

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 7775724 DATE: <u>3/6/2014</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:33 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:40 AM</u>							
FACILITY NAME: CARD SOUND PORTABLE NMMP PLANT							
FACILITY LOCATION: 13292 NW 118TH AVE							
MEDLEY 33178-3106 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/2012 / 7/28/2017 (effective date) (end date) MeDLEY 33178-3106 PHONE: (305)818-4955 PHONE: (305)818-4955 Mobile: (813)476-1185							
Facility Section							
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	ANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one						
	box for each question)						
Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEVON COPPOCK*?	⊠ Yes □No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DEVON COPPOCK*? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?							

Emissions Unit Section 1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr and diesel engine

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
1.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granith Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stone (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermical (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	g Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes	No
3.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No □No □No
sul If 1	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

1-NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr and diesel engine

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material	ιl	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	∐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
<u>If</u>	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 7/9/2012		
		_	
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	∐No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
<u>If</u>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr and diesel engine

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
☐ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ `	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_ ,	. 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No

1-NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr and diesel engine

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
C	C 1		1 ,	_	_
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				<u> </u>	_
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	nust be certified by the i	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250	_	_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre					
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				_	_
of design scrubbing liquid flow					
	,				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU? <u>3/6/2014</u>			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart			•		_
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ır year?		Yes	□No
·		•			
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp				☐ Yes	\boxtimes No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
	I/E O	•, ••			
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	construc	cted, modifi	ed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed on	or
	=	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	-	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
In one uncour nos	2070	1070	<u> </u>	, /0	

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 –BELT DROP</u>

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
1. 2.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grantity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermical (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	g Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No □No
sul	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
σ.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

2 -BELT DROP

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
sui	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.} answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

2 -BELT DROP

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🖂 N/A		Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		V	□ N-
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	□No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	.		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	ш	100	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:			
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?			
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete			
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Ves	□No
110000, Tano, dampero, etc., to capture and dansport particulate matter to a control device:	ш	105	
21. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes Yes	□No □No

2 -BELT DROP

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	nissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo		ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	hich there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin					
one or more affected EUs.}	6	<i>y</i>			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
c. Were initial rughtive emissions no	on non-vent bunding op	enings less than of equal to 770	opacity:	103	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the FII?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main					
		oss of the gas stream through th			
a. a device for the continuous measu					
		al basis in accordance with man		□ x ₇	
instructions?				∐ Yes	∟No
	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	essure.}				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measu				_	_
		ance with manufacturer's instru		∐ Yes	☐No
{Note: The monitoring device i	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU? <u>3/6/2014</u>			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years?	⊠ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		•	•		
i. has the EU been tested durin		ndar years?		X Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w				Yes	□No
•					_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	<i>ner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes	□No
Rate:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	maniee with the opacity	mint. (See chart below).		103	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes	□No
Rate:	occss rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:			
	ling to EDA Mothed 02			□ Vac	□ No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity		_		□ 3 7	□ N.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phance with the opacity	inmit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes	□No
	VE Onac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO FII	
	•	_	_		ا د.:
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		ted, modif	-
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		tructed or	1 or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22	2/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
The other directed Bos	2070	1370	I	, , ,	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	□No □No □No □No
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
6.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	⊠No
7.	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materic	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10.	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
i	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	nswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If th	he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11.	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
		_	_
ıj a	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes Yes	⊠No
If a	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	as it yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 170 opacity.		
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	☐ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	□ No
i	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		
;	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	No
1	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		

3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐No ☐No

3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			Į.
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			Į.
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	ш	168	
			Į.
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			Į.
one or more affected EUs.} h. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dsem (0.022 gr/dsef)?		Vac	\square Mo
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\vdash	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Vac	□ No
	Ш	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			Į.
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į.
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		*7	□ .
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			Į.
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			Į.
and			Į.
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	\sqcup	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			Į.
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			Į.
			Į.
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	_		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			_
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	-		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
r , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_		
			l

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? 🔯 N/A	Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If any analysis are not being taken.		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	□No
c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each of	
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	- Yes	□No
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	- Yes	□No
c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- U Yes	□No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	⊠No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		□No

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	ne/yr		No No No No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(1 .	_1_ [7]	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	•		only one uestion)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		Yes	⊠No
Z .	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	□No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	□No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□No
DI	EL OCATABLE DI ANTE			
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)			only one uestion)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]		□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operarpermit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		Yes Yes	□No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?		Yes	□No

<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Administrative Changes: box for each qu				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nur associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar min	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes \int \text{No}			
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3	30 days of the change? YesNo			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?				
FRANK DELGADO	3/6/2014			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	3/2015			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: ZACK BEATTY PERFORMED FIVE (5) TO PORTABLE CRUSHER AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING A ROLL DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUSE.	Г. NG THE TESTS.			

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 10:18 am, Jul 28, 2014