

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	PECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/I  ARMS COMPL		(CI)		
AIR	<b>S ID#:</b> 1110143 <b>DA</b> 7	ГЕ: <u>03/06/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:35</u>		DEPART: <u>11:15</u>		
FACILITY NAME: HAISLEY PET LOSS SERVICES							
FAC	CILITY LOCATION	1: 1602 S 30TH ST					
		FORT PIERCE 34	947-6911				
E CON E	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RICHARD HAISLEY Email: rhaisley@haisleyfh.com CONTACT NAME: LARRY KIDD Email: larry@haisleyfuneralhome.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/31/2011 / 12/31/2016 (effective date)  PHONE: (772)461-5211 Mobile: (772)461-5211 Mobile: (772)201-2546						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
1. N		resentative(s):					only one question)
	s the Authorized Repr f no, who is?:	resentative still RICHARD H	IAISLEY?		🛚 🖂 Y	es	□No
3. I		ility provide an administrativitil LARRY KIDD?				es es	□No □No
		eting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at least				es es	□No □No

## Emissions Unit Section 1 - Animal Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbrNG,tempM&R,opacM100Lbs/hr

	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1.	after August 30, 1989?b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	Yes Yes	□No		
2.	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	□No		
3. 4.	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?  Date of last inspection: n/a  Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	⊠ Yes	□No		
σ.	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No		
	operation? $\square$ N/A d. Date of last VE test: $\underline{n/a}$	Yes	□No		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?  If no, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes Yes	□No □No		
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)		
a. b. c.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		□No □No □No		
e.	Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.  Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	Yes Yes s in any one-hour)	□No		
a. b. c. d.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	□No □No □No □No		
	Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	Yes in any one-hour)	□No		
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?					
	If yes, what reason?				

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check ✓ only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	•	ŕ	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed paramete		110	
Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level		)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –			
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temp secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		No	
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 secon time at \( \sum 1,800^1 \subseteq 1,600^2 \) degrees was determined?	X Yes	No	
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past to (1) All temperature measurements	two years? Yes \( \int_{}	No	
(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations      (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	Yes 🔲 Y]		
(4) Adjustments	Yes 🔲 Y]	No	
(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices			
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature mare.</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li></ul>	arkings		
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring sy control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	No	
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whe exceeds 15% opacity?	Yes	No	
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		No	
	(check <b>☑</b> only	_	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each questi	ion)	
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:     a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less t throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?     b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F.	Yes	No	
process begins in the primary chamber?		No	
<ol> <li>If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no letthroughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ol>	Yes	No	
process begins in the primary chamber?		No	
	(check 🗹 only	_	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each questi	ion)	
Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals a are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?  If yes, what other materials?		No	
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		No No	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li></ol>	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	No No No No No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	ANCE				
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Yes	<ul> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> <li>□No</li> </ul>			
Michelle Robinson 03/13/2012					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspec	ection				

**COMMENTS:** Visible emissions testing was conducted by Gene Schaltenbrand of Brooks and Associates. The facility has two cremators on site a relocated remodeled animal cremator and a new unit for human remains. Approximately, 50 lbs. of animal remains were cremated.

The animal cremator was not used prior to this day thus temperature charts were not available. The staff was advised to start a log book to notate calibrations and equipment maintenance for the unit.

The facility was found to be well maintained and all of the equipment was found to be in excellent condition. No visible emissions were observed.