Continuous oxygen monitors shall be properly installed, operated and maintained on kilns 1 and 2 after their conversion to coal firing and on kiln 3. The monitors shall be certified and calibrated in accordance with 40 CFR §60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 3. A record of excess oxygen for each of the coal-fired kilns and fuel/raw feed sulfur input shall be maintained on the premises for viewing during subsequent compliance inspections.

The PSD permit revisions contained herein are effective as of the date of issuance of this letter and become a binding part of Federal PSD permit PSD-FL-050 issued on July 8 1980, unless a written objection is received at the above address within ten (10) days after receipt of this letter. Please be advised that the terms and conditions specified in the original July 8, 1980, federal PSD permit are still in force and effect, except as outlined above. Notice of this revised permit will be published in the Federal Register in the near future. In addition, please be advised that this revised permit does not preclude obtaining valid state and local permits for this coal conversion project.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact Mr. James T. Wilburn, Chief, Air Management Branch, at 404/881-3043.

Sincerely yours,

Charles R. Jeter

Regional Administrator

cc: Mr. C. H. Fancy, P.E., Deputy Chief Bureau of Air Quality Management Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Table TA-Al-AC1. Summary of Federally Enforceable Air Permits for Emission Units, Tarmac America, Pennsuco Title V **Emission** Unit Source Description Point ID Permit No. Date Agency Pollutants Coal Handling G-509/G-521 PSD-FL-050 7/8/80 EPA VE. PM Cost Grinding G527/576/578/580/582 PSD-FL-050 7/8/80 **EPA** VE. PM Coal Grinding PSD-FL-142/AC13-169901 G527/576/578/580/582 2/25/91 DEP VE 2 Kiln #2 Kiin #2 2/25/91 DEP SO2,5AM PSD-FL-142/AC13-159901 NOx,CO,VQC **PM/PM10** 3 Cooler #2 Cooler #2 ESP None SO2, NOx, PM 4 Klin #3 Klin #3 PSD-FL-050 7/8/80 EPA Kiin#3 3/22/85 DEP Kiln #3 AC13-054054 SO2 K-332 5 Cooler #3 None 6 Insufflation System K-181/K-383/K-396 None 7 Finish MIII #1 F-130/F-113 None F-230/F-213 None Finish Mill #2 Finish Mill #3 F-330/F-332/F-313 None Finish Mill #4 PSD-FL-028 03/19/80 **EPA** VE. PM All DEP 11/2/79 VΕ Finish Mill #4 Αli AC13-21094 PM/PM10/VE DEP 8 Siag Dryer Baghouse PSD-FL-230/AC13-273887 11/15/95 Slag Dryer 11/15/95 DEP Waste Piles/ Yard Various PSD-FL-230/AC13-273887 9 K-447/K-347 PSD-FL-230/AC13-273887 11/15/95 DEP VΕ Clinker H/S Clinker H/S DEP VΕ K-633 PSD-FL-230/AC13-273887 11/15/95 Clinker H/S K-147/K-247/K-521/K-522 None 7/8/80 SO2, NOX,PM 10 Kiln #1 Kiin #1 PSD-FL-050 **EPA** 11 Cooler #1 Cooler #1 ESP None 12 Cement Silos 10-12 F-513/F-514/F-515 AC13-21098 11/2/79 11/12/79 VE Cement Loadout 3 B-382/B-372/B-374 None Cement Loadout 1, 2 B-110/B-210 AC13-273887 DEP VE 11/15/95 EPA. VE, PM Cement Packhouse B-621 PSD-FL-028 03/19/80 Cement Silos 1-9 F-511/F-512 None 13 Unregulated sources None 14 3/11/94 VΕ Cement Block Plant 3 baghouses AC13-242281 DEP 15 5/19/89 DEP VE Cement Batch Plant 4 baghouses AC13-158138

## TAAIAC2.WK3

mis				pacity Limit	
Jnit	Point Description	Point ID	(%)	Basis	Other Requirement
1	Coal Handling	G-509/G-521	5*	4/8/80 BACT	Method 9 Test
•	Coal Grinding	G527/576/578/580/582	5*	4/8/80 BACT	Method 9 Test
	Coal Grinding	G527/576/578/580/582	20	NSPS Subpart Y	Method 9 Test
	Coal Handling/Grinding	All	20	General Std	Method 9 Test
2	Kiln #2	Kiin #2	20	General Std	Method 9 Test
3	Cooler #2	Cooler #2 ESP	20	General Std	Method 9 Test
4	Kiln #3	Kiln #3	10*	NSPS Subpart F	COMS
	Kiln #3	Kiln #3	20	General Std	Method 9 Test
5	Cooler #3	K-332	10*	NSPS Subpart F	COMS
•	Cooler #3	K-332	20	General Std	Method 9 Test
6	Insufflation System	K-181/K-383/K-396	20*	General Std	Method 9 Test
7	Finish Mill #1	F-130/F-113	20*	General Std	Method 9 Test
	Finish Mill #2	F-230/F-213	20°	General Std	Method 9 Test
	Finish Mill #3	F-330/F-332/F-313	20*	General Std	Method & Test
	Finish MIII #4	All	5*	10/15/79 BACT	Method 9 Test
	Finish Mill #4	F-430	5	11/15/95 BACT	Method 9 Test
	Finish Mill #4	:Ali	5	3/19/80 BACT	Method 9 Test
	Finish Mill #4	All	10	NSPS Subpart F	Method 9 Test
8	Slag Dryer	Slag Dryer Baghouse	10*	11/15/95 BACT	Method 9 Test
9	Clinker H/S	K-447/K-347	10*	11/15/95 BACT	Method 9 Test
	Clinker H/S	K-633	5*	11/15/95 BACT	Method 9 Test
	Clinker H/S	K-147/K-247/K-521/K-522	20*	General Std	Method 9 Test
10	Kiin #1	Kiin #1	20*	General Std	Method 9 Test
11	Cooler #1	Cooler #1 ESP	20*	General Std	Method 9 Test
12	Cement Silos 10,11,12	F-513/F-514/F-515	5*	10/15/79 BACT	Method 9 Test
•			10	NSPS Subpart F	Method 9 Test
	Cement Silos 10,11,12	F-513/F-514/F-515			
	Cement Loadout Unit 3	B-382/B-372/B-374	5*	10/15/79 BACT	Method 9 Test
	Cement Loadout Unit 3	B-382/B-372/B-374	10	NSPS Subpart F	Method 9 Test
	Cement Loadout 1, 2	B-110/B-210	10*	NSPS Subpart F	Method 9 Test
	Cement Packhouse	B-621	10	NSPS Subpart F	Method 9 Test
					Method 9 Test
	Cement Packhouse	B-621	5*	BACT	
	Cement Silos 1-9	F-511/F-512	20*	General Std	Method 9 Test
13	Waste Piles/ Yard	Various	20*	General Std	
14	Cement Block Plant	3 baghouses	5*	Rule 62-296.414	Method 9 Test
15	Cement Batch Plant	4 baghouses	5*	Rule 62-296.414	Method 9 Test

1

**Best Available Copy** H/S = Handling/Storage

COMS= Continuous Opacity Monitoring Systems

Indicates most stringent standard

TAAIAC3.WK3

mie						
	Point Description	Point 1D	Pol.	Annual	Basis	Other Requirements
1	Coal Hand/Grind	All baghouses	PM	0.01 gr/daci	4/8/60 BACT	Method 5/9 Test
2	KJin #2	Kin #2 ESP	302	766.7 TPY	PSD-FL-142	Method 8 Test
•	Kiln #2	Kiln #2 ESP	SAM	23.06 TPY	PSD-FL-142	Method & Test
	Klin #2	Klin #2 ESP	NOx			Method 7 Test
				448.4 TPY	PSD-FL-142	Method 10 Test
	Kiin #2	Kiln #2 ESP	CO	1363.9 TPY	PSD-FL-142	
	Kiin #2	Kiin #2 ESP	VOC	113.5 TPY	PSD-FL-142	Method 25 Test
	KJIn #2	Kjin #2 ESP	PM	56.76 TPY*	PSD-FL-142	Method 5 Test
	KJin #2	Kiin #2 ESP	PM	Process Wt	Rule 62-296.407(1), F.A.C	Method 5 Test
	Kiin #2	Kiin #2 ESP	PM10	48.25 TPY	PSD-FL-142	Method 5 Test
3 (	Cooler#2	Cooler #2 ESP	PM	Process Wt	Rule 82-296.407(1), F.A.C	Method 5 Test
١ 4	Kilm #3	Klin #3 ESP	SQ2	4.6 lib/tan olnk	PSD-FL-060, 1/21/86 BACT	Method 6 Test
•	Kin 63	Kin #3 ESP	PM	0.3 lb/ton feed	PSD-FL-050; NSPS Subpart F	Method 5 Test
	Klin #3	Klin #3 ESP	NOx	6.77 lighton cink	PSD-FL-050	Method 7/7E Test
	Ca illi	Min and Eol	NOX	9.17 Engh Chia	F8U-FL-050	widthor with rask
<b>1</b> 5	Cocier #3	K-332	PM	0.1 lb/ton feed	NSPS Subpart F	Method 5 Test
6	insufflation System	K-181/K-383/K-396	None	-		
7	Finish MIII #1	F-130/F-113	PM	Process Wt	Rule 62-296,407(1), F.A.C	Method 5/9 Test
•	Finish Mill #2	F-230/F-213	PM	Process Wt	Rule 62-295.407(1), F.A.C	Method 5/9 Test
						Method 5/9 Test
	Finish Milli #3	F-330/F-332/F-313	PM	Process Wt	Rule 62-296.407(1), F.A.C	
	Finish Mili #4	F-432	PM	0.01 gr/scf	3/19/80 BACT PSD-FL-28	Method 5/9 Test
	Finish Mill #4	F-605	PM	0.01 gr/ecf	3/19/80 BACT PSD-FL-28	Method 5/9 Test
	Finish Mill #4	F-604	PM	0.01 pr/acf	3/19/80 BACT PSD-FL-25	Method 5/8 Test
	Finish Mill #4	F-603	PM	0.0t gr/ecf	3/19/80 BACT PSD-FL-28	Method 5/9 Test
	Finish Mill #4	F-430	PM	0.01 gr/eef	3/19/80 BACT PSD-FL-28	Method 5/9 Test
	Finish Mill #4	F-430	PM		11/15/95 BAOT	Method 5/9 Test
	FILENT MIN 900	r-130	PM	0.01 gr/acf	11/10/30 BAUT	MERINA ALS I AN
8	Sizg Dryer	Sizg Dryw Baghouse	PM	0.04 gr/decf	11/16/96 BACT	Method 9 Test
•	0.08 0.70.	Sian Diver Baghouse	PMIO	0.04 gr/decf	11/15/95 BACT	Method 9 Test
		owa pylan paguouse	FMIQ	D.U4 Budacı	11/13/84 BAC1	Meniod & Lest
9	Olinker H/S	Ail	None	-		
10	Kin #1	K¶n #1	PM	Process Wt	Rule 62-296.407(1), F.A.C	Method 5 Test
		Kin #1	NOx	2 lb/MMBtu	NOx RACT Rule 62-298.570(4)(b)8.	
11	Cooler#1	Cooler #1 ESP	PM	Process Wt	Rule 62-296.407(1), F.A.C	Method 6 Test
12	Cement Silos 10.11.12	F-513/F-514/F-515	Mone			
14			None	-		
	Cement Loadout 3	B-382/B-372/B-374	None	-		
	Cement Loadout 1, 2	B-110/B-210	None	-		
	Cement Packhouse	B-621	PM	0.01 gr/acf	3/19/80 BACT PSD-FL-28	Method 5/9 Test
	Cement Silos 1-9	F-511/F-512	None			
13	Wasta Piles/ Yard	Various	None	~		
14	Cement Block Plant	3 baghouses	None	-	•	
	Cement Batch Plant	4 baghouses	None			

15 Cement Batch Plant 4 baghouses N
H/S = Handling / Storage
cink = clinker
Process V/t = Process Weight Table Standard for PM
\* Indicates most stringent limit
Note: Opacity Standards are presented in Table TA-Al-AC2

Table TA-Al-AC4. Summary of Potential Emissions as reflected in Title V Application, Tarmac America, Pennsuco

Title V									
Emissi	on ·				Potential E	missions (TP	Υ)		
Unit	Source Description	VE (a)	PM	PM10	SO2	NOx	CO	VOC	SAM
· 01	Coal Handling/Grinding	5%	18.8	18.8			_		-
/02	Kiin #2	20%	58.8	48.25	768.7	448.4	1,363.9	113.5	23.06
<b>~03</b>	Cooler #2	20%	115.7	>5	-	_	_	-	~
√ <b>04</b>	Kiln #3	10%	186. <del>6</del>	>5	1,752	2,594	>5	>5	>5
·05	Cooler #3	10%	62.2	>5		-	_	,	
06	Insuffiation System	20%	<5	<5	-	-			_
07	Finish Mill #1 - #4	5% - 20%	410.5	>5		-	_		_
<b>0</b> 8	Siag Dryer	10%	6.15	6.15	>5	>5	>5	>5	>5
√09	Clinker H/S	5% - 20%	>5	>5	_			_	-
<b>10</b>	Kiin #f	20%	137.1	>5	>5	1,423.5	>5	>5	>5
11	Cooler #1	20%	115.7	<b>&gt;5</b> ·	<b>-</b>	-		_	-
12 (b)	Cem. Silos1-12 Loadout 123, P.house	5% - 20%	27	>5			<del>-</del> .		-
13	Unregulated sources	%20	>5	>5		>5		>5	
14	Cement Block Plant	5%	<5	<5		-	. <b>-</b>	_	
15	Cement Batch Plant	5%	<5	<5	~		-		_

COUNTY

<sup>(</sup>a) Potential emissions reflect percent opacity.(b) Potential emissions reflect PM emissions by all sources. Potential emissions of Packhouse are limited by permit to 5.2 TPY.

## MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM SOURCE INFORMATION SHEET

Facility Name: <u>TARMAC - Pennsuco Cement Plant</u>	
Emissions Unit Permit/Certification No.: <u>AO13-238048</u>	Emissions Unit I.D. No. (if known):
Brief Description of Source (emission unit regulated individually, or group or emission units regulated)	gulated collectively): Kiln #3

I. Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit condition for this emission unit or group of emissions units (excluding carbon monoxide)	allowat rate (fil	t limiting ole pollut I in one o h polluta	ant emis	sion				/. Operating conditions - Actual ocumented for reported calendar ear		V. Annual emissions to which fees apply			
	pounds per hour		per material or heat		hours of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	calculated annual tons of pollutant emissions	actual tons recorded annual emissions using C.E.M. or other DEP approved method	code		
(a)	(b)	(c) ·	( <u>t</u> ons) (d)	(units) (e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)		
particulates			1.5e-04	DKF				1057553	158.6				
SO <sub>2</sub> ~		-/	2.3e-03	CLK				668492	1537.5				
NO <sub>x</sub> X 2000	A	1	3.4e-03	CĹK	·.			668492	2272.9	$\vee$			
								^ .					
								·					
		_											

Calculations/Comments:

No. 4 of 17 total Source Information Sheets submitted for this facility.

Effective: 1-1-96

FEB97

## MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM SOURCE INFORMATION SHEET

	1	Facility	Name: _	TARMA	.C - Penns	uco Cement Plant					
Emissions Unit Permit/C	ertificatio	on No.: _	AO13-	<u>23</u> 8048	-	··. 1		Emissions	Unit I.D. No	o. (if known):	
Brief Description of Sour	ce (emis	sion un	it regula	ted indiv	idually, or (		regulated	collectively): Kiln #2 (II	ncludes revis	sions in AC13-169901)	
I. Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit condition for this	allowal rate (fil	ble pollui	maximu tant emis column c ant)	ssion		ating conditions - n allowed by permit		ting conditions - cumented for reported year	V. Annual	emissions to which fees	apply
emission unit or group of emissions units (excluding carbon monoxide)	pounds per hour	tons per year		r unit of I or heat product	of	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	calculated annual tons of pollutant emissions	actual tons recorded annual emissions using C.E.M. or other DEP approved method	code
(a)	(b)	(c)	(tons) (d)	(units) (e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)
particulates	14.4				7884		7713		55.5		
PM10	12.2				7884		7713		47.0		
SO <sub>2</sub>	195.0				7884		7713		752.0		
H₂SO₄	5.9				7884		7713		22.8		
NO <sub>x</sub>	113.8	_			7884		7713		438.9	/	
voc	28.8				7884	·	7713		111.1		
·											
											<u> </u>
Calculations/Comment	s:		_				,				

No. 2 of 17 total Source Information Sheets submitted for this facility.

Effective: 1-1-96

FEB97

#### **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

## MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM SOURCE INFORMATION SHEET

	ĺ	Facility	Name: _	TARMA	C - Penns	uco Cement Plant					
Emissions Unit Permit/C	ertificatio	n No.: _	AO13-	238048	-			Emissions	Unit I.D. No	. (if known):	<del></del>
Brief Description of Sour	ce (emis	sion un	it regula	ted indivi	idually, or o		regulated	collectively): Kiln #3			
I. Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit condition for this	allowat rate (fil	ole pollui	maximu tant emis column c ant)	sion		ating conditions - n allowed by permit		ting conditions - Actual ed for reported calendar	V. Annual (	emissions to which fees a	apply
emission unit or group of emissions units (excluding carbon monoxide)	pounds per hour	tons per year		r unit of I or heat product	of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	hours of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]		actual tons recorded annual emissions using C.E.M. or other DEP approved method	code
(a)	(b)	(c)	(tons)	(units) (e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)
particulates		2	1.5e-04	DKF				1,085,216	162.8	1	
SO <sub>2</sub>		,	2.3e-03	CLK	_			677,700	1558.7	/	
NO <sub>x</sub>			3.4e-03	CLK				677,700	2304.2	1	
_			ļ								
<u>-</u>											
Calculations/Comment	s:				<u> </u>			<b>y</b>			
DKF = dry kiln feed	CLK = c	dinker									

No. 4 of 17 total Source Information Sheets submitted for this facility.

Effective: 1-1-96

JAN98

#### **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

## MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM SOURCE INFORMATION SHEET

Facility Name: TARMAC - Pennsuco Cement Plant

Emissions Unit Permit/Certification No.	AO13-238048	Emissions Unit I.D. No. (if known):
---	-------------	-------------------------------------

Brief Description of Source (emission unit regulated individually, or group or emission units regulated collectively): Cooler #2

emission unit or group of pounds tons per unit of per per material or heat input or product excluding carbon excluding carbon excluding carbon bear input or product of heat input or product of hea	I Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit condition for this	II. Most limiting maximum allowable pollutant emission rate (fill in one column only for each pollutant)			ion rate		ting conditions - allowed by permit per		ing conditions - Actual d for reported calendar	V. Annual emissions to which fees apply		
(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l)	emission unit or group of emissions units (excluding carbon monoxide)	pounds per	tons per	materia input or	or heat	of operation	heat input or product output [in units	of operation	heat input or product output [in units specified	annual tons of pollutant	annual emissions using C.E.M. or other	code
Sectional 25.4   S750   Till	(3)	(b)	(c)	1 '		(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)
	carticulates	25.4	1			8750		7.517		11111		

## MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM SOURCE INFORMATION SHEET

Emissions Unit Permit/Certification No.: <u>AO13-238048</u>	E	Emissions Unit I.D. No. (if known):
Brief Description of Source (emission unit regulated individually, or group or emission	on units regulated collectively):	Kiln #2 (Includes revisions in AC13-169901)

Facility Name: <u>TARMAC - Pennsuco Cement Plant</u>

I. Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit condition for this	allowal rate (fil	ble pollui	maximu tant emis column c ant)	ssion		ating conditions - n allowed by permit		ting conditions - cumented for reported year	V. Annual emissions to which fees apply		
emission unit or group of emissions units (excluding carbon monoxide)	pounds per hour	tons per year	materia	r unit of I or heat product		amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	hours of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]		actual tons recorded annual emissions using C.E.M. or other DEP approved method	code
(a)	(b)	(c)	(tons) (d)	(units) (e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)
particulates	14.4	1			7884		7580		54.6	1	
PM10	12.2	$\checkmark$			7884		7580		46.2		
SO <sub>2</sub>	195.0	V			7884		7580		739.1	V. poris	
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	5.9	1			7884		7580		22.4	4	
NO <sub>x</sub>	220.0	V		•	7884		7580		833.8		
VOC	28.8	1			7884		7580		109.2	1	
, 	1										ţ

Calculations/Comments:

No.  $\underline{\phantom{a}}$  of  $\underline{\phantom{a}}$  total Source Information Sheets submitted for this facility.

ok

Effective: 1-1-96

JAN98

# MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM FEE PAYMENT CALCULATION SHEET

Facility Name: TARMAC - Pennsuco Cement Plant

Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit conditions for this facility (excluding carbon monoxide).	Total facility annual emissions for each pollutant listed in column (a). [Sum of column entries (j) and/or (k) for pollutant on Page(s) B for all emission units at facility]	If amount in column (b) is less than 4000 tons, enter amount in column (c). If the amount in column (b) is equal to or greater than 4000 tons, enter 4000 in column (c).	Multiply amount in column (c) by the applicable fee factor pursuant to Rule 62-213.205, F.A.C. and enter dollar amount in column (d).
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
particulate matter	679.6	679.6	\$16,990.00 -
PM10	47.0	47.0	\$1,175.00
sulfur dioxide [SO <sub>2</sub> ]	2289.5	2289.5	\$57,237.50~
nitrogen oxides [NO <sub>x</sub> ]	2711.8	2711.8	\$67,795.00 a
sulfuric acid mist	22.8	22.8	\$570,00
VOC emissions	111.1	111.1	\$2,777.5%
	·		
		· 	

Total Fee Amount (must be no less than \$250.00 minimum fee)

Effective: 1-1-96

FEB97

DEP Form 62-213.900(1) - Page (

\$146,545.00

## MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM FEE PAYMENT CALCULATION SHEET

Facility Name: TARMAC - Pennsuco Cement Plant

Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit conditions for this facility (excluding carbon monoxide).	Total facility annual emissions for each pollutant listed in column (a). [Sum of column entries (j) and/or (k) for pollutant on Page(s) B for all emission units at facility]	If amount in column (b) is less than 4000 tons, enter amount in column (c). If the amount in column (b) is equal to or greater than 4000 tons, enter 4000 in column (c).	Multiply amount in column (c) by the applicable fee factor pursuant to Rule 62-213.205, F.A.C. and enter dollar amount in column (d).
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
particulate matter	686.1	686.1	\$17,152.50
PM10	46.2	46.2	\$1,155.00
sulfur dioxide [SO <sub>2</sub> ]	2297.8	2297.8	\$57,445.00
nitrogen oxides [NO <sub>x</sub> ]	3138.0	3138.0	\$78,450.00
sulfuric acid mist	22.4	22.4	\$560.00
VOC emissions	109.2	109.2	\$2,730.00
Total I	Fee Amount (must be no less t	han \$250.00 minimum fee)	\$157,492.50

Effective: 1-1-96

DEP Form 62-213.900(1) - Page C

## MAJOR AIR POLLUTION SOURCE ANNUAL OPERATION LICENSE FEE FORM SOURCE INFORMATION SHEET

	İ	Facility	Name: _	TARMA	C - Penns	uco Cement Plant					
Emissions Unit Permit/C	ertificatio	on No.: <sub>-</sub>	AO13-	238048	•			Emissions	unit I.D. No	o. (if known):	<del></del>
Brief Description of Sour	ce (emis	sion un	it regula	ted indiv	idually, or લ	group or emission units	regulated	collectively): Cooler#3	3		,
I. Regulated Air pollutant(s) allowed to be emitted by specific permit condition for this	allował rate (fil	ole pollu	maximu tant emis column c ant)	sion	III. Opera Maximun per year	ating conditions - n allowed by permit		ting conditions - Actual ted for reported calendar	V. Annual (	emissions to which fees	apply
emission unit or group of emissions units (excluding carbon monoxide)	pounds per hour	tons per year	materia	r unit of I or heat product	of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	hours of operation	amount of material or heat input or product output [in units specified in column (e)]	calculated annual tons of pollutant emissions	actual tons recorded annual emissions using C.E.M. or other DEP approved method	code
(a)	(b)	(c)	(tons) (d)	(units) (e)	(f)	(g)	_(h)	(i)	<b>(</b> j)	(k)	
particulates			5.0e-05	DKF				1,085,216	54.3	/	
									•		
. <u> </u>											
			<u> </u>								
_											
Calculations/Comment	<u></u>	•	<del></del> -	<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>	-	!			
DKF = dry kiln feed								0/4			

No. <u>5</u> of <u>17</u> total Source Information Sheets submitted for this facility.

Effective: 1-1-96

JAN98

+ ARMS Facility
Facility Name: STERLING FIBERS, INC. AIRS ID: 1130003   County: SANTA ROSA Owner: STERLING FIBERS, INC.   Office: NW: PENSACOLA Category: POINT +
AIR Permit #: Project #: 005 CRA Reference #:    Permit Office: TAL (HEADQUARTERS) Agency Action: Pending
Project Name: SANTA ROSA ENERGY LLC-PSD Desc: PSD - New combined cycle c   Type/Sub/Req: AC /1A PSD or NAA \$7500 Logged: 09-JUL-1998
Received: 08-JUL-1998 Issued: Expires: OGC:
Fee: 7500.00 Fee Recd: Dele: Override: NONE
+ Related Party
Role: APPLICANT Begin: 09-JUL-1998 End:
Name: SHIELD, JAMES J Company: SANTA ROSA ENERGY
Addr: 650 DUNDEE ROAD, SUITE 150
City: NORTHBROOK State: NJ Zip: 60062- Country: USA
Phone: 847-559-9800 Fax: 847-559-1805
+ Processors
Processor: HERON_T Y Active: 09-JUL-1998 Inactive:
Enter Project Name.
Count: 1 v <replace></replace>

	poll   sIp seg poll   AOR & SIP		
POINT AIRS ID 025002	O OFFICE SEDA SE: DA COUNTY	DE	
EU ID 006 Desc:142 TP	H KILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX		E.S.P.
CA AOR Activity AOR A	NNUAL OPERATIN Done	Due 30	
Pollutant/Emis Method		Actual Annual E	mission Calculat
1	atter - 159.9700001 BASED ON SOURCE TEST C		  IISSION MEASUREMEN
5 CALCULATED	atter - 135.970000T USING EMISSION FACTOR	OTHER THAN AP-4	2/FIRE SYSTEM.
!	e 631.840000T BASED ON SOURCE TEST C		ISSION MEASUREMEN
Pollutant:SO2	Allowable E	missions (TPY):	1752.000000

Enter Pollutant Code

Count: \*4

Allowable | poll Test | test Meth | History | Return | eXit ----- Emission Unit Pollutant ---POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA EU ID 006 Desc 142 TPH KILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX& DUAL CHAMBER E.S.P. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Pollutant NOX Nitrogen Oxides Status A ACTIVE # Allow 001 % Control Efficiency Future Eff Date # of Tests Q07 Allow Seq 001 Allow Emission 6.770000 Unit 09 POUNDS PER TON OF-PRODUCT Equivalent 592.000000 Lb/Hr 2592.960000 Ton/Yr Compliance Meth Basis Allowable ESCPSD allow facility/modification to escape PSD precons Compl Meth Code 99 OTHER Regulation Compl Test Freq 0 NONE REQUIRED Freq Base Date Allow Comment 

Enter the date Allowable becomes Effective from
Count: \*1

poll Test   Chg fac/poll   Oper rates   Activity   reLin	
AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE   OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA NAME TARMAC FLORIDA   EU ID 006 Description 142 TPH KILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX& DUAL   CA Activity Due Done	
Pollutant NOX Nitrogen Oxides Allo  Comp Test Fr NONE REQUIRED Freq Ba	<del>-</del>
Test Date 03-DEC-1997 Audit Type 3 TYPE III-STACK TEST I Receive Dt 26-JAN-1998 Review Dt 12-FEB-1998 Next Te Test Point Test Meth Team 1 Air Consulting	est Dt 02-DEC-1999
Test Allow 6.770000  Actual 5.820000 Unit 09 POUNDS PER TON OF PROI Permit Allow 6.770000 Unit 09 POUNDS PER TON OF PROI  of Test Actual Above/Below Tell Comment NOX emissions averaged 355.6 Pounds per Ton.	DUCT
Enter Test Date	

Enter Test Date

Count: 1

v

poll Test test Meth | History | Return | Emission Unit Pollutant \_\_\_\_\_ POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA EU ID 006 Desc 142 TPH KILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX& DUAL CHAMBER E.S.P. Pollutant NOX Nitrogen Oxides Status A ACTIVE # Allow 001 % Control Efficiency Pri Cont Sec Cont Reg Class \_\_\_\_\_\_ 592.000000Lb/Hr 2592.960000Ton/Yr Synth Ltd Potential Emission Emission Method 1 CALCULATED BASED ON SOURCE TEST OR CONTINUOUS EMISSION Emission Factor Act Emis 1953.450000Tons/Yr Year 1997 Emis Fac Ref Unit Emis Calculation | Est Fugitive Lower Upper Tons/Yr Pollutant Comment (EPA LIM.) ALLOW. EMISS. BASED ON "NO INCREASE IN EMISSIONS" | -----

At Last Record

Count: \*1

Allowable   poll Test	Emission Unit Pollut	r   Return   eXit
POINT AIRS ID 0250020 NAME TARMAC FLORIDA OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA	OFFICE SEDA SE: DAI	DE
EU ID 006 Desc 142 TPH	KILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX8	DUAL CHAMBER E.S.P.
Pollutant PM10 Pa   Status A ACTIVE   Pri Cont   Reg Class		000 % Control Efficiency
Potential Emission   Emission Method	Lb/Hr	Ton/Yr Synth Ltd
Emission Factor Unit Emis Calculation		135.970000Tons/Yr Year 1997   s Fac Ref
Est Fugitive Lower Pollutant Comment	Upper	Tons/Yr
Enter Pollutant Code Count: *1		<list><replace></replace></list>

Allowable   poll Test	Emission Unit Pollutan	•
POINT AIRS ID 0250020  NAME TARMAC FLORIDA  OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA	OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE COUNTY DA	
EU ID 006 Desc 142 TPH K	ILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX& D	UAL CHAMBER E.S.P.
Pollutant PM10 Par		% Control Efficiency
	Allow Seq Unit Lb/Hr	# of Tests   Ton/Yr
Compliance Meth Basis Allowable Regulation Compl Test Freq Allow Comment	Compl Meth Freq Base	:

Enter the date Allowable becomes Effective from

Count: \*0

Allowable | poll Test | test Meth | History | Return | ------ Emission Unit Pollutant -----------POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA EU ID 006 Desc 142 TPH KILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX& DUAL CHAMBER E.S.P. Pollutant SO2 Sulfur Dioxide Status A ACTIVE # Allow 001 % Control Efficiency Sec Cont Pri Cont Req Class Potential Emission 400.000000Lb/Hr 1752.000000Ton/Yr Synth Ltd Emission Method 1 CALCULATED BASED ON SOURCE TEST OR CONTINUOUS EMISSION Emission Factor Act Emis 631.840000Tons/Yr Year 1997 Unit Emis Fac Ref Emis Calculation Est Fugitive Lower Upper Tons/Yr Pollutant Comment ALLW=4.6 X 87.5=402.5(#/HR), PERMT ALLW NOT MORE THAN 400#

Enter Pollutant Code

Count: \*1

poll Test   Chg fac/poll	ssion Unit Po	llutant Tes	st		
AIRS ID 0250020 OF	FFICE SEDA TPH KILN #3	SE: DADE NAME TARMAC W/DROPOUT E	C FLORIDA BOX& DUAL ( Done	CHAMBER E.S	 
Pollutant SO2 Sulfur  Comp Test Fr ANNUALLY	r Dioxide		Allow Freq Bas	w Seq 001 se Dt 31-DE	Ī
Test Date 03-DEC-1997 Au Receive Dt 26-JAN-1998 F Test Point Test Meth	ıdit Type 3 T Review Dt 12-	YPE III-STA FEB-1998 am 1 Air	ACK TEST RE Next Tes Consulting	EVIEW Rest Dt 31-DE	EC-1998        neering
Test Allow	Unit 09 PO % of Test A	OUNDS PER TO OUNDS PER TO ctual Above 9 pounds pe	ON OF PRODU ON OF PRODU e/Below Tes er hour.	JCT JCT st Allow	-51.09
Enter Test Date				- <b></b>	+

Count:

<Replace>

1997

1 1	AC FLORIDA AC FLORIDA	CE SEDA SE: D	Y DADE   991	7
	esc:142 TPH KILN #	3 W/DROPOUT BO	X& DUAL CHAMBER	· ·
CA AOR Activ	tity AOR ANNUAL OF	ERATIN Done	Due 30	-SEP-1998 CS IN
Pollutant/Emi	s Method	Act Emis Sum	Actual Annual E	mission Calculat
PM Par 1 PM10 Par	CALCULATED BASED C ticulate Matter - CALCULATED BASED C ticulate Matter - CALCULATED USING E	159.970000 N SOURCE TEST 135.970000	TPY OR CONTINUOUS EM TPY	   ISSION MEASUREMEN 
Pollutar		Allowable	Emissions (TPY):	2592.960000
Enter Pollutar Count: 3  PY NOX PY SO2— TPY PM TPY PM 10	1997 1953.45 631.84	1946 1454,87 1392,13	1923.91	<list><replace></replace></list>

	egMent   aor seG poll			
NA	NT AIRS ID 0250020 OF ME TARMAC FLORIDA ER TARMAC FLORIDA			
EU ID	006 Desc:142 TPH KILM	N #3 W/DROPOUT BOX& I	DUAL CHAMBER E.S	.P.
•	Activity	Done	Due	cs
	ant/Emis Method	Act Emis Sum Act		sion Calculat
NOX	Nitrogen Oxides 1 CALCULATED BASEI			İ
PM 		- 80.540000TPY O ON SOURCE TEST OR (	CONTINUOUS EMISS	ON MEASUREMEN
SO2		1292.130000TPY O ON SOURCE TEST OR (	CONTINUOUS EMISS	ON MEASUREMEN
P +	ollutant:NOX	Allowable Emis		592.960000   +

Enter Pollutant Code

Count: \*3

aor segMent   aor seG poll   sIp seg poll   aor Poll   Activity
POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA
EU ID 006 Desc:142 TPH KILN #3 W/DROPOUT BOX& DUAL CHAMBER E.S.P.
CA AOR Activity AOR ANNUAL OPERATIN Done Due 30-SEP-1998 CS IN
Year:1997 Oper:Y County:DADE Receive Dt:04-MAR-1998 Review Dt:01-JUL-1998
Permitted Operating:24 Hours/Day Permitted Operating:7 Days/Week Permitted Operating:8760 Hours/Year Average Operating:7 Days/Week Total Operation:7654 Hours/Year Average % Operation by Season: DJF: 25 AOR & SIP Comment:
Ozone Season Adjustment Factor: Base Year Unit[Y/N]:Y   Average Ozone Season Operation: Hours/Day Days/Week

Enter AOR & SIP Year 'YYYY'

Count: \*1

aor segMent   aor seG poll   s	AOR & SIP -	aor Poll   Act:	
POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA		E	
EU ID 006 Desc:142 TPH KILN #3	W/DROPOUT BOX&	DUAL CHAMBER E.S	S.P.
CA Activity	Done	Due	CS
Year:1996 Oper:Y County:DADE	Receive Dt:03-	APR-1997 Review I	Dt:11-JUN-1997
Permitted Operating:24 Hours/Da Permitted Operating:7 Days/Week Permitted Operating:8760 Hours/ Average % Operation by Season: AOR & SIP Comment:	Ave /Year T DJF: 25 M	rage Operating:24 rage Operating:7 otal Operation:78 IAM: 25	Days/Week   358 Hours/Year   25 SON: 25
Ozone Season Adjustment Factor: Average Ozone Season Operation:	: Base	Year Unit[Y/N]:Y	.

Enter AOR & SIP Year 'YYYY'

Count: \*1

History   Fugitive   Poten Emis   Capped EU   Return   eXit						
POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE  NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE  OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA						
	Sulfuric Acid Mis CTUAL AND POTENTIAL EM OURCE THRESHOLDS		LICABLE MAJOR			
Emission CAP Basis Regulation Comment	Pounds/Hr		Tons/Yr			
Act Emis Year1997  Potential Sum	Ad 23.060000Tons/Yr HAI	ctual Emission P Pot SubTotal	0.620000Tons/Yr  0.000000Tons/Yr			

Enter Pollutant Code Count: 6 v

History | Fugitive | Poten Emis | Capped EU | Return | eXit POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA Pollutant PM10 Particulate Matter - PM10 Poll Class B ACTUAL AND POTENTIAL EMISSIONS BELOW ALL APPLICABLE MAJOR SOURCE THRESHOLDS Emission CAP Pounds/Hr Tons/Yr Basis Regulation Comment Act Emis Year1997 Actual Emission 249.600000Tons/Yr Potential Sum 55.690000Tons/Yr HAP Pot SubTotal 0.000000Tons/Yr

Enter Pollutant Code Count: 5 ^ v

History   Fugit:	•	-	ped EU   llutant	Return	eXit	
	0250020 OFFICE LORIDA LORIDA	SEDA S	E: DADE OUNTY DAD	E		+     
Poll Class B	Carbon Mon ACTUAL AND POTENT SOURCE THRESHOLDS	oxide 'IAL EMI				MAJOR
Emission CAP Basis Regulation Comment	Pounds/H	r			То	ns/Yr
Act Emis Year1997  Potential Sum	1367.100000Tons		tual Emis Pot SubT			00Tons/Yr

Enter Pollutant Code

Count: 4

History | Fugitive | Poten Emis | Capped EU | Return | eXit ----- Facility Pollutant ---POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA Pollutant NOX Nitrogen Oxides Poll Class A ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL EMISSIONS ARE ABOVE THE APPLICABLE MAJOR SOURCE THRESHOLDS. Emission CAP Pounds/Hr Tons/Yr Basis Regulation Comment Actual Emission 2714.280000Tons/Yr Act Emis Year1997 Potential Sum 3065.300000Tons/Yr HAP Pot SubTotal 0.000000Tons/Yr Enter Pollutant Code Count: 4 ^ v <List><Replace>

History | Fugitive | Poten Emis | Capped EU | Return | ------ Facility Pollutant -----POINT AIRS ID 0250020 OFFICE SEDA SE: DADE NAME TARMAC FLORIDA COUNTY DADE OWNER TARMAC FLORIDA -----Pollutant PM Particulate Matter - Total Poll Class A ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL EMISSIONS ARE ABOVE THE APPLICABLE MAJOR SOURCE THRESHOLDS. Emission CAP Pounds/Hr Tons/Yr Basis Regulation Comment Actual Emission 318.340000Tons/Yr Act Emis Year1997 Potential Sum 970.098000Tons/Yr HAP Pot SubTotal 0.000000Tons/Yr

Enter Pollutant Code Count: 4 ^ v

Date: 7/13/98 9:37:36 AM From: Alvaro Linero TAL

Subject: Tarmac Conversion to Dry Cement Process

To: See Below

Teresa. Please review the application received June 30 by Miami-Dade DERM to convert the three wet process cement kilns at Tarmac in Medley to the dry process. Provide comments directly to Eva Kunath regarding PSD applicability or on any information required to make that determination. Consider anything related to reconstruction from an NSPS or PSD point of view. Tarmac believes they net out of PSD. If so, then Miami-Dade DERM will process the application.

Cindy. I note that the source is major for HAPS (HCl > 10 TPY, HAPS > 25 TPY). Please send Eva any comments advising on the applicability of any MACT/NESHAP or questions to determine applicability. I will send you a copy of the key parts of the application. See Teresa if you want to look at the whole thing.

John (and Beth). I'll send you the key parts of the application. Feel free to submit any comments directly to Miami-Dade DERM.

Day 30 for Miami-Dade DERM is July 30. I suggest sending Eva your comments by July 24 if not sooner. Please interact directly with Eva on this. Thank you. Al Linero.

To: Teresa Heron TALTo: Cindy Phillips TAL John Glunn TAL To: CC: Scott Sheplak TAL CC: Larry George TAL CC: Elizabeth Hardin TAL Clair Fancy TAL CC: Matilde Kunath MIAMI CC: CC: Frank Echanique MIAMI CC: Ewart Anderson MIAMI

> (050 142 142A 236 230 175