

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
RS ID#: 0330070 DATE: <u>3/27/08</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:27 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:55 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: PENSACOLA CONCRETE PLANT			
CILITY LOCATION: 100 E OLIVE RD			
PENSACOLA 32504			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES FORBES PHONE: (678)746-2295			
ONTACT NAME: Danny Byrd PHONE: 850-476-7328			
TITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/20/2005 / 10/20/2010 (effective date) (end date)			
RT I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
RT II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □Yes ☒ No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	ie 🗌
 (check papropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM	<u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)			
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	0.4 0.11		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:			
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control			
emissions?			
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitiga	ate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Bigsymbol{\Bigs		
The second secon			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment			
Since the last inspection has there been			
	□Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	replacement?		
 c) replacement of existing equipment substantially di 	lifferent than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0			
local program office?			
Chris Stoll	3/27/08		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	3/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: An unannounced compliance inspection was co			
	ty. The emission units located at the facility include three silos and		
one loading spout. All emissions from these units are controlled using one baghouse. The last vissible emission (VE) test was conducted on September 11, 2007. During the thirty minute VE test, zero emissions were observed from the baghouse			
conducted on September 11, 2007. During the thirty minute VE	test, zero emissions were observed from the baghouse		
At the time of the increation, the increator witnessed concrete tr			
At the time of the inspection, the inspector witnessed concrete trucks being loaded and another truck unloading cement into the silos. No vissible emissions were observed associated with these activities.			
The facility appeared to be well maintained. Maintennce records			
recycled water on the paved portioned sections of the facility. Unconfined emissions are controlled from stock piles by mainting the			
height of the stock piles below the block walls and using a water sprinkler system on some of the stock piles.			
	spinner system on some or the stoom phosi		
The Coulting and the marking all of the conditions listed in the	the General Air Permit. Please continue operarting and maintaing		