

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0251359 DATE: <u>9/11/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:30 AM</u> DEPART:	9:22 AM				
FACILITY NAME: BRICKELL CITICENTRE					
FACILITY LOCATION: 750 S MIAMI AVE					
MIAMI 33130					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ED BUTTERFIELD* Email: ebutterfield@malcomdrilling.com CONTACT NAME: ED BUTTERFIELD* Email: ebutterfield@malcomdrilling.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: /					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): NICK TURUS Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ED BUTTERFIELD*?	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ED BUTTERFIELD*? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☑ Yes ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section 2 –Silo #1 - Left - Baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	/ 1 1 DT	1
	(check ☑ box for each of	only one question)
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	*	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each o	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	Yes	☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	\(\tag{Yes}	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	—	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ Vac	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	🔲 168	☐ 1 10
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	<u> </u>	□ 140

Emissions Unit Section 8 – Silo #2 - Right - Baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

3 – Silo #2 - Right - Bagnouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check b ox for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No□ No□ No□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		·_ < 1.00?	,
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?			□ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	<u>-</u>		
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?			□ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
1. Is the facility: stationary ☐; relocatable ☒; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>	ationary and relocatable		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)			
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical 	rior to changing location? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical	ss days following a relocation? Yes No ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a	r construction or air operation permit,		
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose?			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?			
		_	
CHANGES (check ✓ only one box for each question)			
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?	n form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes No		
FRANK DELGADO 9/11/2012			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
inspector 5 rame (a rease rime)	9/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

COMMENTS: THE CONCRETE BATCH PLANT IS LOCATED AT A CONSTRUCTION SITE. EUGENE SCHALTENBRAND FROM BROOKS AND ASSOCIATES PERFORMED TWO VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE TWO SILOS. THE FIRST VE TEST STARTED AT 8:15 A.M., THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 8 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST. I DID NOT WITNESS THE SECOND TEST WHICH WAS FOR THE SLAG SILO.

THE ENTIRE CONSTRUCTION SITE WAS KEPT WET USING HOSES; I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE CONSTRUCTION SITE.

THE THIRD SILO MENTIONED IN THE AG REGISTRATION IS NOT ON SITE AT THIS TIME.