A AND
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DIS ARMS COMPLAI	SCOVERY (CI) 🛛	
AIRS ID#: 0330071 DAT FACILITY NAME: Pens		ARRIVE:	DEPART	ſ:
FACILITY LOCATION	: 3008 HIGHWAY 95A SC PENSACOLA 32514	DUTH		
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICI</b>	AL: TONY HATCHER	I	<b>PHONE:</b> (850)477-28	399
CONTACT NAME: No	ah McBride	I	<b>PHONE:</b> (477)289-9	
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLE	CMENT PERIOD: 6/2 (eff	23/2006 / 6/23 (ective date) (end d	
IN COMPLIANC	COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec CE MINOR Non-COMPL	-	IIFICANT Non-COMI	PLIANCE
<ul> <li>(check ☑ appropriate</li> <li><u>Stack Emissions</u> <ol> <li>Were visible emissions</li> <li>Were visible emissions from controlled to the exist on the emission of the emission of the emission of the emissions from to this question is from to the by During the visit duration?</li></ol></li></ul>	CORDKEEPING REQUIREM e box(es)) ions tests conducted during this s n silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), tent necessary to limit visible em ssions tests of the silo dust collec resentative of the normal silo load unachievable in practice?	site visit according to F o, and other enclosed st nissions to 5 percent op ctor exhaust points was ding rate, or at least at eration controlled by th ons 4.a) and 4.b) below the visible emissions te	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: C orage and conveying e pacity?	Yes       No         equipment       Yes       No         o conducted       No       No         per hour rate,       Yes       No         If answer       Yes       No         ien       Yes       No          Yes       No         grate and       Yes       No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes Yes No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropri	ate box(es))		
1 In this for all the	1) a station and <u>M</u> .	2) a value actual la	□

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

ccessing ES, □Yes ⊠ No
Yes No
er
Yes No
Yes No
Yes No
⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No □Yes □ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check  $\blacksquare$  appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	s 🗌 No
	2)	) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	No 🗌 No
	3)	) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	No No
	4)	) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
			s 🗌 No
b)	use	se of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes	s 🗌 No

## 

Greg Landry

/s/

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Inspector's Signature

06/12/2007

Date of Inspection

06/12/2008

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Part II. Permit Terms and Conditions - (4)(b)2. - Controlling fugitive dust from the drop point into the cement mixer truck. - Loading operations for two cement mixer trucks were observed. The spray bar appeared to be working properly and no visible emissions were observed. (4)(a) - Visible emissions limits from silos, weigh hoppers and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment shall be controlled to limit the opacity to 5%. (4)(c), (d) & (e) - The report from the facility's visible emissions testing performed on May 8, 2007 shows compliance.

(4)(b)1 Reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions - The yard is paved and a water trailer is available to wet down the yard when needed to control dust. The unpaved areas of the facility had been wetted down, the loaded trucks were being washed. Material piles have sprinklers to control fugitive emissions and stock piles were being watered. No fugitive emissions were seen from yard operations; however, the facility is non-compliant for failure to control fugitive emissions on the public road in front of the facility (see inspection report cover letter). Several fugitive emissions complaints concerning the public road in front of the facility entrance were discussed. Cement dust/mud from trucks leaving the facility are depositing the material on the public road. Concrete dust build-up along the side of the road results in excess dusting from vehicle traffic. According to facility personnel, consideration has been given to pave the entire yard and if this occurs, the facility will purchase a sweeper to control cement dust emissions.

All records were complete and available for inspection. However, as a reminder, Rule 62-210.310, paragraph (5)(b), the Air General Permit for Facilities Comprising Concrete Batching Plants, no longer requires maintaining records for sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications), or records of fuel consumption on a monthly basis, however, if the facility is collocated with other facilities, total fuel consumption usage, per year, will need to be demonstrated.