Dibble, Dickson

From:

Dibble, Dickson

Sent:

Wednesday, September 30, 2009 10:38 AM

To:

Simmons, Wendy

Cc:

Ajhar, Rebecca; Henry, Danielle D.

Subject:

RE: Facility Closures #1010495 & #7775263 - INACTIVE

Wendy,

This e-mail is a follow-up confirmation regarding the subject item facilities. Per your request, I have processed the INACTIVATION of the following respective facilities in the ARMs database.

Facility #1010495

09/30/09-To INACTIVE per Jeff Porter e-mail dated 01/15/09 to W.Simmons (SWD)-request to relinquish entitlement, facility never constructed w/no plans in the immediate future.

Florida De	epart	ment	of Env	ironm	ental	Protec	tion	- Ente	erprise	Application Application	atior	ns
etails <u>E</u> mis unit	per <u>M</u>	it <u>C</u> omp	lassr <u>P</u> o	llutant re	e <u>L</u> ated pa	rty <u>H</u> elp	Return	e⊻it ⊻	<u>V</u> indow			OF
	a)))	+ +	<u> 1</u>	× <u>*</u>	? %	5				-
Air Resource Ma	anagem	ent Syste	m - Facility									
and an experience constitutes. An except a second of											or mercus real services	ARMI
POINT (Office *	SWD	SW: TAM	PA		Count	y* PAS	CO		· Al	RS ID	10104
Owner/Comp	* RINK	ER MATER	IALS OF FL	ORIDA, INC	~.	-		Site	e RINKEI	R MATERIALS		
Directions	·		f Old Wire	Rd. and Ga	ili Blvd.	anne anno an		, <u>1</u>		- Alexander and		
Stree City		Mire Road IYRHILLS	·		::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	mutunium.		Zip 3 3	3540	f	V	alidate
UTM Zon		East	383.67	North	3129.	B9 Latite	ude 28	، 'ـــــــر ،	23.5877	l Longitude	82	11
Status * [INACT	IVE			Maj	Group Si	C * 32	STONE,	CLAY, GL	ASS AND COL	NCRETE	PROD
Reloc N	▼) S	htdwn Dt			Strt	Dt	(•	Fir	nal Shtdwn D	t [
Gov Fac * 0	NOT O	WNED OR	PERATED	BY A FEDEI	RAL, STA	re, or Loc	AL GOVI	HAZ	Waste G	enerator ID: F	rd [
AOR Req* N	$\overline{\mathbf{y}}$	Ozoi	ne SIP Fac	ility* [H	7	Type	23 CO	NCRETE P	LANT			
Compliance Trac				-	o de la constanta de la consta			ساسطاسطالنان		Current Per		
Title V NON	TITLE	1	non-HAP	Class M	IINOR		HAP CI	ass MIN	OR		Public I	Exemp
# of Emis U	Inits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	c 0) ,	4 0	ıſ	6		Genera	ator Rating		
Comment 09/	30 MQ_Tr	NACTIV	ner leff F	orter e.m	ail dated (11/15/09 to	W Simm	one (SMI	li reques	t to relinquis	h entiti	lemen
Comment per	30.03-10		- per sen i	Orter C-III					oques	- Continues		
		·	angun upan sagangan, an san man s		<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
nter the FACILITY	COMM	ENT										
ecord: 1/1							anne in a meneron anno inc	and the second section of the second				

Facility #7775263

09/30/09-To INACTIVE per James Lohse Itr dated 02/16/09 to W.Simmons (SWD)-request to relinquish entitlement, CCB Plant owned by another company & moved back to Alabama July 2006.

B Florida Department of Environmental Protection - Enterprise Applications						
Details Emis unit perMit Com	pLassr <u>P</u> ollutant re <u>L</u> ate	d party Help Return	e <u>X</u> it <u>W</u> indow)R		
	4 	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
🎘 Air Resource Management Syste	em - Facility (2004)2000	400000000000000000000000000000000000000		-1-1-1-1-		
		er som var generalisen som det som en		ARMIN'		
POINT Office * SWD	SW: TAMPA	County* POLK				
Owner/Comp * WESTERN REA	NDY-MIX, INC.	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Site WESTERN READY-MIX, INC.			
Directions						
}	IPA HIGHWAY		Val	lidate A		
City* LAKELAND			Zip 33811			
UTM Zone East	North	Latitude	00.0000 Longitude			
Status * INACTIVE		Maj Group SIC * 32	STONE, CLAY, GLASS AND CONCRETE	PRODU		
Reloc Y → Shtdwn D		Strt Dt	Final Shtdwn Dt			
Gov Fac * 0 NOT OWNED OR	OPERATED BY A FEDERAL,	STATE, OR LOCAL GOVE	HAZ Waste Generator ID: FLD	· ····································		
AOR Req * N ▼ Ozo	one SIP Facility * N 🔻	Type 23 CON	ICRETE PLANT			
Compliance Tracking			Current Permit Indic	ator (
Title V NON TITLE V	non-HAP Class MINOR	HAP Cla	ass MINOR Public E	xempt		
# of Emis Units	C O A	1 0	Generator Rating			
Comment 09/30/09-To INACTIVE per James Lohse itr dated 02/16/09 to W.Simmons (SWD)-request to relinquish entitlement, 0						
Comment	and the state of t					
Fenter the FACILITY COMMENT Record: 1/1]	1				

Thank you and have a great day!

Dick

Dickson E. Dibble, ES III
FL Dept of Environmental Protection
Div. of Air Resource Management
Bureau of Air Monitoring & Mobile Sources
Air General Permit Program
Tel. (850) 921-9586
FAX (850) 922-6979
ICG-#345

Dickson.Dibble@dep.state.fl.us



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are public records available to the public and media upon request. Your e-mail communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure

From: Simmons, Wendy

Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2009 9:00 AM

To: Dibble, Dickson **Cc:** Henry, Danielle D. **Subject:** Facility Closures

Dick,

Attached to this email is documentation providing evidence that the following facilities are closed and the companies have relinquished their General Permits. Necessary Inspections to close these facilities have been conducted and uploaded to GPCI Lite. They are also attached. Please inactivate these facilities in ARM's.

Western Ready Mix-Permit Id# is 7775263-001-AG CEMEX/Rinker Materials-Permit Id# 1010495-001-AG

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Wendy D. Simmons

Wendy D. Simmons
Environmental Specialist I
Southwest District Air Program
FL Dept. of Environmental Protection Agency

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are public records available to the public and media upon request. Your e-mail communication may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

Dibble, Dickson

From:

Simmons, Wendy

Sent:

Tuesday, September 22, 2009 9:00 AM

To: Cc:

Dibble, Dickson Henry, Danielle D.

Subject:

Facility Closures

Attachments:

CEMEX - 1010495 Closure0001.pdf; Western Ready Mix closure0001.pdf; 7775263_Western

Ready-Mix, Inc..rtf; 1010495_ Rinker.rtf

Dick.

Attached to this email is documentation providing evidence that the following facilities are closed and the companies have relinquished their General Permits. Necessary Inspections to close these facilities have been conducted and uploaded to GPCI Lite. They are also attached. Please inactivate these facilities in ARM's.

Western Ready Mix-Permit Id# is 7775263-001-AG CEMEX/Rinker Materials-Permit Id# 1010495-001-AG

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Wendy D. Simmons

Wendy D. Simmons **Environmental Specialist I** Southwest District Air Program FL Dept. of Environmental Protection Agency

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are public records available to the public and media upon request. Your e-mail communication may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

Simmons, Wendy

From:

Porter, Jeffrey R. [JRPorter@cemexusa.com]

Sent:

Thursday, January 15, 2009 10:11 AM

To:

Simmons, Wendy

Cc:

Corrales, Denise L. Jones, Jason P.

Subject:

Clarification of Cemex / Zephyrhills Air Permits

Attachments:

Pasco Zephyrhills Cemex-Rinker 1010495 bmp; Pasco Zephyrhills Cemex-SMI 1010035 bmp;

Pasco Zephyrhills Cemex-RMC 1010036.bmp; Pasco Zephyrhills Cemex-Rinker

1010493.bmp

Morning, Wendy,

This e-mail is a follow-up to our phone conversation yesterday. In it I hope to both clarify which permits relate to which sites, in the Zephyrhills area and also update the status of our Zephyrhills North property.

First, attached with this message are four aerial photos from the Pasco County property appraiser's website. Each photo has been renumbered with its corresponding FDEP general air facility number. The first one (1010495) references our Zephyrhills North site, which is an undeveloped parcel on the north side of Wire Road. Our address for this site is "Wire Road & Gall Boulevard," although the property appraiser lists "9212 Wire Road" as the official address.

The second photo (1010035) corresponds to one of the two existing ready-mix plants on the south side of Wire Road. This particular one belonged to Sunshine Materials, Inc. before being purchased by Cemex. It's official address is "9012 Wire Road."

The third photo (1010036) covers the other existing ready-mix plant, which belonged to RMC Ewell, Inc. before its purchase by Cemex. In our files, the street address for this site is "9143 Wire Road," although the property appraiser lists it as being located at "9052 Gall Boulevard." Both of these latter facilities have been temporarily idled, as per Cemex's West Florida Environmental Manager, Jason Jones.

The last photo (1010493) is for our one active Zephyrhills concrete plant, which belonged to Rinker Materials before being purchased by Cemex a couple of years ago. Rinker purchased the plant from ACME Concrete, who had previously operated it under permit number 1010491-001-AG. It's physical address is "3946 Copeland Drive," which puts it on the south side of the city, about five miles from the first three locations.

As we discussed in our conversation yesterday, Cemex wishes to relinquish permit number 1010495-001-AG for our Zephyrhills North site. The ready-mix facility originally planned for this site was never constructed and will not be prior to the permit's expiration on August 22, 2009. In the unlikely event that we do construct an industrial facility on this property, we would simply file for a new permit at that time.

I hope this helps to clear up what had become a surprisingly convoluted and complex arrangement in East Pasco County. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact me at the phone number or e-mail address listed below, or call Jason Jones at (813) 269-1240.

Thanks Again,

Jeffrey R. Porter
Environmental Manager
Southeast Materials Division

CEMEX

E-Mail: JRPorter@Cemexusa.com

Office: (561) 820-8415 Mobile: (561) 718-7564 Fax: (561) 820-8643

CONFIDENTIALITY: The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient,



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 1010495 DAT	E: <u>09/29/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:28 r</u>	om DEPART: <u>12:43</u>	pm_			
FACILITY NAME: RINI	KER MATERIALS			·			
FACILITY LOCATION:	Old Wire Road and C	Gall Blvd.					
	ZEPHYRHILLS 33	540					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415							
CONTACT NAME:			PHONE:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D: 8/22/2004 / 8/22/20 (effective date) (end date)						
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	E MINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIG	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: TESTING/REC (check ☑ appropriate		EMENTS – Rule 62-29	6.414, F.A.C.				
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions	ons tests conducted during t	his site visit according to	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter				
62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from		ers), and other enclosed	storage and conveying equipment	☐Yes ☐ No			
controlled to the ext 3. During visible emiss at a rate that is repre	ent necessary to limit visiblesions tests of the silo dust consentative of the normal silo	e emissions to 5 percent of ollector exhaust points was loading rate, or at least a	opacity?opacity?opacity?opacity? as the loading of the silo conducte t the minimum 25 tons per hour re	ate,			
4. Are emissions from to this question is "skip 4.a) and 4.b) are a) Was the batching	the weigh hopper (batcher) Yes", then continue on to qual continue on to question 5 g operation in operation duri	operation controlled by the stions 4.a) and 4.b) below.)ing the visible emissions	he silo dust collector? (If answer ow. If answer is "No" then test?	□Yes □ No			
duration?5. If emissions from the from the silo dust co	e weigh hopper (batcher) or ollector, are the visible emis	peration are controlled by sions tests of the weigh h					

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C (continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)	
	1
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t	ine D. D. I
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	∐Yes ∐ No ∥
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate:	
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	ا ۱٫۰۰۰ ا
submittal date?	∐Yes ∐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior	to
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	Yes No
the AGP Notification form submission, and within ob days prior to cach anniversary date:	☐ 163 ☐ 140
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)	
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after	
test was completed?	
teet in the equipment.	
·	
PART III. OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210 300(4)(c)2 F A C	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable 	e 🔲
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	e 🔲
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable 	e
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.) 	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants? 	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, 	ng
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ng □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ng
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng Yes No Yes No
 (check ☐ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng
 (check ☐ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 (check ☐ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng Yes NoYes NoYes No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng
(check ☐ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☐ only one box.) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processi plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUID (check appropriate box(es))	REMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C.	(continued)
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking ar 2) application of water or environmentally safe emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and re-entrainment, and from building or work a 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation particulate matter from stock piles?		Yes No Yes No to No Yes No
 b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62) 	nout replacement?	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No
Wendy D. Simmons	09/29/2008	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Unspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	-

COMMENTS: Pre-inspection: According to 03/14/05 inspection report by Bob Soich, facility was in compliance. However, no testing has been conducted at this facility and the permit file indicates the plant was not built as of 03/2006. This facility's permit will expired in 8/2009. If not built, facility contact should be called to see if they want to surrender permit. Inspection findings: Upon arrival at facility location, I found that the location is an empty field. Will need to contact RO to be sure shutdown has been taken care of. I spoke with Jeff Porter on January 1/31/2009. This facility was never built. The property was purchased from Rinker Materials and was never developed because Cemex ended up purchasing 2 plants also located on Old Wire Road. It appears the facility across from the empty field was inspected by Mr. Soich on 3/14/05. No photos were taken of empty field. Mr. Porter will send a letter to relinquish the permit for this facility. On January 15, 2009 Mr. Porter emailed me informing the Department that this facility's entitlement be terminated/relinquished. The plant was never built and CEMEX does not plan to have the plant built prior to the permit expiration in August of 2009.