

# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)				
AIRS ID#: 7775639 DATE: <u>11/28/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:45</u> DEPART:	<u>15:00</u>			
FACILITY NAME: WHITEHEAD & JOHNS RECYCLE YARD QUARRY				
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 16950 Indy Drive				
FORT MYERS 33909				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOSEPH JOHNS Email: CONTACT NAME: JOSEPH JOHNS Email: Mobile: PHONE: (239)633-900 Mobile:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/6/2010 / 9/6/2015 (effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Joseph Johns</u> Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JOSEPH JOHNS?	⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still JOSEPH JOHNS?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	∑ Yes			

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher, w/2spray bar, 210 T/hr w/diesel pwr unit

		(check 🗹	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granities Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————		No No No No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.  Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

### 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher, w/2spray bar, 210 T/hr w/diesel pwr unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter than the surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing the surface matter than the surface matter emission and the surface matter than th		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be suitarded for purposes of this definition.		
10	.Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	grinding film of storage on in the production fine.	1 C3	210
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
Ιf	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the diswer to dit of the six Questions 3-10 above is 110 then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	. When was the De last constructed, invalined, of reconstructed.		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
	. With the 12e constructed, invalined, of reconstructed on of their 4/22/2000.		
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
-,	and the greeness 12 is 110 sup the join mig queeness and go an early to guession 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
		_	_
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
,			
14	. Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	and the opening reso than or equal to 770 opening.		
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
		Yes	=
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		∐No □No
		1 1 1 5	i Lativo
	a. Were mittal ragiate emissions from non-vent banding openings less than of equal to 770 opacity.		

### 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher, w/2spray bar, 210 T/hr w/diesel pwr unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?    N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	X Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	⊠No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No

### 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher, w/2spray bar, 210 T/hr w/diesel pwr unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	s and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo					
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanica	lly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin					
one or more affected EUs.}	, , , ,	, ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
c. Were minuting regions on site	m non vent sunding of	beinings less than or equal to 770	spacity.		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EUS	·		Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint		•			<u></u>
a. a device for the continuous measu		loss of the gas stream through the	2		
		ial basis in accordance with man			
		iai basis ili accordance with man		□ Vas	□ No
				☐ Yes	∐No
	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nn +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	essure.}				
and	. 6.1	1			
b. a device for the continuous measu					
		dance with manufacturer's instruc		∐ Yes	∐No
	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conduct				_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		EU been tested within the past 5	years?	∐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar					
i. has the EU been tested during	g each of the past 4 cale	endar years?		☐ Yes	⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calend	ar year?		Yes Yes	⊠No
				_	_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the $o$ w				Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is repres	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	oliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is repres	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				<del></del>	<del></del>
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				⊠ Yes	□No
1	1 3	,		_	_
	VE Opa	city Limits			
	VE Opac EU not subject to	city Limits Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	t OOO EU	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	-		ïed.
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	constru	cted, modif	-
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construction or recor	cted, modif structed o	-
Construction	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	constru	cted, modif nstructed of 22/2008	-
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construction or recor	cted, modif structed o	

## Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit, RIC diesel engine, 350 Hp

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🕅 Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through } \}$		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
If a	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
٥.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		<u></u>
•	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

### 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit, RIC diesel engine, 350 Hp

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	ed l ng	Yes	⊠No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	⊠No
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes	□ No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	·	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

### 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit, RIC diesel engine, 350 Hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🖂 N/A		Yes	∐ No
40.7		• •	
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		<b>X</b> 7	□ N.
instructions?	- Ш	res	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	105	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
or design service in a rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,</li> </ul>		Yes	⊠No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>		Yes	
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?</li> </ul>		Yes	⊠No
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.</li> <li>20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?</li> </ul> <li>21. Initial Tests:</li>		Yes	⊠No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	<ul><li>✓No</li><li>✓No</li><li>✓No</li></ul>
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>✓No</li><li>✓No</li><li>✓No</li></ul>

### 2 -NMMP Plant-crusher pwr unit, RIC diesel engine, 350 Hp

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}				_	_
b. Was the EU found to be in compli				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	m non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7% of	opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
		al basis in accordance with man			
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
		nanufacturer to be accurate with		_	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro					
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measu					
		ance with manufacturer's instruc		☐ Yes	□No
`	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/oners	tor for this FU? 08/16/10			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			voare?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		o been tested within the past 3.	ycars:		\\0
i. has the EU been tested durin		ndar vears?		☐ Yes	⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w				Yes	⊠No
ii. has the De been tested yet w	timi the carrent calenda	ir year.			2310
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9? -			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	☐No
26 W VE 4 J J b				□ <b>3</b> 7	⊠. M.
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				=	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	∐No
Rate:	Part DA Mada 100			□ <b>3</b> 7	□ N.
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	□No
<ul><li>c. The VE test resulted in an opacity</li><li>d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp</li></ul>				□ Vaa	□ No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	mance with the opacity.	mmit? (See chart below)		∐ Yes	□No
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	t OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		istructed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	<u> </u>	7%	
					_

### **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?   N/A  If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A  c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A  d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A  e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  N/A  b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY  1. Does this facility bean records to show that it does not have the notantial to smit.	(check ☑ box for each of	only one question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		⊠No
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		⊠No ⊠No
	- Yes	

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No  No  No  No  No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No □No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	S	□No
	The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	For a relocated NMMP plant:  a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No
	the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3</li> </ol>	location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? X Yes	□No ⊠No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes ement? Yes is substantially different? Yes Yes egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	□No □No □No □No □No □No
Wayne Lewis	11/28/11	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> Facility has been given 30 days to get thr addi	itional units permitted	