# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	PECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		Y (CI)		
AIR	S ID#: 7775669 DA	TE: <u>5/11/2012</u>		ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>		DEPART:	1500	
FAC	CILITY NAME: DA	VIE PLANT						
FAC	CILITY LOCATION	N: 4285 SW 57TH TEI	R					
		DAVIE 33314-38	55					
CON E	NER/AUTHORIZE Cmail: NTACT NAME: JA Cmail: CITLEMENT PERIO		2016	O'CONNOR	Mobile:	(954)581-841 (954)581-841		
PAR	Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PAR	RT II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING	<u> </u>				*	only one
1. N	Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s):					box for each	question)
E	Brief Notes:							
	s the Authorized Repr f no, who is?:	resentative still JACK O'CO	NNOF	R?			Yes	□No
3. I		cility provide an administrati still JACK O'CONNOR?					☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
		cting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at lea					Yes Yes	⊠No □No

### Emissions Unit Section 1 -NMMP Plant-crusher,400T/hr w/250Hp electric motor power unit

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity	y	
	Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		⊠No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes Yes	□No
	<ul> <li>□ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation,</li> <li>□ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station;</li> </ul>		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	an earrying particulate number (1 m) emissions from one or more affected 20s.		
If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ <b>x</b> ,	
0	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	∐No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□ No
	equal to 9 megagrams/nom (10 tons/nom) :	□ 1 es	□No

### 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher,400T/hr w/250Hp electric motor power unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or	_	_
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by the suppleasion systems is not considered to be suitable of purposes by this definitionly		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding finit of storage out in the production fine.	1 C5	
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1£	answer to any of the six Overtions 5, 10, above is "Ver" than the EU is not subject to		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When we the EU lest constructed modified on reconstructed?		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	W4L-EU4-1 1'C-14-1 (44/22/2009)	□ <b>3</b> 7	□ N.
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	∐No
TC			
IJ	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
12	Does the EII have a particulate matter conture quetar (equipment including analogues		
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ Vac	□ No
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	∐No
Τſ	groups to Organian 12 is "No" alin the following greations and go directly to Organian 10		
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
1 1	Initial Tasta		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ <b>v</b>	□ Na
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	∐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No

### 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher,400T/hr w/250Hp electric motor power unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;  uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);  follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or  none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	_	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher,400T/hr w/250Hp electric motor power unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			ļ!
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Vac	
initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	ш	Yes	∐ No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			1
one or more affected EUs.)  b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Vac	□No
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	$\vdash$	Yes	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		105	□10
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			1
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_	1 00	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			1
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	e		1
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	-		<u> </u>
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 5/11/2011		~~	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		~~	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	$\vdash$	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ш	Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this thirt during this site visit:	H	Yes	□No
Rate:		105	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	П	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		103	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
d. Did the VL test demonstrate comphance with the opacity mint. (See chart selow).		103	□ <b>1</b> 0
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ħ	Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
- -			

## Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-screening operation, 5'x16', 2 decks

1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granin Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of Sogysum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}  Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	Ey Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite;  Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	∐No
v.	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
8	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	∐No
•	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

### 2 -NMMP Plant-screening operation, 5'x16', 2 decks

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ing	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
<b>I</b> f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
Ιſ	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
IJ	answer to guestion 12 to 110 sup merjone ming questions und go unleady to guestion 20		
	b. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
		☐ Yes	□No
13	S. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	☐ Yes	□No
13 <i>If</i>	S.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:	Yes	□No
13 <i>If</i>	S.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	Yes	□No
13 <i>If</i>	3. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
13 <i>If</i>	A. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
13 <i>If</i>	A. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i>	A. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
13 <i>If</i> 14	A. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	A.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **Answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	A. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	b. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	A. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	B. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	B.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	A.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13 <i>If</i> 14	A.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  **Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
13 <i>If</i> 14	A.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?  answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19  3. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### 2 -NMMP Plant-screening operation, 5'x16', 2 decks

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### 2 -NMMP Plant-screening operation, 5'x16', 2 decks

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	□No
c. Were filled rughtive chiassions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 1/10 opacity.	- ш	108	□110
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	- 🔲	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer			
instructions?	<u></u>	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and	tha		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Ves	□No
Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
or design seems 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 5/11/11			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	. 🗌	Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	_		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	. Ц	Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit:a.	_ 片	Yes	□No
Rate:	. С	103	L\0
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	. 🖂	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	- 🗌	Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	. Ц	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		V	□ No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	. Ш	Yes	□No
			ļ

### Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-#50-001 stacker conveyor, 30''w x 50'l

	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorist is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granic Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty te, ! Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No ⊠No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU one of the following?		⊠No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,	_	_
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
sul	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	∐No
0.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ 168	□110
<b>,</b> •	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	□No

### 3 –NMMP Plant-#50-001 stacker conveyor, 30''w x 50'l

11 4	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l 1g	□No
i i i i	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
If a subj If th	nswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.  When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If a	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
1	Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
i	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
(	one or more affected EUs.}  b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?  c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?  d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

### 3 –NMMP Plant-#50-001 stacker conveyor, 30''w x 50'l

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;  uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);  follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or  none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A		Yes	☐ No
<b>18.</b> Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	. 🗌 🧏	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the FU was constructed modified or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skin the following.</li> </ul>		Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
<b>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### 3 –NMMP Plant-#50-001 stacker conveyor, 30''w x 50'l

22.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	ш	1 08	LINO
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		-	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į!
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			Į!
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}  and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	ie		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	_		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	_		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	$\sqsubseteq$	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ш	Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	$\Box$	Yes	□No
Rate:			_ ,,
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		<b>T7</b> .	□ NT.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No

### Emissions Unit Section 6 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE auxillary power unit

		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlosand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	⊠No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)  building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through } \}$		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_ ,,	
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
/٠	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	☐ 1 es	□140
•	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
		_ <del>_</del>	_ <del>_</del>

### <u>6 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE auxillary power unit</u>

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	Yes	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.  11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes	□ No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

### <u>6 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE auxillary power unit</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	Zes .	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?  \[ \Boxed{N/A}	<u> </u>	l'es	☐ No
<b>18.</b> Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
<ul> <li>b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}</li> </ul>		l'es	□No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	<i>l</i> 'es	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul>	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
<b>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		les les les les	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### <u>6 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE auxillary power unit</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	C	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissa. Was an initial PM stack test perform		ol davice within 190 days of			
initial startup of the EU?	ned on each vent contr	N	/Δ	Yes	☐ No
$\{A  "vent" is any opening through which$			711		
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	, 01	•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control em	issions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measure		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	-	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	sure.}				
b. a device for the continuous measure	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	ber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes Yes	☐No
{Note: The monitoring device m		nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow r	ate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducted	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU? 5/11/11			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			years?	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		-			
i. has the EU been tested during				Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wit	hin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>own</i>	er/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a prod				Yes	□No
Rate:	1			<del></del>	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according				☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compli	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		∐ Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp	ector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a prod				Yes	□No
Rate:	1			_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according	ng to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compli	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
VE Opacity Limits					
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	t OOO EU	. ,
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		nstructed of	n or
Crusher with no continue system	200/	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	<u> </u>	7%	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \[ \Boxed N/A \]  If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A  e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A  b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY  1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
2. Does this facility include:  a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠No

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	ne/yr		NoNoNoNoNoNo
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	box fo	or each q	uestion)
_	pollution control devices?		Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	□No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	□No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	S		□No
	The facility:  is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)			only one (uestion)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant:  a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	Yes Yes	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
	,			

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numerous statements.	mber of the facility or authorized representa	(check 🗹 box for each	•
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar mir  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	elocation of the facility or any emissions un nor administrative change at the facility?		⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repla c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment tha d) A change in ownership?	cement? t is substantially different? egistration form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes Yes mitted	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
C.Pitters	5/11/12		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	<del></del>	
	5/11/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	
COMMENTS:			