

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	SCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7775703 DATE: <u>06/05/2012</u>	ARRIVE: 8:00am	DEPART: <u>11:5</u>	5 <u>2am</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SUMTERVILLE MINE-POW	/ERSCREEN #2818				
FACILITY LOCATION: HWY 470					
SUMTERVILLE	33585				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: WILLIAM HOUGHTON Email: billh@dixielime.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/17/2011 / 9/17. (effective date) (end d	/2016	PHONE: (352)629-9715 Mobile: PHONE: (352)629-9715 Mobile:			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	G	(-1			
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Danny Cav</u>		*	neck only one for each question)		
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still WILLIAM If no, who is?:	STAVOLA?		YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrat 3. Is the facility contact still WILLIAM HOUGHTO If no, who is?:			YesNo YesNo		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			YesNo YesNo		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-#2818 screening operation, 32 sq ft, >150T/hr

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		• /
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🕅 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Xes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	☐ Yes	⊠No

1 –NMMP Plant-#2818 screening operation, 32 sq ft, >150T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	\boxtimes No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
<i>If</i> .	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
,	2		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 1996		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
		_	_
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes Yes	∐ No
	$\{A\ "vent"\ is\ any\ opening\ through\ which\ there\ is\ mechanically\ induced\ air\ flow\ for\ the$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No

1 -NMMP Plant-#2818 screening operation, 32 sq ft, >150T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		esNo
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ Y	es 🗌 No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	□ Y	esNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	□ Y	esNo
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	Ÿ □ Y	esNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Y	esNo
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ Y	es □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	esNo
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y ☐ Y	es No esNo esNo esNo

1 -NMMP Plant-#2818 screening operation, 32 sq ft, >150T/hr

2. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	nissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo				_	
			/A	Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in comple				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	□No
3.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				_	_
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
		al basis in accordance with man			
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device i	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250	_	_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	-				
and	•				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the	•	
		ance with manufacturer's instru		Yes Yes	☐No
{Note: The monitoring device i	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
I. When was the last VE test conduct	ad by the experience	tor for this FU? 06/20/2011			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			Trooped?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		to been tested within the past 3	years:	res	NO
		ndar years?		⊠ Yes	□No
		ar year?		Yes	□No
n. has the EO been tested yet w	runn the current calenda	ıı yeai:			☑110
5. Was a VE test conducted by the on	<i>ner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	□No
Rate: see commen					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
Word WE took conducted by the in		nin a thia aita niait9		□ v	✓ Ma
6. Was a VE test conducted by the inta- a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				☐ Yes☐ Yes	⊠No
	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ i es	∐No
Rate:	ding to EDA Mathad 02			□ Vas	□ No
b. Was the VE test conducted accordc. The VE test resulted in an opacity				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				☐ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phance with the opacity	mint? (See chart below)		res	No
	TITE O				
		city Limits	G 1 4	000 FH	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	000 EU	e
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		ted, modi	
		or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed o	n or
	Subpart OOO	<u> </u>	6. 4	A /A C A C	
Caralanavithan	-	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	20% 20%	<u> </u>	after 4/2	2/2008 12% 7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 o	only one uestion)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 7770035 & 7774814		□No

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		
i 			
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS		only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	 ∏No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
_			
RI	ELOCATABLE PLANT	(check 🗹	only one
1.	The facility: \square is stationary; \bowtie is relocatable; or \square consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	box for each	•
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant:		
	a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		□No
	b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?		□No
2	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera		_
٥.	permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		□ Nt.
	a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No
	{Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.}		
	b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at	□ V ₂₂	□ No
	the permitted facility?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No □No

CHANGES Administrative Changes	(check ☑ box for eacl	
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone associated with a change in ownership or with a physica operations comprising the facility; or any other similar to the facility provide written notification with 	number of the facility or authorized representative not all relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes eplacement?	□No□No□No□No
Wendy D. Akins	06/05/2012	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	06/01/2017	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: This equipment was recently registered as a separate NMMP facility. The Visible Emissions Testing conducted on this day was initial testing for new Facility ID. The limerock at this facility is mined below the waterline but is not immediately placed into crusher. This equipment was operating at the time of my inspection. No Visible Emissions exceeding Subpart OOO limits were observed during my site vist. The exact processing rate during this inspection and VE testing is unknown. This screen's permitted capacity is greater than 150 tons per hour. Since it was connected to the Hewitt Robbins crusher (Facility ID No. 7774814) it is assumed that the unit was processing less than 700 tons per hour. Dixie Lime representative, Mr. Danny Cavanaugh estimated that the actual processing rate for the crusher during the Visible Emissions testing may have been between 500 and 600 tons per hour. Dixie Lime and Stone does not keep fuel records on site at the Sumter Mine location because they do not have an office building at the mine. All fuel records are stored at the company's Ocala Headquarters. Ms. Christine Hertz did provide fuel usage information by email as requested during my inspection interview with Mr. Cavanaugh. See attached email dated 06-06-2012. As noted in the email, the fuel usage for all three facilities at the Sumterville Mine from June 2011 to May 2012 is 21,608 gallons. This total is well below the maximum allowable of 275,000 gallons. Photos were taken and are attached to this inspection report.

From: <u>Chris Hertz</u>
To: <u>Akins, Wendy</u>

Subject: DIXIE LIME & STONE CO.-SUMTERVILLE Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2012 11:36:27 AM

Wendy,

I received the request for diesel gallons used on our 2 crushers and screening operation. The total for the previous year, June 2011 through May 2012 is 21,608 gallons.

Please let me know if you need further information.

Thanks, Christine Hertz
DIXIE LIME & STONE CO.
M J STAVOLA INDUSTRIES
P.O.BOX 1209, ANTHONY, FL 32617
352-629-9715
chrishrz@dixielime.com

DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Facility Name: Dixie Lime and Stone—Sumter County
 County / AIRS ID Nos: 7770035, 7774814, and 7775703

3. Inspection Type: INS24. Inspection Date: 06/05/2012

5. Date Photographic Log was completed: 06/11/2012

6. Type of Camera Used: Cannon Power Shot SD400 ELPH - digital camera

7. Digital Recording Media: ScanDisk 256 MB SD Card

8. All Digital Photos Were Copied To: Hard Disk of Computer #143986

9. Original Copy Is Stored In/On: Hard disk of computer #143986

10. Were the photos altered?: NO **X** YES_____ explain yes:

11. Photographer: Wendy D. Akins

12. Signature of Photographer:_



Photo ID No: IMG_424 - Facility ID No. 7770035



Photo ID No: IMG_426 – Facility ID No. 7774814: Hewitt Robbins Crusher (on left). Manufactured 1975. Power Screener Facility ID No. 7775703 on the far right.



Photo ID No: IMG_425 - Screen Facility ID No. 7775703



Photo ID No: IMG_427- Hewitt Robbins Crusher. Manufactured 1975. Facility ID No. 7774814.

Facility Name: Dixie Lime and Stone Facility ID Nos: 7774814 and 7775703 County: Sumter Inspection Type/Date: INS2 on 06/05/2012 Page 1 of 2



Photo ID No: IMG_428 – Power Screen Unit. Facility ID No. 7775703.

Facility Name: Dixie Lime and Stone Facility ID Nos: 7774814 and 7775703 County: Sumter Inspection Type/Date: INS2 on 06/05/2012 Page 2 of 2