

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 1030388 DATE:		ARRIVE:	DEPART:		
FACILITY NAME: ABLE I	LUMBER & SUPPLY, INC.				
FACILITY LOCATION:	500 3RD ST NW				
	LARGO, FL 33770				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED RE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:	EPRESENTATIVE: CHRIST		Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPL	_	NIFICANT Non-COMPL	JANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INTROD	OUCTORY MEETING			(check ☑	only one
Name(s) of facility represer				box for each of	
Brief Notes:	· / <u> </u>				
2. Is the Authorized Represen If no, who is?:	tative still CHRIS MONGEL	.LUZZI?		☐ Yes	□No
If different, did the facility 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	provide an administrative upo	date within 30 days?		Yes Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be conducting If yes, was the compliance	VE test(s) during today's inspauthority notified at least 15 c			Yes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one
1. Date of last inspection:	box for each question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation?	□ N/A □ Yes □ No
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after	the test? Yes No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the repor	rt state
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	□ N/A □ Yes □ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the l	ast VE test? Yes No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹 only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site v	visit?
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading	
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust of	
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the norm	
duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust of	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (bat	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate	
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minut	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
d. What was the process rate?tons/hour.	
<u> </u>	

Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	•	
	Date of last inspection:	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ined		
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes	□ No	
	particulate matter?		☐ No	
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No	
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No	

Facility Section (continued)

-04	ONE DATE OF CONTRACT PERMIT BY ICIDIA VIEW		
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared that the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consums for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	e/yr aption	? □ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ; relocatable ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the followi	ng question 2.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 	(6)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	[6)]	□ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	ge)?	□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions us operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?		 No No No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change?	bmitted Yes	☐ No
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next In	nspection	