

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

August 3, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL emitchell@nettally.com

Mr. Edward M. Mitchell Jr., President Mitchell Brothers, Inc. 1330 Capital Circle Northeast Tallahassee, Florida 32308

Dear Mr. Mitchell:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7775705**. Your facility permit expires on **November 24**, **2016**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection report is enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/ 245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellanon

Branch Manager

MC/tw

Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)



$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPI	E: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2 RE-INSPECTION (FUI		TT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7775705 DATE: 7/10/12 ARRIVE: DEPART:						
FACILITY NAME:	MITCHELL BROTHERS-A	ASPHALT PLANT-CRU	SHER			
FACILITY LOCAT	ION: 1330 CAPITAL	CIR NE				
	TALLAHASSEE	E 32308-6202				
Email: emitchell CONTACT NAME:	PRADEEP RAVAL cooglerassociates.com ERIOD: 11/24/2011 / 1	E: EDWARD MITCHEI 11/24/2016 d date)	PHONE: (850)574-6 Mobile: PHONE: (904)377-5 Mobile: (352)317-8	5822		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	NTRODUCTORY MEETI y representative(s): Bobby Ba Operator			(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
	Representative still EDWARI	D MITCHELL?		X YesNo		
If different, did the	e facility provide an administract still PRADEEP RAVAL?					
4. Will facility be con If yes, was the con	nducting VE test(s) during to npliance authority notified at	oday's inspection?t t least 15 days in advance	?	YesNo YesNo		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybar, diesel RICE, 350 T/hr

box for each question			(check ☑	only one
Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limstone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Mart, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Raodin, Fireelay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfare; (17) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barrie; (12) Flucrospar; (13) Felasyar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		t	ox for each o	question)
Note: "Nommetallic minerals" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Garnite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Sal; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Bartite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Ferlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Tc ·			,
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?			⊠ Yes	□No
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	2.		Yes	□No
□ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. /A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? □ □ Yes □No 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? □ □ Yes □No 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or				□No
storage bin,	4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; Screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
Screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)				
undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
□ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————				
compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ───────────────────────────────────				
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	sul If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	5.			
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?		subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	_		∐ Yes	⊠No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	6.			⊠ N
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	7		∐ Yes	⊠No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	/.		□ Vac	⊠ No
	8		☐ 1 ES	₩1
	0.		☐ Yes	⊠No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybar, diesel RICE, 350 T/hr

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	ted ul ing	Yes	⊠No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes	□ No
 purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? 		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybar, diesel RICE, 350 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	$\overline{\Box}$	Yes	⊠No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		Tes	<u></u>
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	· 🔲 ,	Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	⊠No
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybar, diesel RICE, 350 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an		and all enclosed EUs are not				
individually in compliance with em						
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo			- / •			
initial startup of the EU?			/A	∐ Yes	☐ No	
{A "vent" is any opening through wh						
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from				
one or more affected EUs.}				_		
b. Was the EU found to be in comple				∐ Yes	∐No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	m non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No	
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EU?	·		Yes	□No	
If yes, does the owner/operator main	tain and operate:					
a. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the pressure l	oss of the gas stream through th	e			
		al basis in accordance with man				
instructions?				Yes	□No	
{Note: The monitoring device i	nust be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•					
and						
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	g liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	;		
		lance with manufacturer's instru		☐ Yes	No	
		manufacturer to be accurate wit				
of design scrubbing liquid flow						
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU? <u>9/12/2011</u>				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E	EU been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar						
i. has the EU been tested durin				☐ Yes	⊠No	
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ar year?		Yes	☐No	
25 Was a VE test conducted by the cu	man/ananatan fan this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owa. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes		
-	ocess rate that is represe	emative of the normal rate?		res	□No	
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EDA Mothed 02			☐ Yes	\square No	
c. The VE test conducted accord	of % for the high	ost six minute exerces			∐No	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	oi% for the migh	limit? (See short below)		Yes	□No	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	mance with the opacity	mint? (See chart below)		∐ i es	□N0	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes	□No	
Rate:	ocess rate unat is represe					
	b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo					
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity						
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No	
•	1 7	,		_	_	
	VE Onge	city Limits				
		·	Cubnant	OOO EU		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_		٠. ا	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		ted, modif		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	or recons	structed or 2/2008	n or	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%		
I III outer affected Lob	1 2070	1070	I	, ,0		

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \[\Boxed N/A \] If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)?	∑ Yes □ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \[\] N/A	Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	box for each a - ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	box for each of	uestion) NoNo

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes Mane/yr ≤ 1.00 Me/yr	No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
 RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☒ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.) 	(check v box for each of	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	5)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	⊠No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

CHANGES		*	nly one			
Administrative Changes:		box for each que	estion)			
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. 	ation of the facility or any emissions undministrative change at the facility?	nits <u>or</u>	⊠No □No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:						
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is s d) A change in ownership?	ent?substantially different?	- Yes [⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regist 30 days prior to the change?	tration form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	No			
Tracy White	7/10/12					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
I ray Evilue						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection				
COMMENTS: I met with Bobby Baron, Site Operator. Compliance testing for facility I.D.# 0730034, located at the same site, was in progress. I observed the crusher. It was not in operation. Both RAP and stone aggregate piles were present in the vicinity.						
No changes to equipment were noted. I did not see a water source for the crusher. According to the last inspection comments, a water truck is used to control potential emissions.						
The last compliance test date on record was for 9/12/2011. An annual compliance test is required. Testing for this unit was to occur on the date of this inspection, but it was not in progress during the site inspection.						
Recommendations:						
Fuel usage records may be required to be maintained for the crushe required.	er unit. Maintenance records (spraybar)) for the crusher r	nay be			