

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

April 19, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL marshallbrothers@bellsouth.net

Mr. Matt Marshall Marshall Brothers Construction 2503 Transmitter Road Panama City, Florida 32404

Dear Mr. Marshall:

On January 24, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Marshall Brothers Relocatable Crusher ID 7775686. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility may not have been in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. However the Method 9 test conducted February 23, 2012 appears to have demonstrated facility compliance.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 7775686 DATE: <u>1/24/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:03</u>	DEPART: 1	1:5 <u>0</u>
FACILITY NAME: MARSHALL BROTHERS YA	ARD		
FACILITY LOCATION: 2305 TRANSMITT	ER RD		
PANAMA CITY	32404-3156		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: marshallbrothers@bellsouth.net CONTACT NAME: JAY MARSHALL Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/18/2011 / 8/18/2 (effective date) (end date)	2016	PHONE: (850)265-8742 Mobile: (850)596-4526 PHONE: (850)596-8481 Mobile: (850)596-8481	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	Facility Section	<u> </u>	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-CO	<u> </u>) NIFICANT Non-COMPLIA	ANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Matt Marsha Brief Notes: I met with Mr. Masrhall and reviewe	all		(check only one oox for each question) was not operating at the
time of tis inspection.Is the Authorized Representative still MATT MAF If no, who is?: NA	RSHALL?		⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrati 3. Is the facility contact still JAY MARSHALL? If no, who is?: NA	ve update within 30 days?	\sumN/A	☐ Yes ☐No ☑ Yes ☐No
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea 			☐ Yes

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher, w/diesel RICE pwr unit, 300 T/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each o	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		
13	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Galt; ide, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	⊠ Yes	∟No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
_		Yes Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ v	M N-
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
/٠	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		∠ 7 10
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
			-

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher, w/diesel RICE pwr unit, 300 T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? $\frac{1/07}{}$		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_	_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	<u></u> No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
i i			

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher, w/diesel RICE pwr unit, 300 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	□No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No ☐No ☑No ☐No

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher, w/diesel RICE pwr unit, 300 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not					
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		🖂 N	A Yes	☐ No	
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	, ,,	•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	nce with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				□No	
•	• •				
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No	
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
 a. a device for the continuous measure 	ement of the pressure lo	oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been	n calibrated on an annua	al basis in accordance with many	ufacturer's		
instructions?				□No	
{Note: The monitoring device m	oust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measur				_	
device has been calibrated on an				□.No	
{Note: The monitoring device m		nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24.3371 41.1.4.375.4.4.1.4.	11 41 /	6 41 · EU9 2/22/2012			
24. When was the last VE test conducte			N7/A X7		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years⊠N/A∐ Yes	□No	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart			Z N7/A	□ N.	
i. has the EU been tested during	each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?[⊠N/A	∐No	
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?	Yes	□No	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ar/onerator for this m	nit during this site visit?		⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>bw</i>				□No	
Rate: NA	cess rate that is represe	intative of the normal rate:	<u> </u> 1 CS	\\0	
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to FPA Method 92 -		⊠ N/A □ Ves	□No	
c. The VE test conducted according			Z14/11 1C3		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			⊠ N/A □ Yes	No	
d. But the VE test demonstrate compr	nunce with the opacity	mint. (See chart selow).	Z		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp	nector for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Yes	⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				No	
Rate: NA	1				
b. Was the $\overline{\text{VE}}$ test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -		XN/A Yes	□No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				· 	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			\(\sum\(\subset \) Yes	□No	
_					
	T/E O	•, ••			
VE Opacity Limits					
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU		
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modif		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed or	1 or	
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%		
				•	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-screen operation w/diesel RICE pwr unit,9.6 cu yd

2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?			(check ☑	only one
Note: "Nonmetallic minerals" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Gongman (Alloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Ferlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dimortierite.) 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? \top Yes \top No. \to No. \top Yes \top No. \t		1	box for each	question)
Note: "Nonmetallic minerals" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Gongman (Alloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Ferlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dimortierite.) 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? \top Yes \top No. \to No. \top Yes \top No. \t	s tł			•
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	i i (((Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorist any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and 3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock 5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloud Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	tty te, l Gravel; Salt; oride, , Kernite,	
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	. 1	s the FII located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?			⊠ Yes	□No
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?				□No
4. Is the EU one of the following? ────────────────────────────────────				□No
storage bin,	. I	s the EU one of the following?	⊠ Yes	□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? □ Yes □ No. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? □ Yes □ No. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? □ No. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		\boxtimes crusher, \square grinding mill, \square bucket elevator, \boxtimes belt conveyor, \square bagging operation,		
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; Screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Į			
but not including, the first storage silo or bin; Screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————				
 				
undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.) If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	_			
oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ———————————————————————————————————				
plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
□ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Î			
air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? ───────────────────────────────────	C			
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	ubj	part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	. I	s the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?			_	_
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?			∐ Yes	⊠No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?				N
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?			∐ Yes	⊠No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or			□ Vac	M No
			☐ i es	₩INO
			☐ Yes	⊠No

2 –NMMP Plant-screen operation w/diesel RICE pwr unit,9.6 cu yd

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? $\frac{1/07}{}$		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_	_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	<u></u> No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
i i			

2 –NMMP Plant-screen operation w/diesel RICE pwr unit,9.6 cu yd

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	- Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	No□No□No□No

2 –NMMP Plant-screen operation w/diesel RICE pwr unit,9.6 cu yd

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not					
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A Yes	☐ No	
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particulat	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	, ,,				
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				□No	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No	
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	ain and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the pressure lo	oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				□No	
{Note: The monitoring device m	oust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measur					
device has been calibrated on an				∐No	
{Note: The monitoring device m		nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the expense	ton for this EII9 2/22/12			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			voors? N/A Vo	s 🔲No	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		O been tested within the past 3	years: MIVA Tes	5	
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar voare?	-⊠N/A ∏ Yes	□No	
ii. has the EU been tested during				□No	
n. has the Lo been tested yet wi	umi the current calenda	r year:			
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				□No	
Rate: NA					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ing to EPA Method 9? -		⊠N/A	□No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			\(\sum\(N/A \) Yes	□No	
_					
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp		9		⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	⊠N/A Yes	□No	
Rate: <u>NA</u>					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi			⊠N/A Yes	No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	liance with the opacity l	limit? (See chart below)	⊠N/A ∐ Yes	□No	
VE Opacity Limits					
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU		
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modi		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed of		
	Suspart OOO	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	11 01	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%		
All other affected Eos	2U /0	10/0	1 /0		

Facility Section (continued)

RF	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		-
	a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? NO	Yes	⊠ No
	b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
İ	of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: (<u>NA</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?N/A c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
	ONDERS A MICAL OF CENTED AT DEDMIT ELICIDII ITW		<u></u>
	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each of	only one auestion)
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- X Yes	□No □No □No
2.	Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? NA	or	⊠No
ľ	 b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? NA 		⊠No
	If TES, what other general permit units of activities: <u>IVA</u>		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? c) yellong diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/ 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/ 4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumptor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes A Yes A Yes A Yes Yes Yes Yes xe/yr \leq 1.00?	NoNoNoNoNo
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check 🗹 oox for each q	only one uestion)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	⊠ Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 oox for each q	only one uestion)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?)]	□No
 3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operati permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	,	check only one x for each question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. 	ation of the facility or any emissions units distribution of the facility or any emissions units distribution of the facility?	or □ Yes ⊠No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replaceme c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is s d) A change in ownership?	ent? [ubstantially different? [[ration form and the appropriate fee submit	Yes \(\subseteq \)No \(\subseteq \)No \(\subseteq \)No
C. Mark Sumner	1/24/2012	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Mark Sen	January 2013	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspec	etion

COMMENTS: This crusher was permitted on 8/18/2011, but the initial VE test was not done until 2/23/2012. Please ensure future tests are conducted in a timley manner. As demostrated by the facility records these emission units have used 1150 gallons of #2 diesel fuel from August 2011 to January 2012.