

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	
AIRS ID#: 7775724 DATE: <u>8/6/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:22 AM</u> DEPART:	11:28 AM
FACILITY NAME: CARD SOUND PORTABLE NMMP PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION: 13292 NW 118TH AVE MEDLEY 33178-3106	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/2012 / 7/28/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)818-495 Mobile: (813)476-118	5 5
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>DEVON COPPOCK</u>	box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEVON COPPOCK*?	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DEVON COPPOCK*?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	∑ Yes∑ Yes☐No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	t	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1 /
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	_	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	□No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	!l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 7/9/2012		
	, , , , , , <u></u>		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	X Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Ye	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Ye	s 🗌 No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Ye	s \[\]No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ye Ye	s
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		s
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Ye	s 🖾No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Ye	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Ye	s 🔲No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye	s

1 -NMMP Plant-GSR crusher, 300 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	rmed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through wh}\}$	ich there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}		,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes	□No
č	<i>U</i> 1		1 ,	_	_
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint				_	_
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has bee					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	_	The second of th			
and	555410.)				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on a				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device r					
of design scrubbing liquid flow	_	nanulacturer to be accurate with	1111 1370		
or design serubbing riquid now	rate. j				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/oners	tor for this EU? NEW			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			vearc?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		o been tested within the past 5	years:	1 cs	
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar vears?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	r voor?		Yes	□No
n. has the Lo been tested yet w	tilli the current calcilda	ii yeai:		1 cs	140
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	mer/onerator for this m	nit during this site visit?		⊠ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				⊠ Yes	□No
Rate:	ocess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to FPA Method 92			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accord					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	mance with the opacity.	mint? (See chart below)		L Tes	NO
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	maatan fan this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>				Yes	□No
	ocess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?		L Tes	NO
Rate:	Lucia de EDA Mada a 1 00			□ V	□ Na
b. Was the VE test conducted accordc. The VE test resulted in an opacity				Yes	□No
1 1		<u> </u>		□ V	□ Na
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	mance with the opacity	imit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subport	OOO EU	
	_	_	_		
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	ı or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	2/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1		

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	,
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	No No No No
sul If 1	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	⊠No
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	□No
გ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

2 -NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	:l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	∐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 7/9/2012		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	∐No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔯 N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔯 N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	

2 -NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturial as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	- Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

2 -NMMP Plant-transport conveyor, 42", 300 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				_	_
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	2		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				_	_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	•				
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrub	ber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	nust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%	_	
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					
i. has the EU been tested during	each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		Yes Yes	☐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				∑ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	No
Rate:	EDAM 1 100			N 11	
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				Yes Yes	∟No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				□ x ₇	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>	naatar fan this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				Yes	□No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?			No
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ing to EDA Mothod 02			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accords				1 es	NO
d. Did the VE test demonstrate complete		<u> </u>		☐ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	nance with the opacity	mint: (See chart below).			
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	: OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constru	cted, modifi	ed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	411C1 7/2	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
All other affected EUS	2U /0	10/0		1 /0	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

1. 2. 3. 4.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Ey Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☑ Yes☐ Yes	□No□No□No□No

3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

b g ผ <i>a</i> ผ	s the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or elt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, rinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	— d	Yes	⊠No
d g {j a m m	sthe EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line ownstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, rinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	⊠No
subp If the	aswer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. e answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
		\boxtimes	Yes	□No
If an	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13.E	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
If an	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
a b c	nitial Tests: . Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
iı	f the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes	□ No
c	one or more affected EUs.} If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🛛 N/A		Yes	∐ No
	_		
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		X 7	□ N.
instructions?	- Ш	res	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	۵.		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	105	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
or dong in sord do my fact.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes:		Yes	⊠No
		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNoNoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNo

3 -NMMP Plant-stacking conveyor, 48", 300 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	ш	168	
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			l l
one or more affected EUs.} h. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dsem (0.022 gr/dsef)?		Vac	\square Mo
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\vdash	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Vac	□ No
	Ш	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			l l
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į.
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		T 7	x.
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			Į.
and			Į.
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	\Box	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	_		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			_
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	_		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
or bid the 12 tool demonstrate compensation of the compensation of	_		

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? 🔀 N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	—	
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	✓ Yes✓ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	⊠ Yes	□ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility bean records to show that it does not have the notantial to emit.	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	- Yes	□No
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	r	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		⊠No

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	ne/yr		No No No No
				1
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or			only one uestion)
2.	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator:		Yes	⊠No
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			□No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?			□No
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)			only one uestion)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]		□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	⊠No □No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?		Yes	□No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocat operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor ad If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 da 	ion of the facility or any emissions units or ministrative change at the facility? Yes \int \text{\int}No					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?						
FRANK DELGADO	8/6/2012					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	8/2013					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS: THIS IS A NEW STEADMAN CRUSHER AT THE CEMEX FEC QUARRY. DAN BEATTY PERFORMED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE CRUSHER. THE CRUSHER WAS OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF MY VISIT. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS. THE AIR GENERAL PERMIT REGISTRATION FORM WAS SUBMITTED IN JUNE 2012.						

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 11:20 am, Aug 14, 2012