

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	· · ·	
AIRS ID#: 1050433 DA	TE: <u>3/19/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:00A.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>10:15 A.M.</u>	
FACILITY NAME: AL	LL PAWS GO TO HEAVEN			
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 1217 W OLIVE ST			
	LAKELAND 33815-4	4319		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	CD REPRESENTATIVE: MIC	CHAEL PIZZELANTI <b>PHONE</b>	E: (817)690-1560	
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	Ξ:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>OD:</b> 2/19/2010 / 2/19/2015 (effective date) (end date)	5		
PART I: INSPECTION	CE MINOR Non-COMI	•	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	
⊠ IN COMPLIAN				
	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MENTS – Rule 62-296.401. F.	A.C.	
PART II: TESTING/RE		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected?	·	Yes [	⊠ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?-	te box(es))  Djectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA Me	Yes   pthod 9 (Ref.: Chapter \boxed{\text{Yes}}	⊠ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission	s site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emis on, and within 60 days prior to e	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule	□ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any obtained 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?  3. In order to demondays prior to the Action 62-296.401(6)(j),  4. In order to demondary	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emis on, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining applical	Yes   cthod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?  3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ussions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.) ustrate individual source compliant of 60 days prior to the AGP Notification equal to or be	nce, was an annual visible emis on, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 prices)	Yes   thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?  3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j),  4. In order to demon completed withir a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emis on, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining applical fication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested acco	Yes   thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□ No
PART II: TESTING/RE  (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob  2. Was a visible emi  62-297, F.A.C.)?-  3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j),  4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test po	te box(es))  Djectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emis on, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested accombod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed withir a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate ma dry standard cubic	pjectionable odor(s) detected? assions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	s site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emis on, and within 60 days prior to a nce were the remaining applical ication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested according hod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F., qual to or below the requirement to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule  She standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes parts per million by ording to EPA Method  A.C.)?	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed withir a) Carbon Mono volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate ma dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62- 5. Was all emissions	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssisions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	s site visit according to EPA Mence, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 200 per ating at the manufacture.	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter  Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Each anniversary date? (Rul	No No No No No No No No
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any obt 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Mono volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test po c) Particulate ma dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62- 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co	pjectionable odor(s) detected? assions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emis on, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining applical fication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested accombod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F., qual to or below the requirement to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to the comparison of a test report for an idea.	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check is appropriate box(cs)))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	mbustior	n zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	⊠Yes	☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec		f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	•	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	☐ No
2) Monitoring device	Yes	☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	□ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	☐ No
6) Adjustments	Yes	☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		□ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>☑</b> box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	□Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	штев	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	штев	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	<u> </u>	L 110
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	1 <b>C</b> S	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ie.	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes	□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	<b>∑</b> Ves	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation		110
process begins in the primary chamber?		□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated		
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	$\Box \mathbf{v}_{\alpha c}$	No No
a) If the anguar to question 4 shows is VES is cortifuing documentation from the manufacturer that the	,1 cs	△ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	<i>!</i>	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?		□ No
b) If also the angular the angular their use?	□Yes	∐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils thick?	□ <b>x</b> z	□ N.
	Yes	∐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	<b>□</b> \$7	□ NT
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	∐Yes	∐ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	⊠Yes	∐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes	∐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	$\boxtimes$ Yes	☐ No

1. Since the last inspection has there been			N 7 2 2
<ul><li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li><li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ul>		□Yes □Yes	⊠No ⊠No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form?d) If you answered <b>YES</b> to any of the above, did the	□Yes	⊠No	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4	4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	<b>—</b>	
local program office?		□Yes	□No
was required, have all operators been retrained to op	perate the modified unit?	□Yes	□No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?		⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □No
James Burkholder	3/19/10		
James Burkholder  Inspector's Name (Please Print)	3/19/10  Date of Inspection		
	Date of Inspection	tion	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection  3/19/13  Approximate Date of Next Inspec		on
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection  3/19/13  Approximate Date of Next Inspection ovisible emissions were observed. The facility WE test on 3/19. A batch of 668 lbs was loaded	cured the unit into the unit.	The

charts.

At the time of inspection the facility appeared to be in compliance.