| A States     |
|--------------|
| FLORIDA      |
| 10-000000000 |

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)<br>RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   | COMPLAINT/DISCOVE<br>ARMS COMPLAINT NO |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0330071 DATE: <u>11/20/2007</u><br>FACILITY NAME: PLANT #1 (TWO FACILITIES)<br>FACILITY LOCATION: 3008 HIGHWAY 95A SO<br>PENSACOLA 32514<br>OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TONY<br>CONTACT NAME: Noah McBride<br>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/23/2006 / 6/23/2011<br>(effective date) (end date) | Y HATCHER PHON                         | DEPART: <u>11:30am</u><br>E: (850)477-2899<br>E: (850)477-2899 |  |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check  only one box)         □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE         PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.  |  |  |  |
| (check  |  |  |  |

| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)  |
|---|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)  |
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes</li> </ol>   |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate:   |
| a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  |
| b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No   |
| <ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity</li></ul> |
| <ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No</li> </ul>  |

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
|                               |  |

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

| 2. | If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processiplants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i> )                           | ing<br>□Yes ⊠ No<br>□Yes □ No       |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|
|    | <ul> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?</li> </ul> | ☐Yes ☐ No<br>☐Yes ☐ No<br>☐Yes ☐ No |
| 3. | <ul><li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li><li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?</li><li>b) material processed on a monthly basis?</li><li>c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?</li></ul> | ☐Yes ☐ No<br>☐Yes ☐ No<br>☐Yes ☐ No |

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

b

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

|   | 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?                     | Yes 🗌 No             |
|---|--|----------------------|
|   | 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when nece           | essary to control    |
|   | emissions?   | Yes 🗌 No             |
|   | 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the         | owner/operator to    |
|   | re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matt            | er? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No       |
|   | 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrai      | nment of             |
|   | particulate matter from stock piles?   | 🖾 Yes 🗌 No           |
| ) | ) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the | ne truck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been

| a) installation of any new process equipment?   | Yes | 🛛 No |
|---|-----|------|
| b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?                                 | Yes | 🛛 No |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most          |     |      |
| recent notification form?   | Yes | 🛛 No |
| d) If you answered <b><u>YES</u></b> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete |     |      |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or              |     |      |
| local program office?   | Yes | 🗌 No |
|   |     |      |

| Greg Landry |                                 | 11/26/2007                          |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|             | Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection                  |
| /s/         |                                 | 11/20/2008                          |
|             | Inspector's Signature           | Approximate Date of Next Inspection |

**COMMENTS:** A previous inspection noted the deposition and build-up of material from facility truck traffic on the public road in front of the facility. When vehicular traffic came into contact with the deposited material, unconfined particulate emissions were observed. Since the previous Air Resource Management Program inspection of this facility, some improvements to the public road in front of the property have been made by the County. The county road shoulders have been cut down on both sides and the county road shoulder has been paved on the west side.

To help control particulate emissions, the facility has purchased a sweeper for the paved areas of the facility and are watering the property as needed. According to facility personnel, additional paving within the facility yard is planned once DEP permits are obtained and a tire washing station is planned for the near future. According to facility personnel, all improvements should be completed by the February-March 2008 time frame.

Material/dust from the facility is remains on County Road 95-A and is a source of fugitive emissions from traffic driving over this material. According to facility personnel, the County does not want the Facility to use the Facility's sweeper truck to remove this material.