

# Department of Environmental Protection

Lawton Chiles Governor Twin Towers Office Building 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

October 16, 1996

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Scott Quaas Environmental Manager Tarmac America, Inc. 455 Fairway Drive Deerfield Beach, Florida 33441

RE: NO<sub>X</sub> Emissions - Tarmac Kiln No. 2

Dear Mr. Quaas:

This concerns the investigative effort begun by Tarmac over one year ago to determine the reasons for high NO<sub>X</sub> emissions from Kiln No. 2 and what can be done about them. KBN's letter of May 28, 1996 stated that Tarmac would conduct tests on a modified coal burner around June 1 and report the results to us within 60 days of test completion. After four months, we have not received any test results.

At some point, the problem will have to be solved by Tarmac or the Department will have to take appropriate action to enforce the existing permit limits. We believe that point should be fast approaching, with the matter being finally resolved one way or the other by the end of this year.

Please give us your immediate assessment of whether the approach currently underway will result in the current NO<sub>x</sub> limits being met by early 1997.

If there are any questions regarding the above, please contact John Reynolds or myself at (904)488-1344.

Sincerely,

A. A. Linero, P.E.

Administrator

New Source Review Section

AAL/JR

c: Pat Comer, DEP
Tom Tittle, SED
Ewart Anderson, DCDERM
Brian Beals, EPA
David Buff, KBN



RECEIVED

JUN 5 1996

BUREAU OF AIR REGULATION

June 4, 1996

Mr. A. A. Linero, Administrator New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Fl 32399-2400

Re: Investigation of NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions Tarmac Florida, Kiln No. 2

Dear Mr. Linero:

In KBN's letter dated May 28, to you concerning the above referenced subject, Table A and Kiln 2 NO<sub>x</sub> data from 1980 were inadvertently omitted. These are attached for your review. Please call if you have any questions concerning this information.

Sincerely,

David A. Buff, P.E.

Principal Engineer Florida P.E. #19011

DB/arz

cc: Al Townsend

Scott Quass Jim Alves

CC: J. Reynoldo, BAR

SEAL

TABLE T-2

### MIAMI STACK EMISSION SURVEY NOX EMISSION RATE - EPA METHOD 7

1980

s. NO <sub>2</sub> .F.Gas PPM*
.45 435
.88 224
.80 221
.55 209
. 32 199
.26 196
.08 188
.55 117
.87 178
.98 183
. 56 256
. 59 73
. 49 207
. 64 353
.50 300
. 63 352
. 27 336
.42 343
.82 638
.05 602
.89 272
. 20 194
. 30 337
. 25 519
.00 \$57

Table A. Summary of SO2/NOx Emissions From Kiln No. 2, Tarmac Florida

					Heat			Sulfur I	Dioxide Em	issions			Nitrogen [	Dioxide Ei	missions		Oxygen Level	(%) S	tack Flov
		Kıln Feed		Coal Usage	input (a)	Coal	ppm	lb/hr	lb/MMBtu	lb/ton	lb/ton	ppm	lb/hr l	b/MMBtu		lb/ton	Stack	(iln acfm	dscfr
Date	Run#	(TPH)	(TPH)	(TPH)	(MMBtu/hr)	Sulfur %				kiln feed	clinker				kiln feed	clinker			_
04/26/94	1	39.58	24 08	4 58	114 50	1 86	0 63	0 37	0.003	0 009	0 015	1,187	450	3 93	11 37	18 69		86,415	59,858
04/26/94	2	39.58	24 08	4 58	114 50	1.86	0 61	0.36	0.003	0 009	0.015	1,092	427	3.73	10 79	17.73		91,144	59.855
04/26/94	3	39.58	24 08	4.58	114.50	1.86	0 61	0.35	0.003	0.009	0.015	1,117	422	3.69	10 66	17.52		86,816	57,827
06/28/94	1	38 33	23 6	5 33	133.25	1 75	54 18	32 33	0 243	0 843	1 370	610	255	1 91	6 65	10 81		93,138	59,875
06/28/94	2	38.33	23 6	5 33	133 25	1 75	108.2	62.76	0 471	1 637	2 659	669	281	2 11	7 33	11.91		90,738	58,286
06/28/94	3	38 33	23 6	5.33	133 25	1 75	88 07	51.46	0.386	1.343	2.181	655	282	2 12	7 36	11.95		92,633	58,642
06/28/94	4	38 46	24 0	5.41	135,25	1 75						787	332	2 45	8 63	13.83			58,937
06/28/94	5	38.46	24 0	5.41	135.25	1 75						579	246	1.82	6 40	10 25			59,280
08/31/94	1	32.8	19 3	4.90	122.50	0 85	9 90	5 03	0 041	0 153	0 261	648	237	1.93	7 23	12 28	9 4	78,548	50,967
08/31/94	2	32.8	19.3	4 90	122.50	0 85	20 60	10 89	0.089	0 332	0 564	514	195	1 59	5 95	10 10	9 4	80,268	51,988
08/31/94	3	32.8	19 3	4.90	122.50	0 85	15 00	7 76	0 063	0 237	0 402	488	182	1 49	5 55	9 43	9 4	78,548	50,967
10/27/94	1	38.9	24 7	5,10	127 50	0 76	4 39	2.56	0 020	0 066	0 104	754	316	2.48	8.12	12.79	9 72	115,146	58,456
10/28/94	3	39.8	26 1	5 50	137 50	0 76	3 43	1 96	0 014	0 049	0 075	809	333	2.42	8.37	12.76	9 76	115,912	57,531
10/28/94	4	39.8	26 1	5 50	137.50	0 76	30 52	16 75	0 122	0 421	0 642	544	215	1.56	5.40	8.24	9 28	113,480	55,094
01/03/95	1	40.5	25.0	4 75	118.75	0 88	1 61	0 92	0 008	0 023	0.037	618	255	2 15	6 29	10 19	10.3	91,761	57,583
01/03/95	2	40.5	25.0	4 75	118 75	0 88	1 26	0.7	0 006	0.017	0.028	988	398	3 35	9 84	15.93	10 3	88,956	56,308
01/03/95	3	40.5	25 0	4 75	118 75	0 88	1 23	0 07	0 001	0 002	0 003	883	354	2 98	8 74	14.16	9.76	89,294	56,002
05/31/95	1	38 5	24.0	5 30	132 50	0 67		4 23	0 032	0 110	0.176	923	347	2 62	9 01	14.45	10 7	105,551	52,186
35/31/95	2	38 5	24.0	5 29	132 25	0.67		7 26	0 055	0.189	0.303	883	332	2 51	8.62	13.84	11.1	105,918	51,013
05/31/95	3	38 5	24 0	5 29	132 25	0.67		1 81	0 014	0 047	0 075	821	322	2 43	8.35	13.40	11 2	107,367	53,963
12/11/95	1	35 0	20 8	5 10	127 50		1 51	0 91	0 007	0 026	0 044	728	308	2 42	8 80	14.81	11 0	113,178	59,060
12/11/95	2	35 0	20 8	5 10	127 50		1 53	0 91	0 007	0 026	0 044	824	355	2.78	10.14	17.07	11 3	120,039	60,164
12/11/95	3	35 0	20 8	5 10	127 50		0 00	0 00	0 000	0 000	0 000	1,044	448	3 51	12 80	21 54	10 9	118,322	59,898
						nmum =	0 00	0 00	0 000	0 000	0 000	488	182	1 49	5.40	8 24	9 28	78,548	50,967
						verage =	19 07	9 97	0 076	0 264	0 429	790	317	2 52	8.37	13 64	10 23	98,246	56,684
					Ma:	kimum ≐	108 16	62 76	0 471	1 637	2.659	1,187	450	3 93	12.80	21 54	11 30	120,039	60,164

<sup>(</sup>A) Assumes 12,500 Btu/lb coal NA = Not available

~	051050			
erse side	SENDER:  Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.  Complete items 3, and 4s & b.  Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so the	at we can	I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee):	ice.
76	return this card to you.  • Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back is does not permit.	it space	1. Addressee's Address	Ser
on the	Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article.     The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered a delivered.	icle number: ind the date	2. Restricted Delivery Consult postmaster for fee.	eceipt
ĕ	3. Article Addressed to:	4a. Artı	icle Number	æ
Sete	Scott Quaas, En. 745r.	P3	39 251 169	Ę
m oc	Jarnac America 455 Fair May		vice Type stered	Ret T
Š	455 Fairulay	<b>⊠</b> *Certi	ified DOD	<u> </u>
ORES	Deryula Bch, 21		ess Mail Return Receipt for Merchandise	r us
I AD	33441	7. Date	of Delivery	ᅋᅋ
J.	5. Signature (Addressee)	8. Addr and f	essee's Address (Only if requested fee is paid)	농
r RE	6. Signature (Agent)		•	H
Õ			Name .	
<u>s</u>	PS Form 3811, December 1991 #U.S. GPO: 1993-362	-714 DC	OMESTIC RETURN RECEIPT	

P 339 251 169

	US Postal Service Receipt for Cer No Insurance Coverage Do not use for Internation Sent 2 Craet 2 Stronger  Craet 2 Stronger	Provided.  nal Mail (See reverse)  Uaas  America
	Postage	s
	Cemined Fee	
	Special Delivery Fee	
	Restricted Delivery Fee	
April 1995	Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered	
April	Picturn Receipt Showing to Whom Catal & Addressed's Aidress	
PS Form <b>3800</b>	TOTAL Postage & Fees	s
33	Postmark or Date	10/16/96
For	NOX Emissic	ns _
5 S	Kiln 1	Vo. 2



May 28, 1996

Mr. A. A. Linero, Administrator New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400

Re: Investigation of NO, Emissions Tarmac Florida, Kiln No. 2

RECEIVED

MAY 30 1996

**BUREAU OF** AIR REGULATION

Dear Mr. Linero:

As you are aware, Tarmac Florida, Inc., is in the process of investigating the high NO, emissions being experienced from Kiln 2, and potential methods to reduce the emissions. KBN has been contracted by Tarmac to assist them in this manner. The thrust of our efforts has been toward discovering the reasons for the high emissions, and what can be done to reduce the emissions.

This letter presents a status report to the Department, which presents the results of our efforts to date. In addition, additional time is requested in order to perform stack testing to determine if NO, reduction measures implemented by Tarmac can result in achieving the permitted NO, limit, or to what extent they can reduce emissions.

### Kiln No. 3 Emissions and Basis for Original BACT

The Department has requested that Tarmac investigate why the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from Kiln No. 2 exceed the BACT limit, and why they are so much higher than Kiln No. 3, which was the basis for the BACT. Therefore, a review of the permitting history of the Kiln No. 2 coal conversion PSD permit is in order.

In the original PSD permit application for the Kiln No. 2 coal conversion, Tarmac proposed BACT levels of 400 lb/hr for SO<sub>2</sub> (16 lb/ton clinker) and 169.3 lb/hr for NO<sub>2</sub> (6.77 lb/ton clinker) as starting points for the BACT evaluation. This starting point for NO, was based on the permitted emission limit for Kiln No. 3, which experience had shown was achievable in Kiln No. 3, as well as a limited set of test data from Kiln No. 2 in 1980 when burning fuel oil and gas (see attached data).

It is also important to note that the proposed BACT control technology was good combustion practices and the inherent SO<sub>2</sub> removal within the kiln system. Due to concerns over the nearby PSD Class I area (Everglades National Park), SO<sub>2</sub> emissions were considered to be of much more importance at the time. Subsequently, EPA agreed that BACT for NO, was good operating and maintenance procedures to minimize NO, emissions.

In addition, Tarmac proposed and strongly argued that a comprehensive test program be conducted prior to setting any final emission limits for the kiln. This was due to the uncertainty in emissions from Kiln No. 2 versus Kiln No. 3 (due to different size of the kilns and different firing types). Tarmac alluded to a similar experience with Kiln No. 3 when it was converted to coal. An emission limit was agreed to without any test data, and the limit proved to be unattainable. Therefore, the Kiln No. 3 emission limits were revised. Tarmac did not want to make this same mistake again. Tarmac's commitment was to minimize SO<sub>2</sub> emissions to the extent possible, again due to the Class I area concerns. EPA approved the testing plan as a mechanism to set

9651002A/I



the BACT limit for SO<sub>2</sub> in January 1990. The BACT limit for NQ was also to be set through the testing program.

The actual test data from Kiln No. 2 shows that the original commitment of minimizing  $SO_2$  emissions to the extent practical has been achieved beyond all expectations. The actual  $SO_2$  emissions are well below the allowable BACT limit. However, as will be discussed in this report, the low  $SO_2$  emissions in effect cause the conversely high  $NO_x$  emissions.

### Kiln No. 2 NO, Emissions

In Tarmac's February 1996 submittal to the Department, a summary of NO<sub>x</sub> test data for Kiln No. 2 as well as other wet process kilns in the U.S. were presented. There was an error presented in Table 1 of this submittal regarding Tarmac's NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (emissions were presented in terms of lb/ton kiln feed rather than lb/ton clinker). Therefore, this table is resubmitted (attached).

A complete summary of the  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  data obtained to date for Kiln No. 2 is presented in Table A attached. As shown, the  $SO_2$  emissions have been very low, while the  $NO_x$  emissions have been high compared to the permitted emission rates. The reasons for this have not been fully determined at this time, but according to plant kiln operators, the  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  emissions are primarily related to the oxygen level in the kiln. They state that as the oxygen level in the kiln increases,  $SO_2$  emissions decrease while  $NO_x$  emissions increase. This trend has also been evident on Kiln No. 3. Therefore, KBN is currently analyzing the available test data for Kiln No. 2 to determine if a correlation exists between these parameters.

During the stack tests on Kiln No. 2, oxygen level at the stack is measured. However, this measurement is affected by infiltration of ambient air into the system and is not reflective of conditions in the kiln. Therefore, oxygen levels in the kiln itself are needed. Tarmac maintains a kiln oxygen monitor on Kiln No. 2, and data from this monitor is archived on-site at the plant. KBN is in the process of retrieving these data, but this is a slow process, since the data are contained on strip charts. Once the data is obtained, correlation plots of oxygen versus emissions will be developed.

Based on the information gathered to date for Kiln No. 2, the reasons for the high NO, emissions can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Kiln No. 2 operates at a kiln oxygen level normally in the range of 2 to 2.5 percent. By comparison, Kiln No. 3 normally operates at an oxygen level of approximately 1.0 percent.
- 2. Kiln No. 3 is an indirect fired kiln, meaning that the coal fuel and the primary combustion air are delivered to the kiln separately. This allows more control over the combustion air, allowing the combustion air to be varied to obtain optimum combustion conditions and flame characteristics. The air associated with the coal burner normally is not varied. In a wet process cement kiln, the flame characteristics (flame length and intensity) are critical to clinker production.

In contrast, Kiln No. 2 is a direct fired kiln, which means that the primary combustion air is delivered to the kiln through the coal feed system. In such a system, the amount of combustion air cannot be reduced or varied, because the air velocity through the burner is critical to the flame characteristics.



3. This difference in the two kilns is reflected in the gas flow rates from the kilns. Kiln No. 2, with a maximum clinker production rate of 25 TPH, has a exhaust gas flow rate of 50,000 to 60,000 dscfm. This equates to 120,000 to 144,000 dscfm per ton of clinker produced. Kiln No. 3 normally operates at 87.5 TPH clinker with exhaust gas flow of 140,000 to 160,000 dscfm. This equates to 96,000 to 99,000 dscfm per ton of clinker produced. Therefore, Kiln No. 2 requires approximately 25 percent to 45 percent more air to operate than Kiln No. 3. This in turn results in a higher oxygen level in the kiln, and hence higher NO<sub>1</sub> emissions but lower SO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to Kiln No. 3.

### Measures to Reduce NO, Emissions in Kiln No. 2

Based on the above discussion, Tarmac is focusing on reducing the amount of combustion air to the kiln as the only feasible means of lowering NO, emissions. To this end, Tarmac recently installed a modified coal burner on Kiln No. 2 during a recent outage in April. The previous coal burner had a 13 inch nozzle, while the new burner will have a 10 inch nozzle. The intention in reducing the nozzle diameter is to reduce the amount of primary air introduced through the coal burner, while maintaining the velocity through the burner obtained by the previous burner design, thus maintaining the previous flame characteristics. The test will also be used to determine the effects of the changes upon the grindability of the clinker product. As discussed above, proper clinker production is dependent upon the flame characteristics.

Tarmac is planning on conducting stack testing on Kiln No. 2 with the new burner in late May or early June. This test will assess the effectiveness and potential in reducing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from Kiln No. 2. The Department will be notified prior to the testing as to the exact test dates. Upon completion of the testing, the test data will be analyzed and submitted to the Department. This analysis, along with analysis of the historic test data as described above, will be submitted to the Department within 60 days of completing the testing.

The current construction permit for Kiln No. 2 has an expiration date of May 31, 1996. However, since Tarmac is a Title V source, it is our understanding that this construction permit is automatically extended to the later of November 1, 1996, or 240 days after commencing operation, per Rule 62-213.420(1)(a)4.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this information or the attached report.

Sincerely,

David A. Buff, P.E. Principal Engineer

Down a. Buff

Florida P.E. #19011

SEAL

DB/arz

cc: Al Townsend Scott Quass Jim Alves File (2)

CC: John Regreeds, BAR EPA NPS

9651002A/I

Table 1 Summary of Nitrogen Oxide Emissions from Coal-Fired Wet Process Cement Kilns (Revised 5/28/96)

			No. of Source Tests or CEM Data	Reference	Heat Input Rate (Ib/MMBtu)	Clinker		NOx Emissions						
Source of Emission Factor	Fuel					Production Rate (tons/hr)	lb/hr		lb/MMBtu		Ib/ton clinker			
							Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range		
Tarmac Kiln 2 NOx Limit	Coal	Direct	1	1	162 5	25	-	113 8, max	-	0 70, max		4 55, max		
Tarmac Kiln 3 NOx Limit	Coal	Indirect	1	1	417.5	88	-	592, max		1 42, max	••	6 77, max		
Tarmac Source Tests No 2 Kiln, 1994 and 1995	Coal	Direct	6	2	115-138	19-26	308 8	205 - 417	2 50	17 - 3.8	13 1	8 2 - 18 7		
Tarmac Source Tests No 3 Kiln, 1982 thru 1993	Coal	Indirect	16	3	360-473	79-92	533 0	218 - 855	1.34	07-2.1	62	3 5 - 8.8		
Rinker Source Tests 2 Kilns	Coal	Direct	3	4	352 4	71 4	1,182 3	883 - 1431	3.36	25-41	16.6	12 3 - 20 1		
1982 PCA Survey of Coal-fired Wet Process Cement Kilns (b)	Coal		8	5		_	-	-	_	-	50	17 - 8.3		
Continental Cement Company June 20, 1990	Coal	Direct	1	6	475 0 (a)	57 0	6716		1 41					
Holnam, Inc. CEM Data: July 16, 1992	Coal	Direct	1	7	_	-		-	-	_	12 50	-		
Holnam, Inc. Source Test: October 24, 1991	Coal	Direct	1	8					_	-	5 80			
Lehigh Portland Cement Company Source Test May 22, 1990	Coal	Direct	1	9	162 5	-			1 12	••	5 90			
AVERAGE							673.9		1.9		9.3			
RANGE							(309 - 1,18	2)	(1.1 - 3.4)	1	(5.0 - 16.6)	i		

#### Footnotes

#### References

- 1 From Permit Allowables for Kiln 2 (AC13-169901 ,PSD-FL-142), and for Kiln 3
- 2. Tarmac Source Tests No. 2 Kin: April 26-27, 1994, June 28-29. 1994, August 31, 1994, October 27-28, 1994, January 3, 1995, and May 31, 1995, Mediey, Florida.
- 3 Termac Source Tests No 3 Kin. April and May 1982, May 16, 24, 31, and August 1985, December 1986, April and December 1987, July and August 1988, May and August 1989, October 1990, August 1992, and September 1993, Mediey, Florida
- 4 Rinker Materials Corporation Source Tests January 1993; Dade County, Florida Fired with 100% Coal.
- 5 "An Overview of the Formation of SOx and NOx in Various Pyroprocessing Systems" by Peter Bechloft Nielsen & Over Lars Jepsen, Fit. Smidth & Co. A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark. Figure & 1
- 6 "Emissions Testing of a Wot Cement Kuln at Hannibal, Missouri Final Report" EPA-530-SW-91-017. Continental Cement Company Source Test. June 20, 1990, Hannibal, Missouri Fired with 100% Coal
- 7 "Alternative Control Techniques Document-NOx Emissions from Cement Manufacturing." EPA-453/R-94-004 Hoham, Inc. CEM Data. July 16, 1992, Artesia, Mississippi. Fired with 100% Coal.
- 8 "Alternative Control Techniques Document-NOx Emissions from Cement Manufacturing." EPA-453/R-94-004. Holham Inc. Source Test. October 24, 1991, Florence, Colorado. Fired with 100% Coal
- 9 "Alternative Control Techinques Document-NOx Emissions from Cernent Manufacturing." EPA-453/R-94-004. Lehigh Portland Cernent Company Source Test. May 22, 1990, Cernenton, New York. Fixed with 100% Coal.

<sup>(</sup>a) Heat input (Bluftr) is based on burning 100% coal, and any supplemental fuel is added at a rate of 50% of the coal Btu load (i.e. 50% coal Btuftr, 50% hazardous waste Btuftr)

<sup>(</sup>b) Emissions are based on a study of 8 wet process cement tobs firing 100% coal



February 16, 1996

Mr. A.A. Linero, Administrator New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Fl 32399-2400

Re: Investigation of NO, Emissions Tarmac Florida, Kiln No. 2

Dear Mr. Linero:

The attached report presents the results of a literature search and survey conducted by KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. (KBN) on behalf of Tarmac Florida, Inc. This report is the result of work efforts on Task 1 as described in an October 3, 1995, letter from Jim Alves of Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith to the Department. It is part of Tarmac's ongoing investigation into the high NO, emissions being experienced from Kiln 2, and potential methods to reduce the emissions.

This report has been delayed from the originally intended date due to a number of reasons, including the Christmas holidays, the EPA shutdown in December and early January, and staff vacations and emergency leave. The report presents a summary of the data gathered by KBN to date. Our data gathering and research efforts on this subject are continuing.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this information or the attached report.

Sincerely,

David A. Buff, P.E. Principal Engineer

David a Buff

DAB/lcb

cc: Al Townsend Scott Quass Jim Alves File (2)

RECEIVED

rrB 19 1996

**BUREAU OF** 

AIR REGULATION

### TARMAC FLORIDA, INC. DEERFIELD BEACH, FL

## NITROGEN OXIDE EMISSIONS AND REDUCTION FROM WET PROCESS CEMENT KILNS

### LITERATURE SEARCH

KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. (KBN) performed an extensive literature search to determine available information on reducing nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from wet process cement. The following sources were contacted to obtain emissions information:

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Research Triangle Park
- Best Available Control Technology/Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (BACT/LAER)
   Clearinghouse
- State of California
- Portland Cement Association
- Air Pollutant Control Equipment Vendors
- Suppliers of Coal Burners
- Current Operators of Wet Process Cement Kilns

Refer to the tables in Attachment A for a detailed listing of all sources contacted and the results of each contact. The literature review and information survey focused on actual NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from wet process cement kilns, and control techniques employed to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. It is noted that the literature search is ongoing, and additional information is expected to be obtained in the near future.

### FACTORS WHICH AFFECT NO, EMISSIONS

The literature review yielded several pertinent articles related to the formation of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in wet process cement kilns and the factors which affect these emissions. For long, wet kilns which fire only coal, such as Tarmac Kiln 2, the following factors were identified:

 In wet process kilns firing coal only, the single fuel combustion zone and high temperature required to complete the clinker formation process (2,750°F) lead to high thermal NO<sub>x</sub> formation. The major factors are combustion zone temperature,

- residence time of combustion gases at the high temperature, the oxygen level in the kiln, and ratio of primary combustion air to secondary air.
- 2. Energy efficiency of the process is a factor, since a higher heat input requires higher combustion air amounts, leads to higher temperatures, etc.
- 3. Gas-fired NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are generally higher than coal-fired emissions, due to a shorter, more intense flame associated with gas firing (other factors being equal).
- 4. Direct firing is the term used when the primary combustion air is the air swept through the coal mill to deliver the coal to the burner. In indirect firing, the primary combustion air is supplied to the kiln independent of the coal supply. Thus, in direct fired kilns, the amount of primary air is large and cannot be adjusted much due to the need to supply the necessary amount of coal at the proper velocity at the burner. In indirect firing systems, the amount of primary air supplied with the fuel is relatively small; therefore, the secondary air amount is higher and can be varied. For these reasons, direct fired kilns generally have higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions than indirect fired kilns.
- 5. Increasing excess air to the kiln will increase NO<sub>x</sub> emissions up to a point, then will decrease emissions due to the reduction in flame temperature. Generally, oxygen levels of 4 to 5 percent result in high NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, whereas oxygen levels of 0.5 to 1.5 percent produce low emissions.
- 6. Coal nitrogen content potentially affects total NO<sub>x</sub> emissions: a typical kiln with a heat rating of 5.3 million British thermal units per ton (MMBtu/ton) clinker using a coal with a nitrogen content of 1 percent has the potential to produce fuel NO<sub>x</sub> emissions of up to 14.5 pounds per ton (lb/ton) clinker.
- 7. The nitrogen content of the raw feed is a potential source of NO<sub>x</sub>. Raw feed nitrogen levels have been found to vary from 20 to 1,000 parts per million (ppm). A raw feed content of 100 ppm has the potential to produce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions up to 1 lb/ton clinker.
- 8. Other factors which affect wet process cement kiln NO<sub>2</sub> emissions include the burnability of the raw feed and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) control employed.

### NO<sub>x</sub> EMISSIONS FROM WET PROCESS CEMENT KILNS

Based upon information obtained during the literature search and information survey, a compilation of  $NO_x$  emissions from wet process coal-fired cement kilns was developed. A summary of this information obtained to date is presented in Tables 1 and 2.

A summary of the NO<sub>x</sub> data obtained for coal-only fired wet process kilns is presented in Table 1. Table 2 lists kilns which fire a mixture of coal and other fuels such as waste tires or petroleum coke. Included in Table 1 are Tarmac's present permit limits for Kilns 2 and 3, as well as actual source test data from these kilns. Also included are the test data from Rinker's two wet process kilns, also located in south Florida. As shown, the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions data show wide variation, from 4.6 to 17.7 lb/ton clinker produced (average for a kiln). Actual emissions from Tarmac Kiln 2 fall in the lower range of these data at 8.1 lb/ton clinker (average). The average NO<sub>x</sub> emission factor from AP-42, Section 11.6, Portland Cement Manufacturing, is 7.4 lb/ton clinker.

### CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR NO, EMISSIONS

Thermal NO<sub>x</sub> dominates NO<sub>x</sub> formation in wet process cement kilns. As a result, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from wet process cement kilns can be controlled by two primary methods: combustion techniques and post-combustion technologies. Combustion control technologies are used to modify combustion conditions to reduce flame temperature and available oxygen, and to stage the combustion.

For direct-fired kilns, indirect firing has the potential to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by reducing the available oxygen and staging the combustion, but this reduction must be weighed against the cost of converting and the environmental benefits.

Limited information is available regarding the use of low NO<sub>x</sub> burners or flue gas recirculation in cement kilns. Direct fired kilns must be converted to indirect firing prior to use of low NO<sub>x</sub> burners.

Post-combustion control technologies for NO<sub>x</sub> reduce emissions after they are formed. These methods include: selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) and selective catalytic reduction (SCR). SNCR is not considered applicable to wet kilns due to difficulties involved in continuous injection of reducing agents. SCR has not been demonstrated on cement kilns and, therefore, is not considered to be applicable at this time.

The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) has adopted cement kiln best available control technology (BACT) guidelines; however, they do not include wet process cement

kilns. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) has developed BACT guidance for precalciner kiln systems, not wet process kilns.

### REFERENCES

- AmTest Air Quality, Inc. 1991. State of Washington, Department of Ecology, Rubber Tire Chip Trial Burn @ Holnam Incorporated Industries, Stack Testing & Chemical Analysis, October 15-19, 1990. Preston, WA.
- Hansen, E.R. nd. Panel Discussion: Reduction of Clinker Alkali and SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions from Preheater Kilns. Ash Grove Cement Company.
- McQueen, A.T., S.J. Bortz, M.S. Hatch, H.J. Buening, D.E. Shore, R.L. Leonard, and E.F. Bouse. 1993. Cement Kiln NO, Control. Radian Corporation, Irvine, CA. 0-7803-0960-X/93.
- Mineral Products Industry. 1995. Portland Cement Manufacturing
- Nielsen, P.B. and O.L. Jepsen. nd. An Overview of the Formation of SO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> in Various Pyroprocessing Systems. F.L. Smidth & Co. A/S, Copenhagen; Denmark
- Portland Cement Association (PCA). 1995. U.S. and Canadian Portland Cement Industry: Plant Information Summary. December 31, 1994. Skokie, IL.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1984. Combustion Modification Tests on a Subscale Cement Kiln for NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction. Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-600/S7-84-075.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990. Emissions Testing of a Wet Cement Kiln at Hannibal, Missouri. Draft Final Report. Office of Solid Waste, Washington, DC. EPA/530-SW-91-017.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1994. Alternative Control Techniques Document-NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions From Cement Manufacturing. Office of Air and Radiation, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-453/R-94-004.