CHARGED PROTECTION	
John Martin	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)] COMPLAINT/D] ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI) [AINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 7775620 DA	TE: <u>9/11/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:57 A</u>	M DEPA	ART: <u>10:24 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CO	NCRETE BATCH PLANT-N	W 30TH AVE FACIL				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 13050 NW 30TH AVE					
	OPA LOCKA 33054	-5030				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: fvega@ocear CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	-	SE VEGA	PHONE: (305)68 Mobile: (786)22 PHONE: Mobile:			
Facility Section						
	<u>N COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> («					
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIG	NIFICANT Non-CO	OMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING			(check \square only box for each quest		
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s): <u>ALEX OROZC</u>	<u>20</u>		box for each ques	.1011)	
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	resentative still JOSE VEGA? -			Xes	.No	
If different, did the fac	cility provide an administrative still ?				.No .No	
4. Will facility be condu- If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least 1	inspection? 15 days in advance?		Yes	.No .No	

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	-
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/30/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one

<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	

1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:

	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
	2) application of water of environmentary safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No □ No

box for each question)

Emissions Unit Section

2 – CCB Plant-batcher/mixer/screw conveyor w/sray ring subject to Reasonable Precautions					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each				
 Date of last inspection: <u>6/30/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check I only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check I only one box for each question)					
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	ied				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes	🗌 No			
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	—	□ No □ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No			
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No			

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes	א [א [א [lo
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	<u>л</u>	Ιο
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	3 N	0
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	И И И И П	lo lo lo
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes] N	[0

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🛛 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_		_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access			
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ⊠; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following)	(check 🗹 box for each on <i>question 2.</i>)	•	
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	
 a. Did the owner of operator horry the appropriate Department of Eocar Air Hogram by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	rmit,		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	No No	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗋 Yes	L No	
HANGES			

		(cneck 🗹)	only one
		box for each c	uestion)
Ac	Iministrative Changes:		1
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa	tive not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un	its or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	- 🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	- 🗌 Yes	No No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🖾 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾 No
	d. A change in ownership?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub		
	30 days prior to the change?	- 🗌 Yes	No No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/11/2012

Date of Inspection

9/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: PLANT WAS NOT OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. THE OWNER'S WIFE MRS. OROZCO WAS ON SITE. I REMINDED HER ABOUT THE REQUIRED VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST FOR THIS CALENDAR YEAR. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 3:20 pm, Sep 13, 2012