

(check  $\square$  only one box for each question)  $\sqrt{TS}$ 

# ERAL PROCESSING



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)						
AIRS ID#: 7775724 DATE: <u>5/10/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:48 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:06 AM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: CARD SOUND PORTABLE NMMP PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 13292 NW 118TH AVE						
MEDLEY 33178-3106						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEVON COPPOCK*  Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com  CONTACT NAME: DEVON COPPOCK*  Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com  ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/2012 / 7/28/2017  (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (305)818-495  Mobile: (813)476-118.	5 5					
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check <b>☑</b> only one					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>DEVON COPPOCK</u>	box for each question)					
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEVON COPPOCK*?	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still DEVON COPPOCK*?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	∑ Yes					

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Is ·	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li></ul> Yes	No No No
sul	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
ð.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

#### 1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
sub If t	part OOO so skip the following questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 7/9/2012  Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
	unswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	⊠ 1es	No
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? unswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19	Yes	⊠No
14.	Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

#### 1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A		Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	. 🔲 🥆	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	_ ·	Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.			
<b>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

#### 1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

	y otner regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	, J. J. B	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli-	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
c. Were initial rughtive emissions from	in non vent bunding op	chings less than of equal to 770	opacity.	103	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint				1 C3	
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through th	Δ		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				□ Vas	□ No
				∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n	-	manufacturer to be accurate wit	nın +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
and	. 6.1 111	110			
b. a device for the continuous measur					
device has been calibrated on ar				∐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n		manufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducted	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					
<ol> <li>has the EU been tested during</li> </ol>				☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ar year?		☐ Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9?			☐ Yes	□ NT.
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	act civ minute average			□No
d Did the VE test demonstrate comm		icst six-illilluic average.			∐No
u. Did the ve test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		_	<u> </u>
	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	<u> </u>
6. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	liance with the opacity  pector for this unit du	limit? (See chart below)ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	liance with the opacity  pector for this unit du	limit? (See chart below)ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	No <u>⊠</u> No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance as the VE test conducted at a property of the conducted by the instance at the conducted by the conducted by the instance at the conducted by the conducted by the conducted by the instance at the conducted by	liance with the opacity  pector for this unit du  ocess rate that is represe	ring this site visit?entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord	pector for this unit du pecs rate that is represe ing to EPA Method 9?	ring this site visit?entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	No <u>⊠</u> No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represeing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ring this site visit?entative of the normal rate?entst six-minute average.		<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	□No □No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represeing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ring this site visit?entative of the normal rate?entst six-minute average.		☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represeing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	ring this site visit?entative of the normal rate?entst six-minute average.		<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	□No □No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represeing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high liance with the opacity	ring this site visit?entative of the normal rate?entst six-minute average.		<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	□No □No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du ocess rate that is represe ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high liance with the opacity  VE Opace	ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> </ul>	NoNoNoNoNo
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represeing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high liance with the opacity  VE Opace  EU not subject to	ring this site visit?entative of the normal rate?est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)	Subpart	☐ Yes	
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represe ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high liance with the opacity  VE Opac  EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits  Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO Elected, mod	
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represeing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high liance with the opacity  VE Opace  EU not subject to	ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)  rity Limits  Subpart OOO EU  constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart construc	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO Exceed, modestructed	
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the vertical property.  b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pector for this unit du ocess rate that is represe ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high liance with the opacity  VE Opace  EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)  rity Limits  Subpart OOO EU  constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO Event, modustructed 22/2008	
a. Was the VE test conducted by the instance.  Rate:  b. Was the VE test conducted accord.  The VE test resulted in an opacity	pector for this unit du occess rate that is represe ing to EPA Method 9? of% for the high liance with the opacity  VE Opac  EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? lest six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)  rity Limits  Subpart OOO EU  constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart construc	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO Exceed, modestructed	

### Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

		(check 🗹	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
1.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granith Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stone (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermical (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}  Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	g Plants?  y e, Gravel; Galt; ride, Kernite, ulite;  Yes	No
3.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No □No □No
sul If t	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
<b></b>	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

#### 2 -NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	el ng	⊠No
10.	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated—from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
sul	unswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11.	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 7/9/2012		
12.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	<b>Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If a	unswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15.	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

#### 2 –NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	· 🗌 Yes	No
<ul> <li>b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}</li> </ul>		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	⊠No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

#### 2 -NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor					
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	•				
and	<b>,</b>				
b. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrub	ber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n				_	_
of design scrubbing liquid flow	-				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				_	
i. has the EU been tested during	g each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	No
	, a				
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				⊠ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	□No
Rate:	FDAM 4 100			N 37	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			⊠ Yes	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			□ N.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	ilmit? (See chart below)		⊠ Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	nector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the uisp				Yes	□No
Rate:	eess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:		Lites	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted according to the very series of the very seri				1 cs	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				☐ Yes	□No
or 210 me +2 test demonstrate comp	inance with the spacing				
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	: <b>000 EU</b>	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constru	cted, modifi	ed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed on	or
	_	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	-	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

## Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}  1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	□No □No □No □No
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.	
5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>

#### 3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
sub If ti	nswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?  Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
If a	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	<b>Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	⊠No
If a	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————	Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

#### 3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	⊠No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

#### 3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			Į!
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	ш	1 68	☐ 1 <b>10</b>
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		Vac	$\square$ No
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	H	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
22 To a most assemble as most to control assistant from the ETT9		<b>W</b>	□ N <sub>0</sub>
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į!
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		*7	
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			ľ
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			ľ
and			Į!
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	$\square$	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			ľ
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			Į!
			Į!
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	_		"
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}\%$ for the highest six-minute average.			[1
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
  -			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		
			ļ
			l

### **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?   N/A  If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A  c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A  d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No	
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A  b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐No	
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check only one box for each question)	
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- YesNo	
2. Does this facility include:  a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-		No No No No No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one uestion)
1.	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	_	•	·
2.	pollution control devices?  Does the owner or operator:		Yes	⊠No
	<ul><li>a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>			□No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	$\mathbf{S}$	Yes	□No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□No
	The facility:  is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)			only one uestion)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant:  a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]		□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		Yes Yes	□No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	=	Yes	□No

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu associated with a change in ownership or with a physical roperations comprising the facility; or any other similar mi  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a) Installation of any new process equipment?						
FRANK DELGADO	5/10/2013					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 5/2014					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					

**COMMENTS:** ZACK BEATTY PERFORMED FIVE (5) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE PORTABLE CRUSHER AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS DURING THE TESTS.

REVIEWED
By Ray Gordon at 9:57 am, May 29, 2013