

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

160 W GOVERNMENT STREET, SUITE 308 PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

July 25, 2014

Ms. Cathy Morris, Owner Harper-Morris Memorial Chapel 227 Airport Boulevard Pensacola, Florida 32504 cathymorris@harpermorrismc.com

Re: Harper-Morris Memorial Chapel

Facility ID Number 0330292

Escambia County

Dear Ms. Morris:

Department personnel conducted a compliance inspection of the above-referenced facility on July 22, 2014. Based on the information provided during the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance. A copy of the inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. If you have any questions or comments, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at (850) 595-0662 or via e-mail at jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Dickey

Environmental Manager

Kenneth Dickey

Compliance Assurance Program

Northwest District

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Enclosure: Inspection Report

KD/jw/c

c: Michael Long, Cornerstone Engineering, mlong@cornerstone-engineers.com Tanner B. Morris, Harper-Morris Memorial Chapel, harpermorris@hotmail.com



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYP	E: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	TNO:					
AIRS ID#: 0330292	DATE: <u>7/22/14</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:45 AM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: HARPER-MORRIS MEMORIAL CHAPEL								
FACILITY LOCAT	TON: 2276 Airport Blvd							
	PENSACOLA 325	504-8909						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CATHY MORRIS Email: cathymorris@harpermorrismc.com CONTACT NAME: CATHY MORRIS Email: cathymorris@harpermorrismc.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/27/2014 / 1/27/2019 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (850)478-3292 Mobile: (850)478-3292 Mobile: (850)712-4103								
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
	INTRODUCTORY MEETING y representative(s): Clay Pyle, O	-	(check ☑ o box for each q	•				
2. Is the Authorized If no, who is?:	Representative still CATHY MO	PRRIS?	X Yes	□No				
	e facility provide an administraticate still CATHY MORRIS?			□No □No				
	nducting VE test(s) during today mpliance authority notified at least			□No □No				

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbrNGfiredtemp175lbs/hr

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	⊠ Yes	□No
3.	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
	operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: N/A	Yes	□No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes Yes	□No □No
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	□No □No □No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes Yes	NoNoNo
3.	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
	If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No
			٦١
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes (1-10)	⊠No
	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)						
TART III. MONTORING/RECORDREET ING REQUIREMENTS (Continued)						
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?						
1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes	□No				
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	Z Tes					
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	⊠ Yes	□No				
3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ()	Yes	<u> </u>				
4) Adjustments	Yes	□No				
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No				
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No				
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	_					
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	∑ Yes	∐No				
e. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	∐No				
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	шу ⊠ Yes	□No				
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	∐ 1cs					
exceeds 15% opacity?	⊠ Yes	□No				
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in						
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	☐ Yes	□No				
DADENA GEGOVE ANA GOLGENOV GOVE THE MET THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL	(check 🗹	only one				
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	•				
	oon for each	question				
1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:						
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F						
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		□No				
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati	on_					
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No				
2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:						
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F						
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No				
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremati						
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes	∐No				
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check 🗹	only one				
THAT IT INC.	box for each					
		· ′				
1. Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials,						
including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	☐ Yes	⊠No				
2. Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated						
plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes	No				
If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from use?	Yes	□No				

\						
PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE Jennifer Waltup	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)				
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes	□No				
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	⊠ Yes	□No				
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	⊠ Yes	□No				
b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	Yes Yes	□No				
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)						
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE					
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	ts or	□No□No□No□No□No□No□No				
Jennifer Waltrip Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection						
Inspector's Signature						

COMMENTS: On July 22, 2014, Department personnel conducted the initial inspection at Harper-Morris Memorial Chapel. The Department would like to thank Mr. Clay Pyle and Mr. Tanner Morris for their assistance during the inspection.

Permit no. 0330292 became effective on January 27, 2014. Construction was complete and the crematory was placed into service on June 24, 2014. The initial Method 9 Visible Emission test was conducted during the inspection, within the required 30-day timeframe. The final report was received on July 22, 2014 and reported observing a maximum opacity of 0%.

The newly installed crematory is monitored onsite and also monitored remotely by a contractor. If the contractor notes any temperature fluctuations or potential issues, they can remotely adjust the controls to prevent any noncompliance. The contractor also has agreed to conduct regular preventative maintenance and tune-ups on the crematory unit.