

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 18, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested noahm@readymixusa.com

Mr. Noah McBride Operations Manager Pensacola Ready Mix USA Post Office Box 7142 Pensacola, Florida 32534

Dear Mr. McBride:

On May 16, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330071. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carol Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0330071 DATE: <u>5/16/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:48 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:18 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PENSACOLA RM USA-MA	IN SITE PLANTS #1&2		
FACILITY LOCATION: 3008 N PALAFOX	K RD		
CANTONMENT	32533		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: NoahM@readymixusa.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/19/2011 / 5/19 (effective date) (end decomposition)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: //2016	(850)477-2899 (850)554-5017	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): David Wis  Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still NOAH MC If no, who is?:	CBRIDE?	YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le	ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?	Yes \( \subseteq\)No Yes \( \subseteq\)	

### **Emissions Unit Section**

5 –Back Plant – Two Silos with central dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  1. Date of last inspection: 5/4/11	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)	
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□ No □ No	
operation?	Yes	☐ No	
d. Date of last VE test: 5/4/11 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?  If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Emissions Unit Section 5 – Front Plant Cement Silo with dust collector/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one	
1. Date of last inspection: 5/4/11 2. Part Visible Emissions (VE) tests	box for each	question)	
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□ No □ No	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 50 days of confinencing		l	

a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	☐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? 🔯 N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
d. Date of last VE test: $5/4/11$		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27 tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state	_	
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	Yes	☐ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	⊠ Yes	□ No

## Emissions Unit Section 5 – Front Plant Fly Ash Silo with dust collector/baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  1. Date of last inspection: 5/4/11 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? -</li> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ol>	Yes No age.
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust policy that is representative of the normal silo loading rate.  e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of the silo loading rate of the silo loading rate.  g. Are emissions from the weigh operation controlled by the silo dust collect of the silo loading rate of the silo dust collect of the silon dust collect of the silon dust collect of the s	ma go to n Yes No ttching rate and
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?  h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collect from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and a 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	minutes tor which is separate dust collector duration?

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u> (	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	$\boxtimes$	Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if pultiple from the (use equation below)?  gal diesel/yr + gal gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared amount if pultiple from the first plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?  MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared amount if pultiple from the first plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?  MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared amount if pultiple from the first plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?  MM gal propared amount if pultiple from the first plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?  MM gal propared amount if pultiple from the first plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?  MM gal propared amount if pultiple from the first plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of gasoline?  MM gal propared amount if pultiple from the first plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of gasoline?  MM gallons of gasoline?  1.3 MM gallons of gasoline?	-	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No   No   No   No   No   No   No   No
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - 🔲	Yes	☐ No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	_	Yes	⊠ No
3.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛	Yes Yes	□ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□ No
	ELOCATABLE PLANT:  Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □			only one question)
1.	concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>	g que	stion 2.)	1
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- 🗌	Yes	□ No

a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Dep e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one by b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility.	changing location? Yes No			
to the Department or Local Air Program World While	herive business days following a relocation? Yes No			
c. Did the owner or operator to the appropriate Department of Local Air Program	ation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]			
If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate to co-located at the permitted facility?	nissions unit in that separate permit: n-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?   Yes No  No  No			
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in d	duration? Yes No			
CHANGES	(aback V only one			
Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li> </ol>	cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No thin 30 days of the change? Yes No rship:			
a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without re  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment  d. A change in ownership?				
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new 30 days prior to the change?	ew registration form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes No			
Jennifer Waltrip	May 15, 2012			
	<u> </u>			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	May 2013			
	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
<b>COMMENTS:</b> On May 9, 2012, Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection of Pensacola Ready Mix in Escambia County. The Department would like to thank Mr. Wise for his assistance during the inspection.				
When I arrived for the inspection, I noted emissions from the yard as a large tanker truck pulled off site. I brought this to the attention of Mr. Wise, and he indicated they would water the yard down. During the inspection, a driver was observed with a hose watering the area where trucks drive to help prevent any further unconfined emissions.				
A sweep log was maintained and available for review. The log documents that the facility washes down the entire yard once a week and under plants, slump racks and load hoppers daily. A sweeper is also used weekly on the entire yard.				

During the inspection, I witnessed several trucks loaded from the back plant and the front plant. No excess emissions were observed. I also witnessed tanker unloading cement to the back silo and no emissions were noted from the dust collector exhaust

point.