WHEITAL PROTECTION
Same Man
FLORIDA

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/I ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 7775648 DA	TE: <u>19 March 2014</u> CCE OF MIND ENVIRONMENT	ARRIVE: <u>0815</u>	DEPART: <u>1345</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION		ч <u>г</u> , п.с.		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: steve@pecec CONTACT NAME: J Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI	EFF HUSSING		PHONE: (407)568-3456 Mobile: (407)948-4299 PHONE: (321)228-4376 Mobile:	
	Fa	cility Section		

PART I: INSPECTION COM	IPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 on	ly one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	

PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check 🗹	2
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Joaquin Pardo	box for each	question)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still STEVE PECE?	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still JEFF HUSSING? If no, who is?: <u>Joaquin Pardo</u>	Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –NMMP Plant-crusherw/2deckscreen,5conveyrs,RICE100kWgensetpwr</u>

	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
 Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the major is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Gran Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chla and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Boras and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vernii (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] I. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ing Plants? ity ite, d Gravel; Salt; oride, c, Kernite, culite; \bigvee Yes \boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	□No □No □No □No
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
 Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process 	_	_
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	⊠No
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	XNo
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
<i>Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d	
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processin	g	
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett	ed	
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10 Is the EU a supervised exception, bushed alcoster on half assure in the ready stice line.		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If any up to any of the six Questions 5, 10, above is "Ves" then the EU is not subject to		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? Jan 2007		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	🖾No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? $-$ N/A	Yes	No No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	_	_
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	L.No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	· 🗌 Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		_
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	XNo
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	D No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	No No

	EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpar	t OOO EU	
	VE Opacity Limits		
l			
ĺ	a. Die die v Diest demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit: (See chart below).		
	 c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) 	Yes	No
	b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	L.No
	Rate: <u>100 TPH</u>	V V	
	a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	No
ľ	26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		No
	d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
	b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No
	Rate: <u>100 TPH</u>		NO
ŀ	25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	L.No
	25 Wag a VE toot and ustad by the any manager for this with the site site site?	V	
	ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
	i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	🖾No
	b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		_
	a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	No
	24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>1 Aug 2013</u>		
	of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. }		
	{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
	device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	LNo
	b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		_
	and		
	pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
	{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
	instructions?	Yes	No
$\ $	scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	3	
	If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
ŀ	23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
		□ .	
	c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
	b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	<i>A</i> "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	initial startup of the EU? XA	T Yes	□ No
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
Ī	22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
_			

EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
20%	15%	12%
20%	10%	7%
	40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO 20%	40 CFR 60constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/200820%15%

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit ,375hp diesel RICE&100 kW genset</u>

box for each question) Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral: means any of the following minerals: or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Standstone, Quartz, Quartize, Marti, Marhle, State, Shale, Oli Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Firecley, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate. Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite: (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemantic; (11) Bartie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Peritie; (16) Vernicultie; (17) Micc; (18) Kyonite, including Andalusite, Silimantie, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hor mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Yes 2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Yes No 3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Yes No 1. Is the EU located above ground dite, not in a underground station; group grinzlies used anywhere in the clowestors size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt plant that trace associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operation, site associated wit			(check 🗹	only one
[Note: "Normetallic mineral": means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Sali; (5) Grysum (natural or synthetic); (5) Sodium. Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllie; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		ł	box for each	question)
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Is	 {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorials is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice 	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
 2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	1.			_
 3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Yes Yes No 4. Is the EU one of the following? belt conveyor, bagging operation, enclosed truck loading station belt conveyor, bagging operation, enclosed truck loading station enclosed raicar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (<i>A "vert" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.]</i> If answer to any of the four Questions 1-4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process a				
 4. Is the EU one of the following?				
 crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart 1?				
 subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? YesNo 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? YesNo 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? YesNo 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or 		 crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 		
 If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? YesNo 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? YesNo 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? YesNo 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or 				
 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? YesNo 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? YesNo 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? YesNo 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or				
 subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Yes Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Yes I.No 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? Yes I.No 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or 				
 any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? YesNo 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? YesNo 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? YesNo 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or 	5.			
 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? YesNo 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? YesNo 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or 			□ Yes	\square No
 capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? YesNo 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? YesNo 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or 	6.			
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ? YesNo 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or			Yes	No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	7.		□ V	
	8		L res	NO
			Yes	No

-				
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		103	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	<i>pd</i>		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet			
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
				—
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
5				
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	\Box	Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
IJ	answer to Question 15 is 100 skip the jouowing questions and go alrectly to Question 17			
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
1 -				
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
Í	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Vac	
Í			Yes	∐ No
Í	$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the number of exhausting from a building air equivine particulate matter (PM) emissions from$			
Í	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}		Ves	
Í	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	=	Yes Yes	∐No □No
Í	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	\square No
1	a. Were initial regiuve emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?		103	

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□ No □No □No □No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform initial startup of the EU?			/A [Yes	🗌 No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi				-	
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	nce with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	[Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7% of	opacity?] Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control em			[Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	in and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measure					
scrubber and the device has been			ıfacturer's	_	_
instructions?			L	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device m		nanufacturer to be accurate with	iin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}				
and		1	1 1 . 1 .		
b. a device for the continuous measure device has been calibrated on an				Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device m					NO
of design scrubbing liquid flow r	•	nanulacturer to be accurate with	$111 \pm 3/0$		
of design scrubbing fiquid flow f	atc. j				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU? 1 Aug 2013			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			vears? 🗵	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart	1	1		-	
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar years?	C	Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wit				Yes	No
			_	_	_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represent	ntative of the normal rate?	🕑	Yes	No
Rate: <u>100 TPH</u>			F	7	—
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi			Ľ	Yes	LNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				7	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	lance with the opacity i	limit? (See chart below)	K	Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>	<i>pector</i> for this unit dur	ing this site visit?	🕅	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	🛛	Ξ	\square No
Rate: <u>100 TPH</u>	eess face and to represe		Z_	7 100	
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -		🗵	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	6			-	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			🗵	Yes	No
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart O	OO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed modified	constructe		ied 🛛

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section	
3 -NMMP Plant-2 portable (jobsite only) radial stackers,30'	'X50'

	(check \square only one				
	box for each question)				
 Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral I {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) S (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; ((5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodi and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, includin and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16 (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] I. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Processing Plants? he majority ite, Granite, Sand and Gravel; (4) Rock Salt; lium Chloride, ing Borax, Kernite, 6) Vermiculite;				
air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.					
5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes 🛛No				
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?					
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a					
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	YesNo				
equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes 🖾No				

1				
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or helt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first anycher			
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Vac	🖾No
	<i>Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		Yes	⊠N0
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate			
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing with sufficient such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing such that particulate the such			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is weth	ea		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10				
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		N 7	
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	🖾No
	(Neter Wet window and the many a minima on the data are under the data of the data of the second data of the second			
	<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
16	anguar to any of the sign Questions 5, 10, shows is "Ver" they the EU is not subject to			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? Jan 2007			
12	2. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	🖾No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
5				
13	5. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
_				
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	\square	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Π	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	П	Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
				_
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	No
Í	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
11				

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ Ves	□No
recorded in the written of electronic logbook as required by 40 Cr K 00.070(b):		
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	🖾No
21. Initial Tests:		
 a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

22 If the ETL is a huilding enclosing own other neglected ETLs and all enclosed ETLs are not		
22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	l No
$\{A $ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	T Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖂No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		2
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	•	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>1 Aug 2013</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		10
	□ V	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	XNo
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	L.No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	No
Rate: <u>100 TPH</u>		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>unspector</i> for this unit during this site visit.	\boxtimes Yes	No
· ·		110
Rate: <u>100 TPH</u>		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	L.No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		—
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	🛛 Yes	L.No
VE Opacity Limits		

VE Opučuj Limus				
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	
		constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or		
	Subpart OOO	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate particulate height. 	XesXesXes	□ No □ No □ No
2.	<pre>particulate matter from stock piles? N/A If reasonable precautions not being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</pre>	⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ------ Yes ...No ...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ Xes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes X..No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Xes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Xes Yes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? 🛛 Yes	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? 🛛 Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Xes	No
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ? 75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	7
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? 🛛 Yes	No

(GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1	. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	🖂No
2	 a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	- 🛛 Yes	— —No
	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 		No
3	B. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	⊠No
	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		🖾No
	d) A change in ownership?		🖾No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sul	omitted	
	30 days prior to the change?	🗌 Yes	No

Omar Horta

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

19 March 2014

Date of Inspection

31 December 2015

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Omar Horta, Orange County EPD inspector, met with Greg Gonzales, Consultant, and Joaquin Pardo, Manager, on 19 March 2014 to audit the visible emission compliance test conducted on the crusher and associated equipment. The facility was clean, all material was wet, no uncontrolled emissions observed, no objectionable odors detected. Observed opacity was zero percent for all emission points. The facility appears to be in compliance with its permit at the time of inspection. Permit shows an address in Largo, Florida. Mr. Joaquin Pardo and Mr. Greg Gonzales indicated that the address changed was a mistake and should indicate the correct address, which is 2308 Mercator DR., Orlando, FL 32807. Adress was updated in ARMS to reflect the correct location.