

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPE	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)								
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPL.	AINT NO:					
AIRS I	D#: 0170373 DA	TE: <u>11.22.2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0955</u>		DEPART: <u>1240</u>				
FACILITY NAME: NATURE COAST PET CREMATION									
FACILITY LOCATION: 647-2 E OVERDRIVE CIR									
		HERNANDO 3444	42-9602						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: WILLIAM DEAN Email: The Dean's [Idean39@tampabay.rr.com] CONTACT NAME: Eric Dean Email: The Dean's [Idean39@tampabay.rr.com] Email: The Dean's [Idean39@tampabay.rr.com] ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/12/2010 / 9/12/2015 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (352)586-0225 Mobile: (352)586-8123									
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE									
1. Nam	e(s) of facility rep	resentative(s): Eric (William vinitial inspection and crema	m) Dean		`	only one ach question)			
2. Is the		resentative still WILLIAM D			X Yes	⊠No			
3. Is th		ility provide an administrativitill?				□No			
4. Will If ye	facility be conducts, was the compliant	eting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at least	's inspection?st 15 days in advance?			□No □No			

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Animal Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbrNGfired150lbs/hrTemp/opacit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			only one question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	×	Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	·····	Yes	□No
 3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? 4. Date of last inspection: N/A 5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: 		Yes	□No
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		Yes Yes	□No □No
operation?d. Date of last VE test:	_	Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the tf. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		Yes Yes	□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	,		only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? -a. Operating capacity during test? 46h □ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	×	Yes	□No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal of d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	perations?	Yes Yes Yes	⊠No □No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to		Yes one-hour)	□No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test? 46/h ⊠ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	×	Yes	□No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal of d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	perations?	Yes Yes Yes	⊠No □No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	·	Yes one-hour)	□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and Co	O standards?	Yes	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		105	∠310

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected		_	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems — a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined?		□No	
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	; 	□No	
(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on 11/19/2010) No (4) Adjustments	X Yes X Yes		
Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes tically ⊠ Yes	□No □No	
 (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opaci exceeds 15% opacity?	Yes	□No	
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended mannerance schedule?			
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)	
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cren process begins in the primary chamber?	nation_	□No	
If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cren process begins in the primary chamber?	nation	□No	
	(check ☑	only one	
1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate of are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		question)	
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	LIANCE	
Facility Section (continued)		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representate associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or Yes	\(\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texict{\text{\te\tinte\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\tintet{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\text{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\text{\
Joseph V Panetta Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection	
COMMENTS: This facility is new. Permit recently effective 09/12/2010. This was an initial inspection. This inspection was conducted by Joe Panetta and the owner of the facility William (Eric) Dean. The VE was conducted by Air Compliance Testing InTechnician Dustin Travaglini During the inspection is found that: This facility has not performed cremations until today to conduct a VE test. VE test was conducted with approximately 46 lbs of animal remains. I explained to Mr. William (Eric) Dean that he would be limited to this amount plus 10%.		

I further explained that he needed to closely monitor the pounds per hour and as they rise and the new normal rate moves higher, the facility would need to conduct a new VE test to meet the new normal rate. Ideally you want to reach the 150 lbs per hour.

Charts not available since this was this VE test is first cremation.

Preventative maintenance schedule logs were available during the inspection.

Explained that a complete file of all temperature measurements; all continuous monitoring system, monitoring device, and performance testing measurements; all continuous monitoring system performance evaluations; all continuous monitoring system or monitoring device calibration checks; and all adjustments, preventive maintenance, and corrective maintenance performed on these systems or devices, shall be recorded in a permanent legible form available for inspection. Continuous temperature monitoring documentation shall include operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings. Pollutant monitoring system documentation shall include indication of when the opacity measurement system was cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule. The file shall be retained for at least two (2) years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports, and records. Operating at time of inspection temp 1650 F

Explained allowed Materials. Human crematory units shall cremate only human or fetal remains with appropriate containers. The remains may be clothed. The containers shall contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as demonstrated by the manufacturer's data sheet. If containers are incinerated, documentation from the manufacturers certifying that they are composed of 0.5 percent or less by weight chlorinated plastics shall be kept on-file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two (2) years after their use. No other material, including biomedical waste shall be incinerated. Talked abour Starup, shutdown and malfunction procedures. Mr. Dean said he will not burn plastics or he will get MSDS for them.

Provided Mr. Dean with a copy of the rule, a copy of his permit acknowledgement letter ans copy of registration form he sent to Tallahassee (explaining how how to sed permit in again in 5 years), checklist and SBEAP web address. Gave handout with the SBEAP ombudsman's contact information and a statement telling owner to stay current with rules contact the ombudsman periodically.

Using this checklist the facility seems to be incompliance at time of inspection on 12/4/2009. The crematory initiative inspection may or may not prove that the thermocouple(s) are properly placed. That will be addressed after the measurements are reviewed by Department Staff.