$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		COMPLAINT/D		Y(CI)		
ΑI	RS ID#: 7775638 DA	TE: <u>10/18/2013</u>	AR	RRIVE: <u>8:50</u>		DEPART: 1	:40	
FA	CILITY NAME: LA	KE POINT RESTORATIO	N PROJ-L	AKE PT MINE				
FA	CILITY LOCATION	V: US 441 & SR 76						
		PORT MAYACA	33438					
CC	VNER/AUTHORIZE Email: ONTACT NAME: JA Email: ITITLEMENT PERIO		/2015	RUSBRIDGE	Mobile:	(561)924-9100 (561)924-9100		
PA	Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
D.A	DT II. ONGITE INT	DODLCTODY MEETIN	C					
1.	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	resentative(s):	<u>u</u>			t	(check ☑ oox for each	only one question)
2.		resentative still HARRY RU	USBRIDGI	Ε?			⊠ Yes	□No
3.		cility provide an administrat till JAMIE RUSBRIDGE?					☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
		cting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le					⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-Sorter,w/grizzly pan&roller (Electrically Driven)

		(check ☑	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ide, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation, ☐ storage bin, ☐ enclosed truck loading station ☐ enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through } \}$		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
		☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		-
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	□ Vaa	⊠ Na
R	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	☐ Yes	⊠No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

<u>1 –NMMP Plant-Sorter,w/grizzly pan&roller (Electrically Driven)</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? $2/29/2008$		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	<u></u> No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		_
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	∐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		∐No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No

<u>1 –NMMP Plant-Sorter,w/grizzly pan&roller (Electrically Driven)</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin	g	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
477 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	□ Vas	\square No
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_	_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
	☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
10 Is not summarion used to control emissions from the EU9	□ Vaa	□ Na
	∐ Yes	∐No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ x z	⊠ N.
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	⊥ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

<u>1 –NMMP Plant-Sorter,w/grizzly pan&roller (Electrically Driven)</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not						
individually in compliance with emissions limits:						
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of						
initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	⊠ No				
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the						
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from						
one or more affected EUs.}	□ 3 7					
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No				
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No				
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	⊠No				
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:						
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the						
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		_				
instructions?	☐ Yes	□No				
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250						
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}						
andb. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	5					
	Yes	□No				
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%						
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}						
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 9/26/2013						
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	⊠ Yes	∐No				
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	N 37					
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes	□No				
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	☐ Yes	⊠No				
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit? 🖂 Yes 🗀No						
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	□No				
Rate:						
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	□No				
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.						
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	⊠ Yes	□No				
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	□No				
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>uispector</i> for this unit during this site visit:	⊠ Yes	□No				
Rate:	Z 165					
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	□No				
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\frac{4}{9}$ % for the highest six-minute average.						
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No				
	_	_				
VE Opacity Limits						
	OOO EU					
	eted, modific	.d.				
	structed on					
to 4/22/2008 after 4/2		01				
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15%	12%					
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%						
	7%					

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	⊠ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	⊠ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one
	box for each of the control of the c	
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNo

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? (No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
 a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 	⊠ Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛚 Yes	□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	6)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	- Yes	□No □No □No

CHANGES Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu associated with a change in ownership or with a physical roperations comprising the facility; or any other similar mi	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	•
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	30 days of the change? Yes	⊠No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace (c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership?	Yes acement? Yes at is substantially different? Yes engistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	NoNoNoNoNo
Patricia Tampas	10/18/2013	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	10/18/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: PT and GB witnessed the 2013 VE test by South Florda Environmental. Thie unit is relocatable, but stays at this location. Curently, it is on pilings and wheels are approximately 6 feet off the ground. Because the drop pointswhere visible, the consultant and inspectors performed VE tests for each drop point. Thedrop point with the highest opacity will be recorded for the drop area. When the unit is sitting on the wheels, it is nearly impossible to read each point seperatley. Additionally, there was a conveyor attached to the unit's small size drop for another VE read point. This entire configuration may be differenct in the future. No violations were noted.