

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER' ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 7775635 DATE: <u>3/12/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:50 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:57 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: BRAVO COMPANIES, MIAMI, FI	_				
FACILITY LOCATION: 3250 NW 107th Avenue					
MIAMI 33811-1292					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STEE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/24/2010 / 6/24/2015 (effective date) (end date)	PHEN SOWARDS PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(863)619-7500 (803)608-6433			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still STEPHEN SOWA If no, who is?:	ARDS?	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still?If no, who is?:	odate within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-stacker conveyor,36"x80'x32',w/dieselRICEpwr unit

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir		• /
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	air carrying particulate matter (FM) emissions from one or more affected EOs.}		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
•	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes Yes	□No

<u>1 –NMMP Plant-stacker conveyor,36''x80'x32',w/dieselRICEpwr unit</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	el ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}	Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

1 –NMMP Plant-stacker conveyor,36''x80'x32',w/dieselRICEpwr unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	· 🔲 ,	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 –NMMP Plant-stacker conveyor,36''x80'x32',w/dieselRICEpwr unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent contr	rol device within 180 days of	_	
initial startup of the EU?			V/A Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh				
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	ite matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}				
b. Was the EU found to be in comple				∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?)	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				
a. a device for the continuous measu		loss of the gas stream through the	ne	
scrubber and the device has bee				
instructions?				□No
{Note: The monitoring device i				
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•			
and	,			
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	g liquid flow rate to the wet scru	ibber and the	
device has been calibrated on a				□No
{Note: The monitoring device is				
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•	Thursday of the contract with		
	,			
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	ntor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			years? Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		<u>r</u>	,	
i. has the EU been tested durin		endar vears?		□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calend	ar vear?		□No
,			_	_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No
Rate:	1		_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	oliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below),	Yes	□No
	,,		<u> </u>	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i> :	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No
Rate:	1		_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			□	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp		•	Yes	□No
		(
		city Limits	T	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO E	U
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, mod	lified,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed	on or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
T CIUSIICI WIIII IIU CADIUIC SYSICIII	∠0 /0	1 3 /0	1 4 /0	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-stacker conveyor pwr unit, TierIII 48Hp dieselRICE

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granities Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	No No No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	□No

2 –NMMP Plant-stacker conveyor pwr unit, TierIII 48Hp dieselRICE

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	.Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If a	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes Yes	□No
	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008? answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	Yes	□No
If .		Yes	□No
If .	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	☐ Yes	□No
<i>If</i> 13	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		_
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
If	**answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		_
If	 answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If	 answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If (13)	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system* (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If (13)	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system* (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	

2 –NMMP Plant-stacker conveyor pwr unit, TierIII 48Hp dieselRICE

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

$\underline{2-NMMP\ Plant\text{-}stacker\ conveyor\ pwr\ unit,} TierIII\ 48Hp\ dieselRICE$

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	∐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}				□ 3 7	□ N.
b. Was the EU found to be in compliant.				☐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur					
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				Yes Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}				
andb. a device for the continuous measur	amont of the completing	liquid flow note to the west compl	ahan and th		
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m					
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate with	1111 1 3 /0		
or design serdeeting fiquid flow f	atte. j				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s	ubpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					
 has the EU been tested during 				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ır year?		Yes Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/onerator for this w	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	coss race unacts represe	The state of the s			
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp				∐ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	∐No
Rate:	. EDAM (1 100			□ 3 7	
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity ofd. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity	mint? (See chart below)		☐ 1 es	NO
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	ı or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	box for each o	_
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) o Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	□No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes Yes	□No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	S	□No
RF	ELOCATABLE PLANT	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
1.	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	box for each	question)
	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	tion - Yes	□No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

CHANGES			only one
Administrative Changes:		box for each q	[uestion]
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloc operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 centers. 	eation of the facility or any emissions un administrative change at the facility?	nits or Yes	□No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacen c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regis 30 days prior to the change? 	nent?substantially different?substantially different?stration form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes Yes omitted	No No No No
FRANK DELGADO	3/12/2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection	
COMMENTS: THE TWO CONVEYORS HAVE BEEN RELO	CATED OUTSIDE MIAMI DADE CO	DUNTY.	

REVIEWED
By Ray Gordon at 12:30 pm, Apr 05, 2013