

# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	INUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E	`	CI)	
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 7775647 <b>DATE:</b>	01/31/13	ARRIVE: <u>09:30</u>		DEPART: <u>10:45</u>	
FACILITY NAME: MITCH	IELL&STARK CONSTRUC	TION-HIGH COTT	ON		
FACILITY LOCATION:	9009 HIGH COTTON L	N			
	FORT MYERS 33905-	3706			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Ri Email: bpenner@mitchel CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:		AN PENNER	PHONE: (2 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	239)597-2165	
		acility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION CO  IN COMPLIANCE	MPLIANCE STATUS (che	· —		on-COMPLIANCE	
DADELL ONGLES INTO OF	NICHODI MERINIC				
PART II: ONSITE INTROE  1. Name(s) of facility represe	<del>-</del>			(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Brief Notes: Dan is the fa	cility consultant - the owner	was not able to make	it and the only	y one there was the opera	<u>tor</u>
2. Is the Authorized Represer If no, who is?:	ntative still BRIAN PENNER	?		X Yes	□No
If different, did the facility 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?: <u>Chao</u>	provide an administrative up				□No □No
4. Will facility be conducting If yes, was the compliance	VE test(s) during today's insauthority notified at least 15				□No □No

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -NMMP Plant-crusherw/3spraybar1conveyr&dieselRICE,cap.100T/hr

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	•
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		No No No No
su If	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}  answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	□No
•	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

### $\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-crusherw/3spraybar1conveyr\&dieselRICE, cap. 100T/hr}$

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material	$_{!}l$	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If.	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
-,			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	y and a second s		
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

### $\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-crusherw/3spraybar1conveyr\&dieselRICE, cap. 100T/hr}$

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;  uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);  follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or  none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	sNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	s 🗀No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		sNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐	s

### $\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-crusherw/3spraybar1conveyr\&dieselRICE, cap. 100T/hr}$

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	s and all enclosed EUs are not					
individually in compliance with em							
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	rmed on each vent cont	rol device within 180 days of					
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No		
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanica	lly induced air flow for the					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin							
one or more affected EUs.}	, , , ,	, , ,					
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No		
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro				Yes	□No		
c. Were mittal ragitive emissions fro	m non vent sunding of	beinings less than or equal to 770	spacity.				
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EUS	·		Yes	□No		
If yes, does the owner/operator maint		•					
a. a device for the continuous measu		loss of the gas stream through the	2				
		ial basis in accordance with man					
		iai basis ili accordance with man		□ <b>v</b>	□ N-		
				☐ Yes	∐No		
	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	ıın +250				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}						
and	. 6.1	1					
b. a device for the continuous measu							
		lance with manufacturer's instruc		∐ Yes	∐No		
	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%				
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}						
24. When was the last VE test conduct				_	_		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		EU been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	∐No		
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar					_		
i. has the EU been tested during	g each of the past 4 cale	endar years?		Yes Yes	□No		
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calend	ar year?		Yes Yes	□No		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the $ow$				Yes	∐No		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is repres-	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No		
Rate:							
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			⊠ Yes	□No		
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity							
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	oliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				Yes	□No		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No		
Rate:							
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No		
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				<del></del>	<del></del>		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				⊠ Yes	□No		
1	1 3	,		_	_		
VE Opacity Limits							
	VE Opa	city Limits					
	VE Opace	city Limits Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_		ïed.		
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	constru	cted, modif	,		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construe or recor	cted, modif structed o	,		
Caraban with a secretary	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	constru	cted, modif structed of 22/2008	,		
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construe or recor	cted, modif structed o	,		

## Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher diesel RICE power unit, 225 Hp

(check ☑ only			
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🔯 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	uir currying particulate matter (1 m) emissions from one or more affected 20s.7		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
Ш	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	☐ Yes	□No

### <u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher diesel RICE power unit, 225 Hp</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
-	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding finit of storage on in the production fine.	1 cs	
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	wei suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
Ιf	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	. When was the 120 last constructed, mounted, or reconstructed.		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
14	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008:	Lites	110
Ιf	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
IJ	unswer to Question 12 is 100 sup the journing questions and go affectly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
	1100ds, tans, dampers, etc.) to captare and transport particulate matter to a control device.		
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
-,	and the greeness is is the stop me journing questions and go an early to guestion is		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity:		
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
1.	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
		Yes	□ No
	initial startup of the EU? $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$	L 1 es	∐ No
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}	□ x/	□ 3.7
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	∐No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	∐No

### 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher diesel RICE power unit, 225 Hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### <u>2 –NMMP Plant-crusher diesel RICE power unit, 225 Hp</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent conta	rol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?			J/A Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh				
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	ite matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}			_	
b. Was the EU found to be in compli				
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? L Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?	)	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint				
a. a device for the continuous measu		loss of the gas stream through th	ne	
scrubber and the device has bee				
instructions?				□No
{Note: The monitoring device i				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•			
and	,			
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	g liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	
device has been calibrated on a				□No
{Note: The monitoring device i				
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•			
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU? <u>01/24/12</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E	EU been tested within the past 5	years? X Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar	t 000:	_		
i. has the EU been tested durin	g each of the past 4 cale	endar years?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calend	ar year?	Yes	□No
				_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the $o$ n				=
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	X Yes	□No
Rate:				_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			X Yes	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	X Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	e <i>nector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?	X Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				=
Rate:	occas rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate.	<u>∠</u> 103	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to FPA Method 92		X Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accord			Z 1 Cs	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			X Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phanee with the opacity	mint. (See chart below).	Z 103	
		city Limits	T	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO I	EU
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, mo	dified,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructe	-
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
- Crasher with he capture system	2070	13/0	12/0	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?  \[ \] N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 🔀 N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A  b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each o	only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		Mail   Mail
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	⊠No ⊠No
2. Does this facility include:  a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	⊠No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		⊠No

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		s \overline{\ove
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(-1···1	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check box for e	only one ach question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Ye	s ⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	s 🔲No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Ye	s 🔲No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	s 🔲No
DI	EL OCATABLE DI ANT		
	ELOCATABLE PLANT  The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i> )	(check box for e	☑ only one ach question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant:  a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		s <u>□</u> No

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu associated with a change in ownership or with a physical r operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within</li> </ol>	elocation of the facility or any emissions un nor administrative change at the facility?	its or Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repla c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership?	acement? it is substantially different? registration form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
Wayne Lewis	01/31/13		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	01/31/14		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection	
COMMENTS:			