From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2008 11:04 AM

To: Bradburn, Rick Cc: Koerner, Jeff

Subject: Smith SNCR Testing Schedule

Gulf had a Smith SNCR startup meeting yesterday to finalize the schedule and talk out construction issues. One of the items that was discussed was a change in the outage schedule for Unit 1 from January to March-April, 2009. Unit 2 is still being scheduled for December, 2008 with a short commissioning run time for contract purposes only. We had not planned on operating Unit 2 until the Unit 1 project was completed because of some of the common equipment issues and urea supply, etc. Originally, this was only a 1-2 months period, now this has been extended to 4 months to April, 2009. This was discussed in our original air construction permit comments and thus in Condition 5. Ammonia Slip. Performance Tests. It outlines: "Within 60 days after completing construction of the SNCR system and bringing Units 1 and 2 back on line...the permittee shall conduct tests.... Again, the original schedule was only 2 months between these installations and now it may be 4 or so. We just want to make sure the Department is still ok with this schedule for startup and testing of both units at the same time. Let me know your thoughts since we are in the process of revising the Smith SNCR Air Construction Permit. Thanks, Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 qdwaters@southernco.com

#### Koerner, Jeff

From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

**Sent:** Friday, October 10, 2008 3:33 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith \_ request for NOx Limit

Great to have you at the helm. One quick note regarding the Smith SNCR that I received this morning. It now looks that Smith will delay the outage that was projected for Feb, 2009 until March, 2009. This is a change from my proposal which outlined Feb. Let me know if you have any questions on our proposal. Dwain

Dwain Waters, QEP

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain

Sent: Fri Oct 10 14:26:58 2008

Looks like I'm also working on this one		
Thanks!		
Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536		
committed to continuously assessing and impro	alues your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretar oving the level and quality of services provided to y ou received. Simply click on this link to the DEP C	ou. Please take a few

**Subject:** Gulf Power Smith \_ request for NOx Limit

Dwain,

Ĵ

Full Time Operation Case Smith 1 8 2 at .30 (b)mm/btu rate using highest heat input from Units 1, 2, 4, 5 in baseline case.

Lansing Smith Rolling Average NOx Worksheet

Using a wors	t case heat input fo	or Unita 1 & 2 a JNIT 1 COAL	nd :30 lb/mmbtu	rate a new 12 m	onth Rolling NO Unit 2 Coal	)x Cap = ~6300	Tons or abou			limit. IT 4 CCCT	Ī		UNIT 5 CCCT		SMITH	A12 MONTH	Available
	#lighestFuel	<u> </u>	NO. Tone	HighestFuel		WO- T	Fuel	Gai x AP42	CEM	ligh CEM He		CEM	High CEM Heat	CEM	TOTAL	ROLLING Nox Tons	े Margin
Month/yr 04/02	MBTU 1184912	CEM Rate 0.30	NOx Tons 177.7	MBTU 1437678	CEM Rate 0.30	NOx Tons 215.7	Gallons 0.0	NOx Tons 0.0	NOxRate	input	NOx Tons 5.7	NOxRate	Input	NOx Tons 26.1	NOx Tons 425.2	425.2	MOx Tons 4875
05/02	1184912	0.30	177,7	860000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.3			10.3			9.8	414.8	840.0	4460
06/02 07/02	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 · 177.7	- 4000000 #600 <b>000</b> 0000000000000000000000000000000	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	40000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.2 0.1			14.6 15.9			12.9 13.6	421.1 423.0	1261,1 1684.1	4039 3616
06/02	1184912	0.30	177.3	1437678	0.30	215.7	800007.0	0.2			16.2			14.8	424.6	2108.6	3191
09/02	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7		0.0			14.3			12.7	420.4	2529.0	2771
10/02 11/02	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	30000000 vo 16 0	0.0 0.3			14.0 18.4			10.0 11.0	417.5 423.1	2946.5 3369.6	2354 1930
12/02	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	. 0.30	215.7	1693.0	0.1			14.6			11.0	419.1	3788.7	1511
01/03	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	\$8000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.6			19.3			13.7	428.0	4216.6	1083
02/03 03/03	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	2000 May 18 180	0.8 0.1			13.1			10.7 0.0	418.1 393.5	4634.7 5028.1	665 272
04/03	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7					11.1			10.7	415.2	5018.1	282
05/03	1184912	0.30	177.7	***************************************	0.30	215.7	24454.0	1.2			6.4			5.9	406.9	5010.2	290
06/03 07/03	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	323.4 256.0	0.0			13.4 16.8			11.8 12.4	418.6 422.6	5007.7 5007.4	292 293
08/03	1184912	0.30	177,7	1437678	0.30	215.7	0.0	0.0			16.6			13,3	423.3	5006.1	294
09/03 10/03	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7	1024.0	0.1			12.3 8.4			11.3	417.0 410.3	5002.7 4995.6	297
11/03	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7 215.7	1791.0 6654.2	0.1 0.3			14.2			8.6 12.1	420.0	4992.6	304 307
12/03	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	19758. 5	* 1.5			13.9			10.2	419.0	4992.5	308
01/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215,7		0.0			18.0			12.1	423.4	4988.0 4894.7	312
02/04 03/04	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.4 0.3			17.6 10.1			13.4 7.9	424.7 411.7	4894.7 5013.0	305 287
04/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	. 0.30	215.7	\$20000000 No. 10-11	0.0			14.1			17.3	424.8	6022.6	277
05/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7		0.3			13.2			12.3	419.2	5034.9 5038.3	265
06/04 07/04	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	William	0.2 0.4			14.5 16.3			13,9 15,4	422.0 425.5	3. 5.3. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	262 259
06/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$607966600000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	8893	0.4			18.9			16.9	429.6	5047.4	253
09/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	0.000.000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	8200708.37227.393	2.6			13.0			12.3	421.3	5051.7	248
10/04 11/04	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	. 0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	600000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.5 0.6			17.0 1.5			16.0 15.8	426.9 411.3	5068.3 5059.5	232 240
12/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	10540	0.5	,		11.9			14.3	420.1	5060.6	239
01/05	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	2000 N.Z.I - N.	0.0	0.035		17.6	0.043	1008243.0	21.7	432.7	5069.9	230
02/05 03/05	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 - 177.7	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	2636 4613	0.1 0.2	0.034	1008243.0	17.1	0.039 0.036	1008243.0 1008243.0	19.7 18.1	430.3 426.4	5075.4 5090.1	225 210
04/05	1184912	0.30	177.7	***************************************	0.30	215.7	G38000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0	0.048	1008243.0	23.2	0.048	1008243.0	24.2	440.8	5106.0	194
05/05	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$2000000 NORTH NORTH NO. 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	2000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	0.3	0.052	1008243.0	26.2	0.048	1008243.0	24.2	444.1	5131.0	169
06/05 07/05	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30	215.7 216.7	10603	0.0 0.5	0.043 0.036	1008243.0	21.7	0.045 0.036	1008243.0 1008243.0	22.7 18.1	437.8 430.2	5146.7 5151.6	153 149
06/05	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	10544	0.5	0.036	1008243.0	18.1	0.037	1008243.0	18.7	430.7	5152.6	147
09/05 10/05	1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	90000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30 0.30	215.7	300000000 ×-	1.7 0.1	0.049	1008243.0	24.7	0.049 0.057	1008243.0	24.7	444.4 449.5	5175.7 5198.3	124 102
11/05	1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30	215.7 215.7	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.3	0,054 0,038	1008243.0	19.2	0.043	1008243.0 1008243.0	28.7 21.7	449.5	5221.5	102 78
12/05	1184912	. 0.30	177.7	\$60000 (CO.)	0.30	215.7	5931	0.3	0.035	1008243.0	17.6	0.052	1008243.0	26.2	437.5	5239.0	61
01/06	1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	60000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	6472	0.3 0.5	0.063	1008243.0	31.8	0.064	1008243.0	32.3	457.7	5254.0	36
02/06 03/06	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7	\$200 C.	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	9884 5930	0.3	0,037 0,040	1008243.0	18.7	0.050 0.046	1008243.0 1008243.0	25.2 23.2	437.7 437.0	5271.4 5282.0	29 18
4/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	7249	0.4	0.044	1008243.0	22.2	0.044	1008243.0	22.2	438,1	5279.4	21
5/06 6/06	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	1,348 (2000)	0.30 0.30	215.7	9595 6973	0.5 0.3	0.048	1008243.0	24.2	0.049 0.046	1008243.0	24.7	442.8 441.1	5278.0	22 19
7/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	Mary E diminion	0.30	215.7 215.7	1683	0.1	0:048 0:042	1008243.0 1008243.0	21.2	0.046	1008243.0 1008243.0	23.2 22.2	441.1 436.8	5281.4 5288.0	19
6/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	3954	0.2	0.030	1008243.0	15.1	0.031	1008243.0	15.6	424.3	5281.6	18
9/06 10/06	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	0000,0000,0000,0000,000	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	3295 0	0.2	0.041 0.036	1008243.0 1008243.0	20.7 18.1	0.039 0.032	1008243.0 1008243.0	19.7 16.1	433.9 427.7	5271.1 5249.2	29 51
11/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$6000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	3295	0.2	0.038	1008243.0	19.2	0.037	1008243.0	18.7	431.4	5246.1	54
12/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$8000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	1977	0.1	0.036	1008243.0	18.1	0.037	1008243.0	18.7	430.3	5238.8	61
01/07 02/07	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7		0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	5931	0.0 0.3	0.036 0.030	1008243.0 1008243.0	18.1 15.1	0.034 0.026	1008243.0 1008243.0	17.1 13.1	428.7	5209.8 5194.0	90
03/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30	215.7	2636	0.1	0.033	1008243.0	16.6	0.026	1008243.0	17.6	421.9 427.8	5184.7	106 115
04/07	1184912	. 0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	1318	0.1	0.034	1008243.0	17.1	0.028	1008243.0	14.1	424.7	5171.3	129
05/07 06/07	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30	215.7 215.7	15816 7249	0.8 0.4	0.054 0.047	1008243.0 1008243.0	27.2 23.7	0.063 0.051	1008243.0 1008243.0	31.8 25.7	453.1 443.1	5181.7 5183.7	118 116
07/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	5931	0.3	0.047	1008243.0	22.7	0.050	1008243.0	25.2	441.6	5188.5	110
06/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	200.7 (200000004)	0.30	215.7	1446	0.1	0.042	1008243.0	21.2	0.046	1008243.0	23.2	437.8	5202.0	98
09/07 10/07	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	ARREST CONTROL	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	2636 821	0.1 0.0	0.051 0.050	1008243.0 1008243.0	25.7 25.2	0.056 0.055	1008243.0 1008243.0	28.2 27.7	447.5 446.4	5215.6 5234.3	84 66
11/07	£1184912	0.30	177.7	######################################	0.30	215.7	457	0.0	0.030	1008243.0	24.2	0.048	1008243.0	24.4	442.0	5234.3 5244.9	66
12/07	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	5857	0.3	0.052	1008243.0	26.2	0.051	1008243.0	25.7	445.6	5260.2	40
01/08 02/08	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	2969 1977	0.1 0.1	0.040 0.040	1008243.0 1008243.0	20.2 20.2	0.040 0.042	1008243.0 1008243.0	20.2 21.2	433.9 434.8	5265.4 5278.3	35 22
03/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	2834	0.1	0.043	1008243.0	21.7	0.042	1008243.0	21.2	434.0 436.4	\$5000 TO See TO 3 VALVE 3 1	13
-									,							· ····································	

04/08	1184912	0.30	177.7 1437678	0.30	215.7	0,049	1008243.0	24.7	0.045	1008243.0	22.7	44	0.8 5302.9 3
05/08	1184912	0.30	177.7 1437678	0.30	215.7	0.038	1008243.0	19.2	0.041	1008243.0	20.7	43	3.2 5283.0 17
06/08	1184912	0.30	177.7 1437678	. 0.30	215.7	0.049	1008243.0	24.7	0.047	1008243.0	23.7	. 44	1.8 5281.7 18
07/08	1184912	0.30	177.7	0.30	215.7	0.049	1008243.0	24.7	0.048	1008243.0	24.2	44	2.4 5282.5 17

.

# Lansing Smith Rolling Average NOx Worksheet 12 month Rolling NOx Cap = 6666 Tons

									Cap = 6666 Ton				UNIT F AAAT		CHITH	· CAN BOUTU	Available
DATE		UNIT 1 COAL		Fuel ::	UNIT 2 COAL		UNIT	3 Oil Gal x AP42	CEM	T 4 CCCT CEM Heat	CEM	CEM	UNIT 5 CCCT	CEM	SMITH	12 MONTH ROLLING	Margin
Monthlyr	Fuel MBTU	CEM Rate	NOx Tons	MBTU	CEM Rate	NOx Tons	Fuel	NOx Tons	NOxRate	Input	NOx Tons	NOxRate	Input	NOx Tons	NOx Tons	Nox Tons	NOx Tons
04/02	127218	0.44	28.0	15497	0.26		<b>0.0</b>	. 0.0			5.7			26.1	61.8	61.8	6804
05/02	889932	0.50	222.5	811125	0.42	170.3	26425.0	1.3			10.3			9.8	414.2	476.0	6190
06/02	988070	0.47	232.2	228029	0.35	39.9	4679.0	0.2			14.6			12.9	299.8	775.9	5890
07/02	1075163	0.47	253.7	729920	. 0.34	125.2	30000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.1			15.9			13.6	408.5	1184.3	5482
06/02	1063162	0.46	241.9	54-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11	0.36	181.8	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.2			16.2			14.8	454.9	1639.2	5027
09/02	1066966	0.47	251.3	484683	0.37	89.7		0.0			14.3			12.7	368.0	2007.2	4669 4262
10/02	878829	0.48	210.9	873345	0.37	161.6	500% 1 1	0.0			14.0			10.0 11.0	396.6 442.2	2403.7 2846.0	3820
11/02	896394 1030555	0.52	233.1 262.8	920268 958784	0.39	179.5 172.6	200	0.3 0.1			18.4 14.6			11.0	461.1	3307.0	3359
12/02 01/03	1024895	0.51 0.51	262.0	\$100000 \ \Circ\	0.30	0.2	31832.0	1.6			19.3			13.7	296.1	3603.2	3063
02/03	800361	0.49	196.1	0	0.00	0.0	16883.0	0.8			13.1			10.7	220.8	3823.9	2842
03/03	1103197	. 0.49	271.4	0	0.00	0.0	1318.0	0.1			0.0			0.0	271.5	4095.4	2571
04/03	639534	0.45	145.2	584692	0.36	105.2	0.0	0.0			11.1			10.7	272.2	4305.8	2360
05/03	445433	. 0.48	106.9	997902	0.40	199.6	24454.0	1.2			6.4			5.9	320.0	4211.5	2454
06/03	966818	0.49	236.9	1044757	0.41	214.2		0.0			13.4			11.8	476.3	4388.0	2278
07/03	1045156	0.48	250.8	720000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.39	223.7	256.0	0.0			16.8			12.4	503.7	4483.2	2183
06/03	535944	0.47	125.9	1147508	0.39	223.8	0.0	0.0			16.6			13.3	379.6	4408.0	2258
09/03	979317	0.46	. 224.8	1110749	0.39	214.9	1024.0	0.1			12.3			11.3 8.5	463.3 434.4	4503.3 4541.2	2163 2125
10/03	1049743	0.46	243.5 232.5	6	0.35	173.9 232.0	73 1791.0 6654.2	0.1 0.3			8.4 14.2			12.1	491.2	4590.1	2076
11/03 12/03	939389 86831	0.50 0.51	232.5	87418Co. a. 1 + 0 10	0.40 0.41	252.0 256.5	31120				13.9			10.2	304.3	4433.A	2233
01/04	1015051	0.50	253.3	C 4 C 2 2 2 2 2 3	0.39	226.8	~ : 60	0.0			18.0			12.1	510.1	4647.3	2019
02/04	1060915	0.50	266.8	1128806	0.39	219.0	7422	0.4			17.6			13.4	517.2	4943.7	1722
03/04	1166691	0.48	282.3	1232757	0.40	244.7	6782	0.3			10.1			7.9	545.4	5217.7	1448
04/04	937791	0.47	222.3	\$27759899999000000000000000000000000000000	0.37	120.2	Ô	0.0			14.1			17.3	373.9	5319.4	1347
05/04	983804	0.46	227.8	1058311	0.39	203.7	6674	0.3			13.2			12.3	457.3	5456.7	1209
06/04	978024	0.47	231.3		0.39	215.5	3690	0.2			14.5			13.9	475.4	6456.8	1210
07/04	1001017	0.48	237.7	1155257	0.39	225.9	7351	0.4			16.3			15.4	495.7	. 6447.8	1218
06/04	1072538	0.48	257.4	1262299	0.39	246.8	8893	0.4			18.9			16.9	540.4	5608.6	1067
09/04	947554	0.49	230.7	1091738	0.40	216.2	53884	2.6			13.0 17.0			12.3 16.0	474.8	5620.0 6749.1	1046 917
10/04 .	1121253 539107	0.49 0.49	274.1	1342901 1234658	0.38 0.41	255.8 251.3	9885 11862	0.5 0.6			1.5			15.8	401.0	5658.9	1007
11/04 12/04	1128202	. 0.49	274.2	\$2007 9 5 2000 CX 2007 1	0.41	275.5	####Z5@305.000	0.5			11.9			14.3	576.4	5931.0	735
01/05	1155769	0.47	273.9	\$60.00 0.00 0.00 000 000 000 000 000 000	0.40	265.7	0	0.0	0,035	643120.0		0.043	660216.0	14.2	565.1	5986.0	680
02/05	925743	0.50	229.1	675645	0.41	138.2	2636	0.1	0.034	699709.0	11.9	0.039	735342.0	14.3	393.7	5862.5	804
03/05	1069725	0.49	260.5	0	0.00	0.0	4613	0.2	0.029	960127.0	13.9	0.036	952501.0	17.1	291.8	5608.8	1057
04/05	1068900	0.48	256.5	\$885,5750C x 49866C45F-1	0.27	3.5	0	0.0	0,046	374509.0		0.048	669618.0	16.1	284.7	5519.6	1146
05/05	1119052	0.48	270.8		0.38	184.4	× 6458	0.3	0.052	165768.0		0.048	674567.0	16.2	476.1	5538.4	1128
06/05	1095747	0.48	263.0	500 COMP	0.40	253.7	0		0.043	736302.0		0.045 0.036	639980.0 759442.0	14.4 13.7	546.9 536.1	5609.9 5650.3	1056 1016
07/05	1129671 1142766	0.47 0.48	263.8 271.4	5000090000 9 100000000000000000000000000	0.38 0.38	244.2 250.2		0.5		772550.0 853222.0		0.037	832817.0	15.4	552.9	5662.7	1003
08/05 09/05	1075429	0.48	256.0	10000002191112440632	0.38	237.7	33649	1.7	0.049	628031.0		0.049	635413.0	15.6	526.3	5714.2	952
10/05	820595	0.47	192.8	1000007077-010590500	0.34	242.7	55102000comms	0.1	0.054	483569.0		0.057	488977.0	13.9	462.6	5613.A	1053
11/05	712646	0.48	169.6	-28 (Carlot Carlot Art 20 (Back)	0.38	205.9		0.3	0.038	696587,0		0.043	664610.0	14.3	403.3	5615.7	1050
12/05	1169321	0.46	269.5	1329367	0.38	254.6	5931	0.3	0.035	192822.0	3.4	0.052	220582.0	5.7	533.5	5672.8	1093
01/06	1155677	0.48	. 277 A	1374571	0.39	268.0	6472	0.3	0.063	214359.0		0.064	292831.0	9.4	561.8	5569.6	1096
02/06	1070897	0.47	. 251.7	AND AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	0.38	226.9	9884			501299.0		0.050	514787.0	12.9	501.2	5677.1	989
03/06	1177337	0.46	270.8	OCCUPATION OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWN	0.36	. 258.8	5930	0.3	0.040	413039.0		0.046	444044.0	10.2	548.3	5933.7	732
4/06	1132825	0.44	251.6	solicitation to the	0.35	203.1	7249 9695	DA A S	0.044	488891.0	10.8	0.044 0.049	374305.0 604878.0	8.2 14.8	473.9 489.7	6122.9 6136.5	543 529
5/06 6/06	979511 1145670	0.45 0.47	220.A 269.2	1225255 1313875	0.39 0.40	238.9 262.8	V . W	0.6 0.3	0.048 0.048	627839.0 638581.0		0.045	656442.0	15.1	562.8	6152.A	528 514
7/06	1112655	0.47	269.8	1292237	0.40	257.2	\$800,000 MY. ACM	747 WHEELER TV	0.042	828714.0		0.044	791365.0	17.4	561.9	6178.2	488
8/06	1164454	0.46	266.7		0.38	257.1			0.030	1008243.0		0.031	932287.0	14.5	553.5	6178,9	487
906	732573	0.47	. 171.4	\$23.00 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$	0.38	165.4				769969.0		0.039	790428.0	15.4	368.2		845
10/06	1076083	0.43			0.33	96.6	1.0		0.036	890746.0		0.032	926286.0	14.8	358,3		750
11/06	992211	0.45		SERVICE SCHOOLASTICAL	0.37	240.4	***		0.038			0.037	635888.0	11.8	488.4	2 - 5 - 1 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	564
12/06	1078040	0.46			0.35	217.6	1.5		0.036			0.037	524421.0	9.7	484.7		
01/07	1028044	0.48			0.37	211.5	S. 41, " 346,49.	0.0				0.034	707421.0	12.0	. 484.7	1 April 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$8000000000000000000000000000000000000
02/07	948513	0.46		\$2000985E. N. 1/844444467	0.37	208.6		0.3	0.030			0.026 0.035	899759.0 878083.0	11.7	451.3 305.9	to be a new to the design.	840 1083
03/07	301058	0.46	68.9	\$10000000 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0.37 0.40	206.2 141.2		0.1 0.1	0.033 0.034	925544.0 677508.0		0.035	678083.0 543253.0	15.4 7.6	160.4	1. 1 Th - 1 Fam. 1 10 CO 10 100000	1396
04/07 05/07	740719	0.46	170.4	SERBERG CONTRACTOR	0.40	141.2 265.5	7 17 7 Y C 20 C 20 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0.1	0.054	693141.0		0.028	245360.0	7.7	460.4	5240.5	1425
06/07	1098806	0.40	277.4		0.40	255.9	V 1 1275 1 degree	0.4		779926.0		0.051	750585.0	19.1	571.2	5248.9	
07/07	676170	0.50	168.0	18/9000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.38	264.4	5931	0.3	0.045	809056.0		0.050	789605.0	19.7	470.7	5157.7	1508
08/07	1049449	0.49		79 3000 5	0.38	253.6		0.1	0.042	I		0.046	865569.0	19.9	552.4	5156.6	1509
09/07	980046	0.50	245.0	36800.5	0.37	222.3		0.1	0.051	673882.0	17.2	0.056	622264.0	17.4	502.0	De Thank I think at	1376
10/07	ic 1071871	0.50	267.4	38° 528° 6 1	0.38	248.5		0.0	0,050	728722.0		0.055	708687.0	19.5	553.7	a " a a "	1180
11/07	984401	0.51	249.1	836	0.38	228,8	457	0.0	0.048	I		0.048	664913.0	16.1	510.7	5508.0	1158
12/07	1106377	0.48	266.1	1369891	0.36	. 248.0		0.3	0.052			0.051	504956.0	12.9	539.0		1104
01/08	1184912	0.50	295.0	\$5000 TO 1000 CO 1000	0.36	251,2	Target Annual States (Scott	0.1	0.040	951787.0		0.040	854724.0 509444.0	17.1	682.6	Parameter	1006
02/08	1107544	0.52	289.1 222.7		0.36	166.2		0.1	0.040	I		0.042 0.042		12.0 14.3			978 830
03/08	918152	0.49	222.1	1104440	0.37	202.7	2834	0.1	0.043	1 900310.0	V 14./	0.042	002020.0	14.3	404.0	1	0.50

1	04/08	680554	0.44	150.7 1219364.86	0.33	202.4 0 0.0	0.049	647197.0	15.9	0,045	749399.0	16.9	385	.9 6061.8	604
	05/08	1037713	0.47	243.9 365707	0.35	64.0 0 0.0	0.038	826678.0	15.7	0.041	672235.0	13.8	337	.3 5938.7	727
	06/08	1034701	0.49	254.5 1058481	0.39	208.0 1120 0.1	0.049	702673.0	17.2	0.047	683191.0	16.1	495	.9 5863.4	803
	07/08	1062808	0.50	263.6 1265199	0.41	256.8 1977 - 0.1	0.049	836757.0	20.5	0.048	816629.0	19.6	560	.6 5953.4	713

Full Time Operation Case
Smith 1 & 2 at .30 (brimmbu rate using highest heat input from Units 1, 2, 4, 5 in baseline case.

Lansing Smith Rolling Average NOx Worksheet

Using a wors	case heat input	or Units 1 & 2 a	nd :30 lb/mmbtu	rate a new 12 m	onth Rolling NO			1 20% reduction		*************	*****					i i	
DATE		UNIT 1 COAL	٠.		UNIT 2 COAL	• .	SE UNIT	3 Oil Gal x AP42		IT 4 CCCT High CEM He	651	OFFI	UNIT 5 CCCT High CEM Heat	CEM	SMITH	12 HONTH	Available
Monthlyr	HighestFuel MBTU	CEM Rate	NOx Tons	HighestFuel	CEM Rate	NOx Tons	Fuel Gallons	NOx Tons	CEM NOxRate	nign CEM Hea Input	CEM NOx Tons	CEM NOxRate	Input	NOx Tons	HOx Tons	ROLLING Nox Tons	Margin <u>NOx Tons</u>
04/02 05/02	1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7	<ul> <li>5.2000000000000000000000000000000000000</li></ul>	0.30 0.30	215.7	0.0	0.0 1.3			5.7			26.1 9.8	425.	A CETALOGO S.	4875
06/02	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	- 1 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2	0.30	215.7 215.7	26425.0 4679.0	0.2			10.3 14.6			12.9	414,1 421,1	CLASSIC CONTRACTOR	4460 4039
07,002	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	2570.0	0.1			15.9			13.6	423.	1684.1	3616
06/02	1184912	. 0.30	177.7	175 Sec. 100	0.30	215.7	4547.0	0.2			16.2			14.8	424.	-55600000 A	3191
09/02 10/02	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	367676000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7 215.7	791.0 0.0	0.0 0.0			14.3 14.0			12.7 10.0	420.4 417.1	**************************************	2771
11/02	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$8000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	5921.0	0.3			18.4			11.0	423.	3369.6	1930
12/02	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	1693.0	0.1			14.6			11.0	419.	***cco8666.**********************************	1511
01/03 02/03	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	200220000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7 215.7	31832.0 16883.0	1.6 0.8			19.3 13.1			13.7 10.7	428.1 418.1	(A888) 2	1083 665
03/03	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	1318.0	0,1			0.0			0.0	393.	/ . ,	272
04/03	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$200 BOX 12 CONTRACT #	0.30	215.7	0.0	0.0			11.1			10.7	415.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	282
05/03 06/03	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	Section Commence	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	24454.0 323.4	12 0.0			6.4 13.4			5.9 11.8	406.9 418.9		290 292
07/03	1184912	0.30	177.7	AND CONTRACTOR .	0.30	215.7	256.0	0.0			16.8			12.4	422.0		293
06/03	1184912	0.30	177.7	80% (CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	0.30	215.7	0.0	0.0			16.6			13,3	423.	25" x 35" 352" 0.50" 0.5" 0.5" 0.5"	294
09/03 10/03	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7		0.30 0.30	. 215.7 215.7	1024.0 1791.0	0.1 0.1			12.3 8.4			11.3 8.5	417.	£3000000 to 1	297 304
11/03	1184912	0.30	177.3		0.30	215.7	6654.2	0.3			14.2			12.1	420.	2 CONT. C	307
12/03	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	216.7	31120	1.5 0.0			13.9			10.2	419.	639933333	308
01/04 02/04	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	5 ************************************	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	7422	0.0			18.0 17.6			12.1 13.4	423. 424.		312 305
03/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	<ul> <li>X.3000000000000000</li> </ul>	0.30	215.7	6782	0.3			10.1			7.9	411.	3.85	287
04/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	. *CL000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	0	0.0			14.1			17,3	424.	100 a. 100	277
05/04 06/04	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177.7 177.7	a - 200000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	6674 3690	0.3 0.2			13.2 14.5			12.3 13.9	419.1 422.1	B 4600	265 262
07/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	7351	0.4			16.3			15.4	425.		259
06/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	8893	0.4			18.9			16.9	429.6	C200 0000000000000000000000000000000000	253
09/04 10/04	1184912 1184912	0,30 0,30	177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	53684 9685	2.6 0.5			-13.0 17.0			12.3 16.0	421.1 426.1	T:0.0000000:	248 232
11/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$300000475555550000000	0.30	215.7	11862	0.6			1.5			15.8	411.3	1.40000000000 TU-1.40	240
12/04	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	. 0.30	215.7	10543	0.5			11.9			14.3	420.	5060.6	239
01/05 02/05	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	117.7 177.7	2500000 Charles	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	2636	0.0	0.035 0.034	1008243.0 1008243.0	17.6 17.1	0.043 0.039	1008243.0 1008243.0	21.7 19.7	432.1 430.1	1.000 1.000 1.000	230 225
. 03/05	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	4813	0.2	0.029	1008243.0	14.6	0.036	1008243.0	18.1	426.	- 4885° 4	210
04/05	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	0	0.0	0.046	1008243,0	23.2	0.048	1008243.0	24.2	440.	24079000	194
05/05	1184912 1184912	0.30 0.30	177,7 177,7	\$1.7° 4538000000000000	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	6458	0.3 0.0	0.052 0.043	1008243.0 1008243.0	26.2 21.7	0.048 0.045	1008243.0 1008243.0	24.2 22.7	444.	5131.0 5146.7	169 153
07/05	1184912	0.30	177.7	\$5 3000000000	0.30	215.7	10603	0.5	0.036	1008243.0	18.1	0.036	1008243.0	18.1	430.	G20000000 75 17 78 75	149
08/05	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	10544	0.5	0.036	1008243.0	18.1	0.037	1008243.0	18.7	430.		147
09/05 10/05	1184912 1184912	0.30	- 177.7 177.7	-435-25000000000 A	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	33649 2636	1.7 0.1	0.049 0.064	1008243.0 1008243.0	24.7 27.2	0.049 0.057	1008243.0 1008243.0	24.7 28.7	444.4 449.1	5,522307.5	124 102
11/05	1184912	0.30	177.7	Sec. 2020000-8-00007	0.30	215.7	5931	0.3	0.038	1008243.0	19.2	0.043	1008243.0	21.7	434.	Carried to a contract Carried Co.	78
12/05	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	5931	0.3	0.035	1008243.0	17.6	0.052	1008243.0	26.2	437.	48/20/35 m . 40/20/40	61
01/06 02/06	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	6472 9884	0.3 0.5	0.063 0.037	1008243.0 1008243.0	31.8 18.7	0.064 0.050	1008243.0 1008243.0	32.3 25.2	457.1 437.1		36 29
03/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	5930	0.3	0.040	1008243.0	20.2	0.046	1008243.0	23.2	437.0	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	-/cm18
4/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	7249	0.4 0.5	0.044	1008243.0	22.2	0.044	1008243.0	22.2	438.	4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	- 21
5/06 6/06	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30	215.7 215.7	9595 6973	0.5	0.048 0.048	1008243.0 1008243.0	24.2 24.2	0.049 0.046	1008243.0 1008243.0	24.7 23.2	442.5	de Soliti	22 19
7/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	1683	0.1	0.042	1008243.0	21.2	0.044	1008243.0	22.2	436.	Control of the Contro	12
8/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	_ * *x_8a000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	3954	0.2	0.030	1008243.0	15.1	0.031	1008243.0	15.6	424.	9.5%	18
9/06 10/06	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	3295 0	0.2 0.0	0.041 0.036	1008243.0 1008243.0	20.7 18.1	0.039 0.032	1008243.0 1008243.0	19.7 . 16.1	433.9 427.1	Charles San San Commercial Commer	29 51
11/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437878	0.30	. 215.7	3295	0.2	0.038	1008243.0	19.2	0.037	1008243.0	18.7	431,4	865000 PW - 250-256	54
12/06	1184912	0.30	177.7	5- 33-C3000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215.7	1977	0.1	0.036	1008243.0	18.1	0.037	1008243.0	18.7	430.3	25.1.2	61
01/07 02/07	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	5931	0.0 2.0.3	0.036 0.030	1008243.0 1008243.0	18.1 15.1	0.034 0.026	1008243.0 1008243.0	17.1 13.1	428.1 421.5	1000 - 100 -	90 106
03/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	2636	0.1	0.033	1008243.0	16.6	0.035	1008243.0	17.6	427.1		115
04/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	1318	0.1	0.034	1008243.0	17.1	0.028	1008243.0	14.1	424.7	23.0332	129
05/07 06/07	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.30	215,7 215,7	15816 7249	0.8 0.4	0.054 0.047	1008243.0 1008243.0	27.2 23.7	0.063 0.051	1008243.0 1008243.0	31.8 25.7	453,1 443,1	BURNOUS .: : provided beforespect	118 116
07/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7	5931	0.3	0.045	1008243.0	22.7	0.050	1008243.0	25.2	441.6	25 Strate . See . See . See 25 and 20	112
08/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	1437678	0.30	215.7		0.1	0.042	1008243.0	21.2	0.046	1008243.0	23.2	437.8	25.638.62	98
09/07 10/07	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	2636 821	0.1 0.0	0.051 0.050	1008243.0 1008243.0	25.7 25.2	0.056 0.055	1008243.0 1008243.0	28.2 27.7	447.5 446.4	SANCTON OF FIRE COOK	84 66
11/07	1184912	0.30	177.7		0.30	215.7	457	0.0	0.048	1008243.0	24.2	0.048	1008243.0	24.4	442.0		56 56
12/07	1184912	0.30	177.7	4.4.4.4.600000000 C.	0.30	215.7	5857	0.3	0.052	1008243.0	26.2	0.051	1008243.0	25.7	445.6	5260.2	40
01/08 02/08	1184912 1184912	0.30	177.7 177.7	1437678 1437678	0.30 0.30	215.7 215.7	Street, victoriorio,	0.1 0.1	0.040 0.040	1008243.0 1008243.0	20.2 20.2	0.040 0.042	1008243.0 1008243.0	20.2 21.2	433.5 434.8	222.00	35 22
03/08	1184912	0.30	177.7	2 (Section Conf.)	0.30	215.7	86. 4008	0.1	0.043		21.7	0.042	1008243.0	21.2	436.4		13
																- All	

0408 1184912 0.30 177.7 1437678 0.30 215.7 0 0.0 0.043 1008243.0 24.7 0.045 1008243.0 22.7 440.8 5392.9 3
0508 1184912 0.30 177.7 1437678 0.30 215.7 0 0.0 0.038 1008243.0 19.2 0.041 1008243.0 22.7 443.2 5283.0 17
0608 1184912 0.30 177.7 1437678 0.30 215.7 1120 0.1 0.049 1008243.0 24.7 0.047 1008243.0 23.7 441.8 5281.7 18
0708 1184912 0.30 177.1 1437678 0.30 215.7 11977 0.1 0.049 1008243.0 24.7 0.048 1008243.0 24.2 442.4 5282.5 17

## Lansing Smith Rolling Average NOx Worksheet 12 month Rolling NOx Cap = 5666 Tons

DATE		UNIT 1 COAL			UNIT 2 COAL			3 Oil		IT 4 CCCT			UNIT 5 CCCT		SMITH	12 HONTH	Available
Monthlyr	Fuel MBTU	CEM Rate	NOx Tons	Fuel MBTU	CEM Rate	NOx Tons	Fuel Gallons	Gal x AP42 NOx Tons	CEM NOxRate	CEM Heat input	CEM NOx Tons	CEM NOxRate	CEM Heat Input	CEM NOx Tons	TOTAL NOx Tons	ROLLING Nox Tons	Margin NOx Tons
04/02	127218	- 0.44	28.0	20-1-1-1-0-000000233333	0.26	2.0	0.0	0.0			5.7			26.1	61.8	61.8	6604
05/02 06/02	889932 988070	0.50 0.47	222.5	811125 228029	0.42 0.35	- 170,3 39,9	26425.0 4679.0	1.3 0.2			10.3 14.6			9.8 12.9	414.2	700000000000000000000000000000000000000	6190 5890
07/02	1075163	0.47	253.7	729920	0.34	125.2	2570.0	0.1			15.9			13.6	408.5	\$100 Back 1 \$100 Back 100 Back	5482
06/02	1063162	0.46	241.9	1007471	0.36	181.8	4547.0	0.2			16.2			14.8	454.5	1639.2	5027
09/02 10/02	1066966 878829	0.47 0.48	251.3 210.9	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.37	89.7 161.6	791.0 0.0	0.0			14.3 14.0		`	12.7 10.0	368.0 396.6	2007.2 2403.7	4669 4262
11/02	896394	0.52	233.1	-0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.39	179.5	5921.0	0.3			18.4			11.0	442.2	1230,000 00000000000000000000000000000000	3820
12/02	1030555	0.51	262.8	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	0.36	172.6	1693.0	0.1			14.6		.	11.0	· 461.1	3307,0	3359
01/03 02/03	1024895 800361	0.51	261.3 196.1	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.47 0.00	0.2	31832.0 16883.0	1.6 0.8			19.3			13.7 10.7	296.1 220.8	3603.2 3823.9	3063 2842
03/03	1103197	0.49	271.4	1.2 × 2.3 × 2.5 × 2.5 × 1.5 × 1.5 ×	. 0.00	0.0	1318.0	0.1			0.0			0.0	271.5	SECTION OF THE OWNERS	2571
04/03	639534	0.45	145.2	10.000 A 600 C 500	0.36	105.2	0.0	0.0			11,1			10.7	272.2	7". 3631.4" SO 3030382382384	2360
05/03 06/03	445433 966818	0.48 0.49	106.9 236.9	997902 1044757	0.40 0.41	199.6 214.2	24454.0 323.4	1.2			6.4 13.4			5.9 11.8	320.0 476.1	30000000000000000000000000000000000000	2454 2278
07/03	1045156	0.48	250.8		0.39	223.7	256.0	0.0	,		16.8			12.4	503.7	4483.2	2183
06/03	535944	0.47	125.9	107502880200, 100100	0.39	223.8	0.0	0.0			16.6	-		13.3	379.6	CC / CC	2258
09/03 10/03	979317 1049743	0.46 0.46	224.8 243.5		0.39 0.35	214.9 173.9	1024.0 1791.0	0.1 0.1			12.3 8.4			11.3 8.5	463.3 434.4	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	2163 2125
11/03	939389	0.50	232.5	2000000 v 1000000	. 0.40	232.0	6654.2	0.3			14.2			12.1	491.2	10 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	2076
12/03	86831	0.51	22.2	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.41	256.5	31120	1.5			13.9			10.2	304.3	4433,4	2233
01/04 02/04	1015051 1060915	0.50 0.50	253.3 266.8	1151064 1128806	0.39	226.8 219.0	7422	0,0 0,4			18.0 17.6		1	12.1 13.4	510.1	4647.3 4943.7	2019 1722
03/04	1166691	0.48	282.3	1232757	0.40	244.7	6782	0.3			10.1			7.9	545.4	5217.7	1448
04/04	937791	0.47	222.3	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.37	120.2	0	0.0			14.1			17.3	373.9	7. 2. 2000 (17. http://doi.org/10.000	1347
05/04 06/04	983804 978024	0.46 0.47	227.8	1058311 1102346	0.39	. 203.7 215.5	6674 3690	0.3 0.2			13.2 14.5			12.3 13.9	457.3 475.4	5456.7 5456.8	1209 1210
07/04	1001017	0.48	237.7	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.39	225.9	7351	0.4			16.3			15.4	495.7	50000000 C000000 C0 - 04 - 5	1218
08/04	1072538	0.48	257.A	\$6.00 (10 TEMPORE)	0.39	, 245.8	8893	0.4			18.9			16.9	540.4	TORRESSON CONTRACTOR OF STREET	1067
09/04 10/04	947554 1121253	0.49	230.7	1091738 1342901	0.40	216.2 255.8	53684 9885	2.6 0.5			13.0 17.0			12.3 16.0	474.8 563.8	2000 / C. J. S. 444 28	1046 917
11/04	539107	0.49	131.8	1234658	0.41	251.3	11862	0.6		٠.	1.5			15.8	401.0	SECURIO CONTROL CONTRO	1007
12/04	1128202	0.49	274.2	100000	0.42	275.5	10543	0.5			11.9			- 14,3	576.4	\$8000000000000000000000000000000000000	735
01/05 02/05	1155769 925743	0.47 0.50	273.9 229.1	1325209 675845	0.40 0.41	265.7 138.2	2636	0.0 0.1	0.035 0.034	643120.0 699709.0	11.3	0.043 0.039	660216.0 735342.0	14.2 14.3	565.1 393.7	5986.0 5862.5	680 804
03/05	1069725	0.49	260.5	70.575 (0.000)	0.00	0.0	4613	0.2	0.029	960127,0	13.9	0.036	952501.0	17.1	291.8	Pr. Pr. 00000000000000000000000000000000	1057
04/05	1068900	0.48	256.5	100400000000000000000000000000000000000	0.27	: 3.5	0	0.0	0.045	374509.0	8.6	0.048	669618.0	16.1	284,7	127 12 2000 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	1146
05/05 06/05	1119052 1095747	0.48 0.48	270,8 263.0	100 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	. 0.38 0.40	184.4 253.7	6458 0	0,3 0,0	0.052 0.043	165768.0 736302.0	4.3 15.8	0.048 0.045	674567.0 639980.0	16.2 14.4	476.1 546.5	5538.4 5609.9	1128 1056
07/05	1129671	0.47	263.8	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY NAMED IN	0.38	244.2	10603	0.5	0.036	772550.0	13.9	0.036	759442.0	13.7	536.1	5650.3	1016
08/05	1142766	0.48	271.4	1330656	0.38	250.2	10544	0.5	0.036	853222.0	15.4	0.037	832817.0	15.4	552.5	5662.7	1003
09/05 10/05	1075429 820595	0.48	256.0 192.8	1264390 1410953	0.38	237.7 242.7	33649 2636	1.7 0.1	0.049 0.054	628031.0 483569.0	15.4	0.049 0.057	635413.0 488977.0	15.6 13.9	526.1 462.6	10200000000000000000000000000000000000	952 1053
11/05	712646	0.48	169.6		0.38	205.9	5931	0.3	0.038	696587.0	13.2	0.043	664610.0	14.3	403.3	10730-008005-0000-0000-00006	1050
12/05	1169321	0.46	269.5	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.38	254.6	5931	0.3	0.035	192822.0	3.4	0.052	220582.0	5.7	533.6	N-096286865	1093
01/06 02/06	1155677 1070897	0.48	277.A 251.7	1374571 1194058	0.39 0.38	268.0 226.9	6472 9884	0.3	0.063 0.037	214359.0 501299.0	6.8 9.3	0.064	292831.0 514787.0	9.4 12.9	561.8 501.2	- CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	1096 989
03/06	1177337	0.46	270.8	1407678	0.36	258.8	5930	0.3	0.040	413039,0	8.3	0.046	444044.0	10.2	548.3	3280 m 200 m	732
406	1132825	0.44	251.5	The state of the s	0.35	203.1	7249	0.4	0.044	488891.0	10.8	0.044	374305.0	8.2	473.9	6122.9	543
5/06 6/06	979511 1145670	0.45	220.4 269.2	1225255 1313875	0.39	238.9	9595 6973	0,5 0,3	0.048 0.048	627839.0 638581.0	15.1 15.3	0.049 0.046	604878.0 656442.0	14.8	489.7 562.8	6136.5 6152.4	529 614
7/06	1112655	0.49	269.8	1292237	0.40	+ 257.2	1683	0.1	0.042	828714.0	17.4	0.044	791365.0	17.4	561.9	6178.2	488
6/06 9/06	1164454 732573	0.46 0.47	266.7	1232 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	0.38 0.38	257.1 165.4	3954 3295	0.2 0.2	0.030	1008243,0 769969.0	16.1 15.8	0.031 0.039	932287.0 790428.0	14.5	553.5		487 645
10/06	1076083	0.47	171.4 230.8	C 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0.33	96.6	3250 0	0.2	0.041 0.036	890746.0	16.0	0.033	926286.0	15.4 14.8	368.2 358.3		750
11/06	992211	0.45	224.7	1302907	0.37	240,4	3295	0.2	0.038	599878.0	11.4	0.037	635888,0	11.8	488.4	6001.6	664
12/06 01/07	1078040 1028044	0.46 0.48	247.9 248.3	1246939 1159111	0.35 0.37	217.6 211.5	1977	0.1 0.0	0.036	522425.0 713412.0	9.4 12.8	0.037 0.034	524421.0 707421.0	9.7 12.0	484.7	5952.8	713
02/07	948513	0.46	240.3	1130625	0.37	208.6	5931	0.0	0.036 0.030	870045.0	13.1	0.034	899759.0	11.7	484.7 451.3	5875.6 5825.8	790 840
03/07	301058	0.46	68.9	1111360	0.37	206.2	2636	0.1	0.033	925544.0	15.3	0.035	878083.0	15,4	305.9	5583.3	1083
04/07 05/07	740719	0.00 : 0.46	0.0 170.4	A	0.40	141.2 265.5	1318 15816	0.1 0.8	0.034 0.054	677508.0 593141.0	11.5 16.0	0.028 0.063	643253.0 245360.0	7.6 7.7	160.4	S-2007/1/19/2008/40/40/2019	1396
9607	1098806	0.51	277.4	1273195	0.40	255.9	7249	0.8	0.047	779926.0	18.3	0.061	750585.0	19.1	460.4 571.2	5240.5 5248.9	1425 1417
07/07	676170	0.50	168.0	1384288	0.38	264.4	5931	0.3	0.045	809056.0	18.2	0.050	789605.0	19.7	470.7	6157.7	1508
08/07 09/07	1049449 980046	. 0.49	259.2 245.0	1331363 1201518	0.38 0.37	253.6 222.3	1446 2636	0.1 0.1	0.042	932084.0 673882.0	19.6 17.2	0.046 0.056	865569.0 622264.0	19.9 17.4	552.4	C100007077988888666	1609
10/07	1071871	0.50	245.0 267.4	1321813	0.37	248.5	2000 821	0.0	0.051 0.050	728722.0	18.2	0.055	708687.0	17.4	502.0 553.7	5290.4 5485.8	1376 1180
11/07	984401	0.51	249.1	1210173	0.38	228.8	457	0.0	0.048	696900.0	16.7	0.048	664913.0	16.1	510.7	5508,0	1158
12/07 01/08	1106377	0.48 0.50	266.1 295.0	1369891 1391645.86	0.36 0.36	248.0 251.2	5857 2969	0.3 0.1	0.052	452402.0 951787.0	11.8 19.0	0.051 0.040	504956.0 854724.0	12.9 17.1	539.0	484686 AN 1 C ACCORD	1104
02/08	1184912 1107544	0.50	295.0	933459	0.36	166.2	2969 1977	0.1 0.1	0.040 0.040	951787.0 580978.0	11.6	0.040	854/24.0 569444.0	17.1	582.5 478.9	50005700700000000000000000000000000000	1006 978
03/08	918152	0.49	222.7	500000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.37	202.7	2834	0,1	0.043	685375.0	14.7	0.042	682825.0	14.3	454.5		830

04/08	680554	0.44	150.7 1219364.86	. 0.33	202.4	0.0	0.049	647197.0	15.9	0.045	749399.0	16.9	385.9 6061.8 604
05/08	1037713	0.47	243.9 365707	0.35	64.0	0.0	0.038	826678.0	15.7	0.041	672235.0	13.8	337.3 5938.7 727 495.9 5863.4 803
06/08	1034701	0.49	254.5 1058481	0.39	208.0	0.1	0.049	702673.0	17.2	0.047	683191.0	16.1	495.9 5863.4 803
07/08	1062808	0.50	263.6 1265199	0.41	256.8	7 0.1	0.049	836767.0	20.5	0.048	816629.0	19.6	560.6 5953.4 713

.

	Α	nnual NOx	Emissions	s, Tons/Yea	ar
Unit	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
1	2312	2888	2944	2973	2444
2	1844	2708	2261	2704	2760
3	5	4	5	4	5
4	146	180	138	164	182
5	99	171	174	162	188
Totals	4406	5951	5522	6007	5579

2-year average		5178.5	5736.5	5764.5	5793
Reductions @ 5300 TPY	-20.3%	10.9%	4.0%	11.8%	5.0%

Note: Annual emissions are from the Annual Operting Reports.

5,793 <--- Represents the highest 2-year average (2006-2007) 1,159 <--- 20% reduction from the highest 2-year average

4,634 <--- Estimated NOx emissions cap

	Α	nnual NOx	Emissions	s, Tons/Yea	ar
Unit	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
1	2312	2888	2944	2973	2444
2	1844	2708	2261	2704	2760
Totals	4156	5596	5205	5677	5204

2-year average		4876	5400.5	5441	5440.5
Reductions @ 5300 TPY	-27.5%	5.3%	-1.8%	6.6%	-1.8%

Note: Annual emissions are from the Annual Operting Reports.

5,441 <--- Represents the highest 2-year average (2005-2006) 1,088 <--- 20% reduction from the highest 2-year average

4,353 <--- Estimated NOx emissions cap

	Annual NOx Emissions, Tons/Year							
Unit	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007			
1	2521	3085	3168	3048	2771			
2	2030	2964	2416	2908	2970			
4	130	150	115	125	153			
5	98	137	143	125	150			
Totals	4779	6336	5842	6206	6044			

2-year average		5557.5	6089	6024	6125
Reductions @ 5300 TPY	-10.9%	16.4%	9.3%	14.6%	12.3%

Note: Annual emissions are from EPA's Acid Rain database.

6,125 <--- Represents the highest 2-year average (2006-2007)

1,225 <--- 20% reduction from the highest 2-year average

4,900 <--- Estimated NOx emissions cap

	Annual NOx Emissions, Tons/Year							
Unit	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007			
1	2521	3085	3168	3048	2771			
2	2030	2964	2416	2908	2970			
Totals	4551	6049	5584	5956	5741			

2-year average	5300	5816.5	5770	5848.5	
Reductions @ 5300 TPY	-16.5%	12.4%	5.1%	11.0%	7.7%

Note: Annual emissions are from EPA's Acid Rain database.

5,817 <--- Represents the highest 2-year average (2006-2007)

1,163 <--- 20% reduction from the highest 2-year average

4,654 <--- Estimated NOx emissions cap



## Unit Level Emissions Quick Report October 28, 2008

Your query will return dat a for 1 facilities and 4 units.

You specified: Year(s): 2007,2006,2005,2004,2003 Program(s): ARP Facility: Lansing Smith Generating Plant

State	Facility Name	Facility ID (ORISPL)	Unit	Associated Stacks	Year	Program (s)	Operating Time	# of Months Reported	SO <sub>2</sub> Tons	Avg. NO <sub>x</sub> Rate (lb/ mmBtu)	NO <sub>x</sub> Tons	CO <sub>2</sub> Tons	Heat Input (mmBtu)
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	1		2003	ARP	6,981	12	6,671.1	0.48	2,520.8	1,076,523.6	10,493,288
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	1		2004	ARP	8,336	12	9,709.3	0.48	3,085.0	1,320,156.7	12,866,997
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	1		2005	ARP	8,163	12	9,754.4	0.48	3,167.5	1,366,168.6	13,315,560
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	1		2006	ARP	8,329	12	6,765.0	0.46	3,048.3	1,367,426.8	13,327,789
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	1		2007	ARP	7,022	12	6,386.7	0.49	2,771.2	1,160,661.2	11,314,219
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	2		2003	ARP .	6,195	12	7,498.5	0.39	2,030.2	1,082,758.4	10,554,145
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	2		2004	ARP	8,367	12	11,404.0	0.39	2,964.3	1,557,869.8	15,185,196
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	2		2005	ARP	6,695	12	8,758.8	0.38	2,416.1	1,316,398.2	12,830,353
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	2		2006	ARP	7,937	12	7,843.3	0.37	2,908.0	1,607,317.8	15,665,819
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	2		2007	ARP	8,291	12	8,813.9	0.38	2,969.5	1,617,124.5	15,761,391
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	4		2003	ARP	5,097	12	5.9	0.04	130.4	414,016.0	6,966,663
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	4		2004	ARP	6,000	12	7.5	0.04	149.7	522,944.3	8,799,429
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	4	Mary and the second sec	2005	ARP	5,113	12	0.4	0.04	114.5	427,050.1	7,185,905

#### ★ Clean Air Markets - Data and Maps

Total								83,635.3		29,206.7	18,116,946.8	209,468,017
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	5	2007	ARP	5,914	12	0.8	0.04	149.9	485,514.8	8,169,610
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	5	2006	ARP	5,339	12	0.7	0.04	125.4	445,003.2	7,488,059
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	5	2005	ARP ,	5,748	12	0.4	. 0.04	142.7	472,773.8	7,955,287
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	5	2004	ARP	6,023	12	. 7.4	0.04	137.3	516,287.9	8,687,409
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	5	2003	ARP	· 4,940	12	5.6	0.04	97.8	392,327.1 ·	6,601,762
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	4	2007	ARP	6,162	12	0.8	0.04	152.7	522,680.4	8,795,157
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	4	2006	ARP	5,176	12	0.8	0.04	125.3	445,943.8	7,503,979

From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff
Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company

One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

**Sent:** Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total

= 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total

= 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey. Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

From:

١,

Koerner, Jeff

Sent:

Monday, November 03, 2008 1:02 PM

To:

'Waters, G. Dwain' Vielhauer, Trina

Cc: Subject:

Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Attachments:

Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.



Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Dat...

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total = 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section

Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff
Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

**From:** Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total = 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey. Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 11:53 AM

To: Koerner, Jeff
Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I think we can live with the 4700, 4800 is better? As a for the study, we're not sure how accurate the new stack flow monitor will be? If it turns out to overestimate greater than 6 perecent we lose margin from your baseline. In 2006 the overestimation was 6 perecent. It's not uncommon for these systems to be 15 percent. I've seen 20 percent on our system many times and they pass Ratas. That's why we're so consumed with this. In addition, establishing a cap somewhat limits future growth from demand so any growth has to be offset in the rate side of the equation.

Dwain Waters, QEP

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain **Cc**: Vielhauer, Trina

Sent: Wed Nov 05 10:40:46 2008

**Subject**: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

Actually, the number that we would propose would be based on the Acid Rain "TPY" totals for 2006-2007:

Unit	2006	2007
1	3048	2771
2	2908	2970
Total	5956	5741

Since I'm pulling these from an EPA summary report, please verify that these numbers represent the ones you reported.

The 2-year average is 5849 TPY. A 20% reduction would result in annual emissions of 4679 TPY.

So, for a good round number we would propose a NOx cap on Units 1 and 2 of 4700 tons/12 month rolling total based on the Acid Rain CEMS data reported to EPA.

I'm assuming that you reported your heat input rate to EPA's Acid Rain database for 2006 and 2007 **using the CEMS data**. Please verify. So, we should be using the heat input rate based on the Acid Rain data for the proposed limit as well. In that case, we don't need the stack heat input study for this project. We need to compare apples to apples, right?

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff

Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters,Q.E.P.
Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328
Phone: (850) 444-6527
Cell: (850) 336-6527

**From:** Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total = 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey. Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

From:

Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, November 05, 2008 1:07 PM

To:

Koerner, Jeff

Cc:

Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

We are good to go on the number but in case there's a problem with the certification of the new stack system we need options regarding its accuracy reporting heat input. The vendor originally stated no guarantee of this system. We may have to stay in the duct if it fails.

Dwain Waters, QEP

From: Koerner, Jeff To: Waters, G. Dwain Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Sent: Wed Nov 05 11:37:00 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I think you just have to make it work.

You've indicated that you want it to work and you want to report one set of numbers.

Now is the time.

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 11:53 AM

To: Koerner, Jeff Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I think we can live with the 4700, 4800 is better? As a for the study, we're not sure how accurate the new stack flow monitor will be? If it turns out to overestimate greater than 6 perecent we lose margin from your baseline. In 2006 the overestimation was 6 perecent. It's not uncommon for these systems to be 15 percent. I've seen 20 percent on our system many times and they pass Ratas. That's why we're so consumed with this. In addition, establishing a cap somewhat limits future growth from demand so any growth has to be offset in the rate side of the equation.

Dwain Waters, QEP

From: Koerner, Jeff To: Waters, G. Dwain Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

1/13/2009

Sent: Wed Nov 05 10:40:46 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

Actually, the number that we would propose would be based on the Acid Rain "TPY" totals for 2006-2007:

Unit	2006	2007
1	3048	2771
2.	2908	2970
Total	5956	5741

Since I'm pulling these from an EPA summary report, please verify that these numbers represent the ones you reported.

The 2-year average is 5849 TPY. A 20% reduction would result in annual emissions of 4679 TPY.

So, for a good round number we would propose a NOx cap on Units 1 and 2 of **4700 tons/12 month rolling total** based on the Acid Rain CEMS data reported to EPA.

I'm assuming that you reported your heat input rate to EPA's Acid Rain database for 2006 and 2007 **using the CEMS data**. Please verify. So, we should be using the heat input rate based on the Acid Rain data for the proposed limit as well. In that case, we don't need the stack heat input study for this project. We need to compare apples to apples, right?

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

**To:** Koerner, Jeff **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company

One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

1/13/2009

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total = 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section

Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey. Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2008 3:17 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff
Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

We are good to go with the 4700 limit. Please let me see your final draft. Thanks, Dwain

Dwain Waters, QEP

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain **Cc**: Vielhauer, Trina

**Sent**: Thu Nov 06 12:43:17 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

According to Permit No. 0050014-011-AC, the maximum heat input rates are 1944.8 MMBtu/hour (17,036,448 MMBtu/year) for Unit 1 and 2246.2 MMBtu/hour (19,676,712 MMBtu/year) for Unit 2.

For 2006 and 2007, the capacity factor for each unit based on the actual heat input rate was:

2006 2007

Unit 1 78% 66%

Unit 2 80% 80%

An 80% capacity factor is fairly high, so there shouldn't have any problems. Even so, if you operate at the target NOx level of 0.30 lb/MMBtu and a capacity factor of 85% for each unit, the annual emissions would be:

Units 1 and 2: (17,036,448 MMBtu/year + 19,676,712 MMBtu/year) (0.85) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 4680 tons/year of NOx

This is still below the proposed NOx cap of 4700 tons/12 months.

Let me know what you think.

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 11:53 AM

**To:** Koerner, Jeff **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

**Subject:** Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

1/13/2009

I think we can live with the 4700, 4800 is better? As a for the study, we're not sure how accurate the new stack flow monitor will be? If it turns out to overestimate greater than 6 perecent we lose margin from your baseline. In 2006 the overestimation was 6 perecent. It's not uncommon for these systems to be 15 percent. I've seen 20 percent on our system many times and they pass Ratas. That's why we're so consumed with this. In addition, establishing a cap somewhat limits future growth from demand so any growth has to be offset in the rate side of the equation.

Dwain Waters, QEP

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain **Cc**: Vielhauer, Trina

Sent: Wed Nov 05 10:40:46 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

Actually, the number that we would propose would be based on the Acid Rain "TPY" totals for 2006-2007:

Unit	2006	2007
1	3048	2771
2	2908	2970
		~~
Total	5956	5741

Since I'm pulling these from an EPA summary report, please verify that these numbers represent the ones you reported.

The 2-year average is 5849 TPY. A 20% reduction would result in annual emissions of 4679 TPY.

So, for a good round number we would propose a NOx cap on Units 1 and 2 of **4700 tons/12 month rolling total** based on the Acid Rain CEMS data reported to EPA.

I'm assuming that you reported your heat input rate to EPA's Acid Rain database for 2006 and 2007 **using the CEMS data**. Please verify. So, we should be using the heat input rate based on the Acid Rain data for the proposed limit as well. In that case, we don't need the stack heat input study for this project. We need to compare apples to apples, right?

#### Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

**To:** Koerner, Jeff **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat

input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

**Gulf Power Company** 

One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total

= 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey. Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 4:21 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I believe there is an issue on your September 30, 2009 date because of some NPDES permit issues on the ammonia slip per our Gulf Power Water group. We are committed to operating the Units during the 2009 Ozone season. We had proposed to shutdown on September 30, 2009 to evaluate the water related issues and comply with the 12 month rolling NOx average beginning January 1, 2010. We can't make a commitment on the Oct-Dec, 2009 until the NDPES issues are resolved. Sorry. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company

One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 1:00 PM

To: Waters, G. Dwain

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

The AC permit expires on December 31, 2009.

According to your latest update, Unit 2 will be complete before the end of 2008 and Unit 1 by the end of April of 2009.

Trina and I came up with the following "effective date" for the new NOx emissions cap:

Effective Date: Within 60 days of completing both SNCR systems, but no later than September 30, 2009, the permittee shall begin collecting CEMS data to demonstrate compliance with the new NOx emissions

сар.

Let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection

850/921-9536

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey. Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

From: Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 17, 2008 3:56 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff

Subject: Re: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I think we can work through the monitor issue. For example if it goes bad at the new stack location we can always go back to the duct location under EPA rules. Please note that we haven't goten Jonathan to by off yet on the new stack location. What we expected as a letter from FDEP like what we did at Scholz change out and update Title V on the next revision. If I understand correctly from Kevin White, Jonathan doesn't won't to do it this way again. I don't know the latest on this? Will this be a problem in this draft. We have updated EPA and plan on using the new location asap. Testing this week I think.

Dwain Waters, QEP

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain **Cc**: Vielhauer, Trina

Sent: Mon Nov 17 13:54:42 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

Trina was not able to go on the plant site visit today.

We will likely change the effective date to Jan. 1, 2010.

We will not change the requirement to use the data from the acid rain monitor since this was the basis for the cap.

I should be able to get the draft out soon.

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 17, 2008 2:43 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff

Subject: Re: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I think we only have 2 issues. 1. The issue on the NDPES item and operation between Sept 30 and Dec 30 in 2009. I hope Trina somewhat resloves today in her visit. 2. An option on the flow monitor if the new stack certification goes badly or if the heat input is way overestimated. We are good with the 4700 tons.

Dwain Waters, QEP

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain

Sent: Mon Nov 17 13:33:31 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Any other comments on the "pre-draft"?

Jeff

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 4:21 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I believe there is an issue on your September 30, 2009 date because of some NPDES permit issues on the ammonia slip per our Gulf Power Water group. We are committed to operating the Units during the 2009 Ozone season. We had proposed to shutdown on September 30, 2009 to evaluate the water related issues and comply with the 12 month rolling NOx average beginning January 1, 2010. We can't make a commitment on the Oct-Dec, 2009 until the NDPES issues are resolved. Sorry. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters,Q.E.P.
Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328
Phone: (850) 444-6527

Cell: (850) 336-6527
Fax: (850) 444-6217
gdwaters@southernco.com

**From:** Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 1:00 PM

To: Waters, G. Dwain

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

The AC permit expires on December 31, 2009.

According to your latest update, Unit 2 will be complete before the end of 2008 and Unit 1 by the end of April of 2009.

Trina and I came up with the following "effective date" for the new NOx emissions cap:

Effective Date: Within 60 days of completing both SNCR systems, but no later than September 30, 2009, the permittee shall begin collecting CEMS data to demonstrate compliance with the new NOx emissions

cap.

Let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From:

Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 17, 2008 2:43 PM

To:

Koerner, Jeff

Subject: Re: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I think we only have 2 issues. 1. The issue on the NDPES item and operation between Sept 30 and Dec 30 in 2009. I hope Trina somewhat resloves today in her visit. 2. An option on the flow monitor if the new stack certification goes badly or if the heat input is way overestimated. We are good with the 4700 tons.

Dwain Waters, QEP

From: Koerner, Jeff To: Waters, G. Dwain

Sent: Mon Nov 17 13:33:31 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Any other comments on the "pre-draft"?

Jeff.

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 4:21 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I believe there is an issue on your September 30, 2009 date because of some NPDES permit issues on the ammonia slip per our Gulf Power Water group. We are committed to operating the Units during the 2009 Ozone season. We had proposed to shutdown on September 30, 2009 to evaluate the water related issues and comply with the 12 month rolling NOx average beginning January 1, 2010. We can't make a commitment on the Oct-Dec, 2009 until the NDPES issues are resolved. Sorry, Dwain

G. Dwain Waters Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

**Gulf Power Company** 

One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

**From:** Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 1:00 PM

To: Waters, G. Dwain

Subject: RE: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

The AC permit expires on December 31, 2009.

According to your latest update, Unit 2 will be complete before the end of 2008 and Unit 1 by the end of April of 2009.

Trina and I came up with the following "effective date" for the new NOx emissions cap:

Effective Date: Within 60 days of completing both SNCR systems, but no later than September 30, 2009, the permittee shall begin collecting CEMS data to demonstrate compliance with the new NOx emissions

сар.

Let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From:

Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent:

Monday, November 10, 2008 8:38 AM

To:

Koerner, Jeff

Cc:

Vielhauer, Trina

Subject:

Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Importance: High

I'm back in the office and below are the official NOx numbers for Smith from our 301 records (final acid rain report for 2006 & 2007):

	NO <sub>x</sub> Rate	e MMBTU	NOx Tons	,
Smith 1	.461	13327789	3072	
Smith 2	.374	15665819	2929	
2006 Total			6001	
Smith 1	.489	11314219	2766	
Smith 2	.380	15761392	2994	
2007 Total			5760	
Average			5881	
20% Reduc	tion		4701	

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 10:41 AM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

Actually, the number that we would propose would be based on the Acid Rain "TPY" totals for 2006-2007:

Total	5956	5741
2	2908	2970
1	3048	2771
Unit	2006	2007

Since I'm pulling these from an EPA summary report, please verify that these numbers represent the ones you reported.

The 2-year average is 5849 TPY. A 20% reduction would result in annual emissions of 4679 TPY.

So, for a good round number we would propose a NOx cap on Units 1 and 2 of 4700 tons/12 month rolling total based on the Acid Rain CEMS data reported to EPA.

I'm assuming that you reported your heat input rate to EPA's Acid Rain database for 2006 and 2007 **using the CEMS data**. Please verify. So, we should be using the heat input rate based on the Acid Rain data for the proposed limit as well. In that case, we don't need the stack heat input study for this project. We need to compare apples to apples, right?

#### Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From: Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

**To:** Koerner, Jeff **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters,Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328
Phone: (850) 444-6527

Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

**Sent:** Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total = 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From:

Waters, G. Dwain [GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent:

Friday, November 07, 2008 3:16 PM

To:

Koerner, Jeff

Cc:

Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I've been out of the office since Tuesday. In Tampa airport now and can't confirm your numbers but believe that as long as the cap is 4700, we should be ok since I know my company believes this number is achievable. I have the authority to approve it. If lower. I will have to further review and sale it upward. I believe a lower number will be a problem with my management. My earlier number crunching was in this range, thus I wouldn't expect a problem with 4700. On Crist, Did you get my email requesting a word version of the Crist public notice? I have a busy schedule next week and a word version will speed up my proofing efforts with the newspaper. They make errors when having to retype and they can't use a pdf file. Thanks, Dwain

Dwain Waters, QEP

From: Koerner, Jeff To: Waters, G. Dwain Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Sent: Fri Nov 07 13:58:02 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

So, you verify that the following NOx totals represent what you reported to EPA and they were based on the heat input rate from the Acid Rain monitors?

Unit	2006	2007
1	3048	2771
2	2908	2970
Total	5956	5741

I'm working on "pre-draft permit" for your review and may be able to send it this afternoon once I hear back from you and Trina.

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From: Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2008 3:17 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

We are good to go with the 4700 limit. Please let me see your final draft. Thanks, Dwain

Dwain Waters, QEP

1/13/2009

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain **Cc**: Vielhauer, Trina

Sent: Thu Nov 06 12:43:17 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

According to Permit No. 0050014-011-AC, the maximum heat input rates are 1944.8 MMBtu/hour (17,036,448 MMBtu/year) for Unit 1 and 2246.2 MMBtu/hour (19,676,712 MMBtu/year) for Unit 2.

For 2006 and 2007, the capacity factor for each unit based on the actual heat input rate was:

2006 2007

Unit 1 78% 66%

Unit 2 80% 80%

An 80% capacity factor is fairly high, so there shouldn't have any problems. Even so, if you operate at the target NOx level of 0.30 lb/MMBtu and a capacity factor of 85% for each unit, the annual emissions would be:

Units 1 and 2: (17,036,448 MMBtu/year + 19,676,712 MMBtu/year) (0.85) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 4680 tons/year of NOx

This is still below the proposed NOx cap of 4700 tons/12 months.

Let me know what you think.

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From: Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 11:53 AM

**To:** Koerner, Jeff **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Re: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

I think we can live with the 4700, 4800 is better? As a for the study, we're not sure how accurate the new stack flow monitor will be? If it turns out to overestimate greater than 6 perecent we lose margin from your baseline. In 2006 the overestimation was 6 perecent. It's not uncommon for these systems to be 15 percent. I've seen 20 percent on our system many times and they pass Ratas. That's why we're so consumed with this. In addition, establishing a cap somewhat limits future growth from demand so any growth has to be offset in the rate side of the equation.

Dwain Waters, QEP

**From**: Koerner, Jeff **To**: Waters, G. Dwain **Cc**: Vielhauer, Trina

**Sent**: Wed Nov 05 10:40:46 2008

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

1/13/2009

Dwain,

Actually, the number that we would propose would be based on the Acid Rain "TPY" totals for 2006-2007:

Unit	2006	2007
1	3048	2771
2	2908	2970
Total	5956	5741

Since I'm pulling these from an EPA summary report, please verify that these numbers represent the ones you reported.

The 2-year average is 5849 TPY. A 20% reduction would result in annual emissions of 4679 TPY.

So, for a good round number we would propose a NOx cap on Units 1 and 2 of 4700 tons/12 month rolling total based on the Acid Rain CEMS data reported to EPA.

I'm assuming that you reported your heat input rate to EPA's Acid Rain database for 2006 and 2007 using the CEMS data. Please verify. So, we should be using the heat input rate based on the Acid Rain data for the proposed limit as well. In that case, we don't need the stack heat input study for this project. We need to compare apples to apples, right?

#### Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

**From:** Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

**Subject:** RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company

One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527 Cell: (850) 336-6527

Fax: (850) 444-6217

gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

**To:** Waters, G. Dwain **Cc:** Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0,38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0,20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total = 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From:

Koerner, Jeff

Sent:

Monday, November 10, 2008 11:14 AM

To:

'Waters, G. Dwain'

Cc:

Vielhauer, Trina

Subject:

Gulf Power Smith Plant - Proposed NOx Limit

Attachments:

0050014-016-AC - Draft Permit.doc

Dwain,

Thanks for verifying the numbers. Attached is a pre-draft of the modification for your review. This is for discussion purposes only. Trina will be reviewing as well.

I used strikethrough to deleted words. Additions are double underlined.

Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536



0050014-016-AC -Draft Permit....

From: Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 8:38 AM

To: Koerner, Jeff Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf 301 records: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Importance: High

I'm back in the office and below are the official NOx numbers for Smith from our 301 records (final acid rain report for 2006 & 2007):

•	NOx Rate	e MMBTU	NOx Tons
Smith 1	.461	13327789	3072
Smith 2	.374	15665819	2929
2006 Total			6001
Smith 1	.489	11314219	2766
Smith 2	.380	15761392	2994
2007 Total			5760
Average	-		5881
20% Reduc	tion		4701

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.

Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator

Gulf Power Company One Energy Place

Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328

Phone: (850) 444-6527

Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2008 10:41 AM

To: Waters, G. Dwain Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

### Dwain,

Actually, the number that we would propose would be based on the Acid Rain "TPY" totals for 2006-2007:

Unit	200	6	200	7
1	304	8	277	1
2	290	8	297	0
-				
٦	otal	59	56	5741

Since I'm pulling these from an EPA summary report, please verify that these numbers represent the ones you reported.

The 2-year average is 5849 TPY. A 20% reduction would result in annual emissions of 4679 TPY.

So, for a good round number we would propose a NOx cap on Units 1 and 2 of 4700 tons/12 month rolling total based on the Acid Rain CEMS data reported to EPA.

I'm assuming that you reported your heat input rate to EPA's Acid Rain database for 2006 and 2007 using the CEMS data. Please verify. So, we should be using the heat input rate based on the Acid Rain data for the proposed limit as well. In that case, we don't need the stack heat input study for this project. We need to compare apples to apples, right?

### Thanks!

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

From: Waters, G. Dwain [mailto:GDWATERS@southernco.com]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 2:44 PM

To: Koerner, Jeff Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: RE: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

If I understand this email correctly, FDEP is suggesting a 4803 NOx Ton 12 month rolling average for Units 1 + 2. If this is correct, we are good to go. Also, please insert a stack heat input study similar to the language in the Crist draft in case our new stack system at Smith has greater error than we expect. We are hopeful that the new system will work. We hope to have the new system on line later this month. There is not a guarantee from Spectrum that it will be better than the unit by unit duct system. I've looked at the high CEM data for a rolling 12 month average and the CEM system was ~ 6% higher than our fuel based heat input number for the same period. Dwain

G. Dwain Waters, Q.E.P.
Special Projects and Environmental Assets Coordinator
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328
Phone: (850) 444-6527

Cell: (850) 336-6527 Fax: (850) 444-6217 gdwaters@southernco.com

From: Koerner, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 03, 2008 12:02 PM

To: Waters, G. Dwain Cc: Vielhauer, Trina

Subject: Gulf Power Smith Plant - NOx Limit

Dwain,

I'm attaching a summary report complied from the EPA Acid Rain database.

<<Gulf Power Smith Acid Rain Data, 2003-2007.pdf>>

Based on Acid Rain data for the last 5 years, the report shows that the highest 2-year average of annual NOx emissions was 5849 TPY in 2006-2007. (The average annual heat input rates for this period were for 12,321,004 MMBtu/year Unit 1 and 15,713,605 MMBtu/year for Unit 2.)

It is my understanding that Unit 1 is being design for a 50% reduction and Unit 2 is being design for a 30% reduction. The controlled NOx emissions target for each unit is 0.30 lb/MMBtu. For the 2006 - 2007 period, EPA's Acid Rain data indicates an annual NOx emissions average of 0.49 lb/MMBtu for Unit 1 and 0.38 lb/MMBtu for Unit 2. Therefore, the SNCR systems would need to reduce NOx emissions by 39% for Unit 1 and 21% for Unit 2 to achieve the target goal.

1. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at the target NOx emissions rates, annual emissions with full SNCR operation are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 1848 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.30 lb NOx/MMBtu) (ton/2000 lb) = 2357 TPY of NOx

- Total = 4205 TPY of NOx

So, the expected operations (by design) will reduce overall NOx emissions by 28%.

2. Using the annual average heat input rate for the highest 2-year emissions from EPA's Acid Rain database (2006-2007) and assuming operation at 20% below the actual annual average NOx emissions rates in 2006-2007, annual emissions are estimated to be:

Unit 1: (12,321,004 MMBtu/year) (0.49 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2415 TPY of NOx

Unit 2: (15,713,605 MMBtu/year) (0.38 lb NOx/MMBtu) (1 - 0.20) (ton/2000 lb) = 2388 TPY of NOx

Total = 4803 TPY of NOx

So, a proposed rolling NOx limit of 4800 tons/12 months would represent an 18% reduction from past actual emissions (2006-2007). This would be easy to monitor and report since there is a common stack for these units. The proposed limit is based on data reported to the Acid Rain database and compliance would be determined based on this data as well.

Please review and let me know what you think.

Thanks.

Jeff Koerner, BAR - New Source Review Section Florida Department of Environmental Protection 850/921-9536

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on this link to the DEP Customer Survey <a href="mailto:http://survey.dep.state.fl.us/?refemail=Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us">http://survey.dep.state.fl.us/?refemail=Jeff.Koerner@dep.state.fl.us</a> . Thank you in advance for completing the survey.