

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

October 14, 2011

SENT VIA EMAIL SGhazvine@Sandco.fl.com

Behzad Ghazvini Sandco Inc. 4708 Capital Circle NW Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Dear Mr. Ghazvini:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **7775625.** Your permit expires on **May 2, 2015.** This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

An enforcement request was forwarded to the Pensacola District Office for the non compliance items(s) noted in the inspection report. The inspection report is enclosed.

District Office staff will review the request and respond if necessary. The District Office contact is Carol Melton at 850/595-0616.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

Marlane Castellanos

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Vicki Goodman, Sandco Inc. (<u>VGoodman@Sandco.fl.com</u>)

Rick Bradburn, Carol Melton, Mary Beth Curle (FDEP, Pensacola)



$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐ RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775625 DATE: <u>9/27/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:30 A.M.</u> DEPART	:
FACILITY NAME: RUTHENIA RD SAND MINE-RELOC CRUSHER	
FACILITY LOCATION: 4900 RUTHENIA RD	
TALLAHASSEE 32305-5532	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BEHZAD GHAZVINI Email: CONTACT NAME: VICKIE GOODMAN Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/2/2010 / 5/2/2015 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (850)514-10 Mobile: (850)251-81	00
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE
DARTH ONGER INTRODUCTORY MEETING	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still BEHZAD GHAZVINI?	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still VICKIE GOODMAN? If no, who is?:	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybars,250T/hrw/diesel RICE pwr unit

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	**Rote: "Nometallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of Sypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlowand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	oox for each of Plants? by ee, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes Yes Yes Yes	•
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
σ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybars,250T/hrw/diesel RICE pwr unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis.	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1 £	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is 110 then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	⊠ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	⊠No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔯 N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		_
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybars,250T/hrw/diesel RICE pwr unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	/es]No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Zes Γ	□ No
	_	_	
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Y	es [☑No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		es []No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		Yes [□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	X Y	es [No
If yes:			
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?			
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete			
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	× Y	Yes []No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	Yes 🛭	☑No
21. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		∕es ▷	☑ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		res []No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	=	es []No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		res [No No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher w/spraybars,250T/hrw/diesel RICE pwr unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing ar	ny other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with en				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	ormed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?		D	J/A Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	hich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building	ig air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		•		
b. Was the EU found to be in compl	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	? Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e		'		□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main	•	6.1		
a. a device for the continuous measu				
		al basis in accordance with mar	_	
instructions?				∟No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		manufacturer to be accurate wi	thin +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr	essure.}			
and	ramant of the assistance	liquid flow note to 41-2	abbar and the	
b. a device for the continuous measu				□ No
device has been calibrated on a				□No
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate with	lmm +5%	
of design scrubbing fiquid flow	rate.			
4. When was the last VE test conduct	ted by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			years? Yes	⊠No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		To over tested within the past of) • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>
i. has the EU been tested durin		ndar vears?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w				□No
		,	Ш - **	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ov	<i>ner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No
Rate:				<u></u>
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?			No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	est six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com			Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com-	priance with the spacity	mine: (See chart selow).		
6. Was a VE test conducted by the in	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?	\(\text{Yes}	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com			Yes	□No
	r and opacity	(222 2220000).		
	TIE O	.i T ii		
		city Limits	C-1	TI
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO E	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, mod	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed	on or
	_	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
	20%	10%	7%	
All other affected EUs	/11%	111%		

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power unit 260 hp diesel RICE, S/N 1957

2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	only one
Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Felaspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	uestion)
Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Felaspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	,
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	∑No No No No
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	⊠No
	△N0
	⊠No
	⊠No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	⊠No

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power unit 260 hp diesel RICE, S/N 1957

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	<u></u> No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔲 N/A	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power unit 260 hp diesel RICE, S/N 1957

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		es 🔯No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir	ıg	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🔀 N/A		es No
	_	<u>►</u>
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		es 🔯No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?		esNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		D N.
		esNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	$\nabla \mathbf{v}$	es 🔲No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	$\prod Y$	es 🛛 No
		<u></u>
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		esNo
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yo	—
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	☐ Y	=
		aa IINa
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Y	
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yo	

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power unit 260 hp diesel RICE, S/N 1957

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not				
individually in compliance with emi		11 : :4: 100 1 6				
a. Was an initial PM stack test performation initial startup of the EU?	ned on each vent contro	of device within 180 days of	/A 🔲 Vac	□ No		
A "vent" is any opening through whi			/A L Yes	☐ No		
purpose of exhausting from a building						
one or more affected EUs.}	air carrying particulai	e matter (1 M) emissions from				
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	nce with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No		
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				□No		
e. Were initial ragidive emissions from	a non-vent cancing ope	similar responding to 770	opacity res			
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control em	issions from the EU?		Yes	□No		
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta						
 a. a device for the continuous measure 	ement of the pressure lo	oss of the gas stream through the	e			
scrubber and the device has been						
instructions?				□No		
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}					
and						
b. a device for the continuous measure				□ N.		
device has been calibrated on an				□No		
{Note: The monitoring device m of design scrubbing liquid flow r		nanuracturer to be accurate with	IIIII +3 %			
or design scrubbing riquid now i	aic.					
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU?				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			years? Yes	⊠No		
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		•	_	_		
 has the EU been tested during 	each of the past 4 caler			□No		
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? YesNo						
05 W VE 4 - 4 1 - 4 - 1 - 4						
	25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit? YesNo a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo					
	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	Yes	□No		
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EDA Mothod 02			□No		
c. The VE test conducted according to			<u> </u>	NO		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the onacity l	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compr	iance with the opacity i	mint: (See chart below).	103	110		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp	pector for this unit dur	ing this site visit?	Yes	⊠No		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				□No		
Rate:	-					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi			Yes	☐No		
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of			_	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity l	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No		
	VE Opac	itv Limits				
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU			
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modif	ied,		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed or			
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008			
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%			
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%			
2	/-		1 , , ,			

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-screening ops, 250T/hr cap.w/diesel RICE pwr unit

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin [Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	oox for each of Plants? Ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes Yes Yes Yes	•
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

3 –NMMP Plant-screening ops, 250T/hr cap.w/diesel RICE pwr unit

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
•	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	2. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	B. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	. Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
			_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	□No □No
	initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
15	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	□No □No
15	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	□No □No
15	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
15	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	□No □No
15	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
15	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
15	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No
15	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	NoNoNoNo
15	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	No No No

3 –NMMP Plant-screening ops, 250T/hr cap.w/diesel RICE pwr unit

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		110
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, 		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.	∐ Yes	□No
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

3 –NMMP Plant-screening ops, 250T/hr cap.w/diesel RICE pwr unit

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	'A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
ov vvere minimi ruguive emissions noi	a non vent ounting op	omings ross than or equal to 770	spacity.		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	2		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				1 cs	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	1111 250		
and	ssurc.				
b. a device for the continuous measur	amont of the carubbing	liquid flow rate to the wat seruk	shor and th	0	
device has been calibrated on an					□No
				☐ Yes	NO
{Note: The monitoring device m		manuracturer to be accurate with	IIII +3%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the exmense	ton for this EII9			
	2			□ Vac	□ No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		O been tested within the past 3 y	ears?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		ndon voone?		□ Vac	□ No
i. has the EU been tested during				☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	unin the current calenda	ir year?		☐ i es	∐No
25 Was a VE tast conducted by the aver	andonorator for this w	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?		L Tes	NO
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EDA Mothod 02			☐ Yes	□No
				☐ Tes	NO
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	or the night	est six-minute average.		□ v	□ Na
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity	iimit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	∐No
26 Was a VE toot conducted by the incr		uiu a 4hia ai4a -iai49		□ v	□ Na
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	∐No
Rate:	EDA Mada do 100			□ v	□ N.
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				□ 3 7	□ N1.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity	iimit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
	VE Onac	ity Limits			
		Subpart OOO EU	Subnant	OOO EU	
	EU not subject to	_	-		الم
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 4 –NMMP Plant-screen ops diesel RICE pwr unit,90Hp,S/N KPZ02502

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
4.5	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty ee, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	☐ Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	☐No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
0	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
δ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

4 –NMMP Plant-screen ops diesel RICE pwr unit,90Hp,S/N KPZ02502

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing	•	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett	ed	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1£	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
LJ '	ine answer to an of the six Questions 3-10 above is 110 then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
17	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	and the second s		
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		_
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

4 –NMMP Plant-screen ops diesel RICE pwr unit,90Hp,S/N KPZ02502

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		s 🔲No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		s
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	s

4 –NMMP Plant-screen ops diesel RICE pwr unit,90Hp,S/N KPZ02502

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	O	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	14 4 757 641 1	60.07 /1 /0.000 /1 00		_ ,,	
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 1%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	issions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has beer					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	ust be certified by the i	manufacturer to be accurate witl	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	•				
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measur				.e	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m		manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the experience	tor for this FII2			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			vaare?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart	-	o been tested within the past 5	years:		140
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar vears?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested during	thin the current calenda	r vear?		Yes	No
11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.					
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26 Was a VE test conducted by the in-		uiu a Albia ai4a miai49		□ Vaa	□ Na
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				∐ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?		∐ Yes	∐No
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EDA Method 02			Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted according to					\\0
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				☐ Yes	□No
a. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	iance with the opacity	mint: (see chart selow).			
	VIII O				
		ity Limits	0.1.4	000 EU	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	t 000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	· ·
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed or	1 or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \[\] N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	or	□No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		0 0 0 0
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	(check ☑ only on box for each question - ☐ Yes ☑No	1)
 2. Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acceed to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? 	YesNo	О
RELOCATABLE PLANT	(check only on box for each question	ie
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>) For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? - 	(6)]	
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air oper permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	ation YesNo	
the permitted facility?		

CHANGES		r _
	(check ⊻ box for eacl	only one h question)
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 	ber of the facility or authorized representative not location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No □No
Namers Madified Dragges Equipment or Change in Ownership		
 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace. c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change? 	Yes ement?	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
Tracy White	9/27/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
$1 \sim 1.111111111111111111111111111111111$		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I met with site employees. The crusher unit w present. The unit appeared to be crushing concrete debris. A way were approximately 10-15% opacity from both the screener and	as at the site and in operation. In addition, a screener mater truck was present and attached to the feeder sprayl	
Inspector's Signature COMMENTS: I met with site employees. The crusher unit w present. The unit appeared to be crushing concrete debris. A way	as at the site and in operation. In addition, a screener mater truck was present and attached to the feeder sprayld crusher, but I did not perform a Method 9 VE test.	bar. Emissions
COMMENTS: I met with site employees. The crusher unit w present. The unit appeared to be crushing concrete debris. A way were approximately 10-15% opacity from both the screener and the screener equipment appeared to have some emissions, more	as at the site and in operation. In addition, a screener mater truck was present and attached to the feeder sprayled crusher, but I did not perform a Method 9 VE test. The so than the crusher. It may also need reasonable precedents of the street of the	bar. Emissions cautions (e.g.
COMMENTS: I met with site employees. The crusher unit w present. The unit appeared to be crushing concrete debris. A way were approximately 10-15% opacity from both the screener and The screener equipment appeared to have some emissions, more spraybar), if applicable. Access roads for the facility yard had emissions from truck traf	as at the site and in operation. In addition, a screener mater truck was present and attached to the feeder sprayled crusher, but I did not perform a Method 9 VE test. The so than the crusher. It may also need reasonable precedents of the street of the	bar. Emissions cautions (e.g.
COMMENTS: I met with site employees. The crusher unit w present. The unit appeared to be crushing concrete debris. A way were approximately 10-15% opacity from both the screener and The screener equipment appeared to have some emissions, more spraybar), if applicable. Access roads for the facility yard had emissions from truck traffemissions.	as at the site and in operation. In addition, a screener mater truck was present and attached to the feeder sprayld crusher, but I did not perform a Method 9 VE test. The so than the crusher. It may also need reasonable preceding. Reasonable precautions should be used to control under the control of the	bar. Emissions cautions (e.g. unconfined
COMMENTS: I met with site employees. The crusher unit w present. The unit appeared to be crushing concrete debris. A way were approximately 10-15% opacity from both the screener and The screener equipment appeared to have some emissions, more spraybar), if applicable. Access roads for the facility yard had emissions from truck trafemissions. Additional Comments: I requested a copy of the AG permit registration form from the	as at the site and in operation. In addition, a screener mater truck was present and attached to the feeder sprayled crusher, but I did not perform a Method 9 VE test. The so than the crusher. It may also need reasonable preceding. Reasonable precautions should be used to control to District office. Included was an April 8, 2010 e-mail finher operates at approximately 250 tons/hr"	bar. Emissions cautions (e.g. unconfined
COMMENTS: I met with site employees. The crusher unit w present. The unit appeared to be crushing concrete debris. A way were approximately 10-15% opacity from both the screener and The screener equipment appeared to have some emissions, more spraybar), if applicable. Access roads for the facility yard had emissions from truck traffemissions. Additional Comments: I requested a copy of the AG permit registration form from the Darabi to Dickson Dibble (FDEP). The e-mail stated "The crushing the unit appears to operate at a capacity of greater than 1	as at the site and in operation. In addition, a screener mater truck was present and attached to the feeder sprayld crusher, but I did not perform a Method 9 VE test. The so than the crusher. It may also need reasonable precedific. Reasonable precautions should be used to control to the District office. Included was an April 8, 2010 e-mail finder operates at approximately 250 tons/hr" 50 tons/hour, the unit may be subject to 40 CFR Part 602-210.310(5)(e). F.A.C.	bar. Emissions cautions (e.g. unconfined rom Richard