

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| | AL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISCOVE | RY (CI) | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| RE-INS | SPECTION (FUI) | ARMS COMPLAINT NO | : | |
| AIRS ID#: 1270069 DATE: 12/ | 08/09 | ARRIVE: 11:00am | DEPART: <u>12:16</u> p | <u>om</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: PET RETO | RT CO-LOCATED HU | IMAN CREMATORY | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: | 425 BELLEVUE AVE | | | |
| I | DAYTONA BEACH | 32114 | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPR | ESENTATIVE: NAI | NCY LOHMAN PHONE | E: (386)615-1170 | |
| CONTACT NAME: Eric Nero | | PHONE | E: | |
| | 0/9/2008 / 10/9/2013 ective date) (end date) | 3 | | |
| (611 | end date) (end date) | | | |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE [| MINOR Non-COME | PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN | NT Non-COMPLIANCE | |
| PART II: TESTING/RECORDS | FFPING REQUIRE | MFNTS _ Rule 62-296 401 F | A C | |
| (check appropriate box(es | | <u> </u> | A.C. | |
| 1. Were there any objectional | 1 1 () 1 , , 10 | | | |
| | | site visit according to FDA Ma | | ☐ Yes ⊠ No |
| 2. Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)? | st conducted during this | site visit according to EPA Me | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter | ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☑ No |
| 2. Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)?3. In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not | st conducted during this | site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? | ☐Yes ⊠ No |
| Was a visible emissions tee 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F In order to demonstrate ind | st conducted during this dividual source compliant diffication form submission diffica | nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining applical | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing | |
| Was a visible emissions tee 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days | st conducted during this | nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) | ☐Yes ⊠ No |
| Was a visible emissions tee 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO volume, dry basis, corrected | st conducted during this section of the submission of the A.C.) | nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested acco | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method | ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐No |
| Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO volume, dry basis, corrected 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, b) Oxygen test performed | st conducted during this services compliant ification form submission A.C.)——————————————————————————————————— | site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested accordinated 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A. | thod 9 (Ref.: Chaptersions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method | ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐No |
| Was a visible emissions tee 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO volume, dry basis, corrected 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, b) Oxygen test performed c) Particulate matter emission dry standard cubic foot (ft) | st conducted during this section of the AC.)———————————————————————————————————— | nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested accommod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. Aqual to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according to | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 | <pre>Yes No</pre> Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No |
| Was a visible emissions tee 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO volume, dry basis, correcte 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, b) Oxygen test performed c) Particulate matter emission dry standard cubic foot (ft (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, F.A. Was all emissions testing of the complete of the | st conducted during this section form submission A.C.) | site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 p ly average basis and tested acco | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 | <pre></pre> |
| Was a visible emissions tee 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Not (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.J. In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO volume, dry basis, corrected 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, b) Oxygen test performed c) Particulate matter emission dry standard cubic foot (from (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, F.A.) Was all emissions testing compacity? | st conducted during this section form submission form submission A.C.) | nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested account of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. Aqual to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the company of a test report for an idea. | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) earts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per o EPA Method 5 rs recommended entical crematory unit? | Yes No Yes No |
| Was a visible emissions tee 62-297, F.A.C.)? | st conducted during this section form submission form submission A.C.) | nce, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 ply average basis and tested account of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. Aqual to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the coperating at the manufactures | thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) earts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 rs recommended entical crematory unit? compliance test? longer than 45 days afte | |

| PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | |
|---|--------------------|
| (check is appropriate box(es)) | |
| 1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t | emperatures in the |
| primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co | |
| accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? | |
| a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed? | ⊠Yes □ No |
| b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec | ording of such |
| measurements, maintenance, reports and records? | |
| 1) All measurements (including CEMS) | ∐Yes ∐ No |
| 2) Monitoring device | |
| 3) Performance Testing Measurements | ☐Yes ☐ No |
| 4) CEMS Performance Evaluation | |
| 5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks | ☐Yes ☐ No |
| 6) Adjustments | ☐Yes ☐ No |
| 7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices | ☐Yes ☐ No |
| 8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | ☐Yes ☐ No |
| 2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box) | |
| a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4) | |
| b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4) | |
| 3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: | |
| a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ? | ☐Yes ☐ No |
| b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | □Yes □ No |
| c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature | |
| is equal to or greater than 1400°F? | □Yes □ No |
| d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to | |
| record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the | |
| secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions? | □Yes □ No |
| | |
| 4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: | |
| a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time | |
| @ 1800° F? | ⊠Yes □ No |
| b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | ⊠Yes □ No |
| c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation | |
| process begins in the primary chamber? | ⊠Yes □ No |
| 5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated | |
| plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies? | ⊠Yes □ No |
| a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they | |
| are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of | |
| their use and for at least two years after their use? | ∐Yes ∐ No |
| b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at | |
| this location? | ∐Yes ⊠ No |
| 6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program? | ⊠Yes □ No |
| a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the dur | |
| of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment? | ⊠Yes ∐ No |

| PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUMA. New or Modified Process Equipment | RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantia recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the exten was required, have all operators been retrained to In the case of new or modified equipment, where required, has the owner submitted copies of all op a) submitted within the 15 day required window | hout replacement? |
| Danielle D. Owens | December 8, 2009 |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection |

COMMENTS: On December 8, 2009 Ms. Danielle Owens and Ms. Wanda Parker-Garvin of FDEP visited the subject facility to perform a level 2 compliance inspection. The facility has both a pet crematory and a human crematory on its premises. This report details the findings at the human crematory. Contact was made with Victor Lohman, who directed Ms. Owens and Ms. Parker-Garvin to the crematory operator, Mr. Eric Nero. Mr. Nero provided assistance during the inspection.

- 1. An inspection of Unit #2 (ALL Elite 2500, serial and model number unknown) was conducted. The location of the thermocouple was identified and documented as being approximately 3 feet from the from the front of the unit on the right side (when facing the front of the unit). The temperature readings from the program logic controller (PLC), analog temperature chart, and the Department's digital thermometer appeared to be in agreement with each other. The following temperature readings were documented: Program Logic Controller = 1677 degrees F; Analog Temperature Chart = 1675degrees F; Digital Thermometer = 1678 degrees F. The opacity reader and stack for this unit was observed. No visible emissions were observed; a method 9 evaluation was not necessary. No objectional odors were detected.
- 2. An inspection of Unit #1(Mathews Power Pak II, serial #0030194, model #IE43-PPII) was conducted. The location of the thermocouple was identified and documented as being located on the front side of the unit (below and to the right of the loading door). The following temperature readings were documented: Program Logic Controller = 1638 degrees F; Analog Temperature Chart = 1630 degrees F; Digital Thermometer = 1647 degrees F. The temperature readings from the program logic controller, analog temperature chart, and the Department's digital thermometer appeared to be in agreement with each other. The opacity reader and stack for this unit was observed. No visible emissions were observed; a method 9 evaluation was not necessary. No objectional odors were detected.
- 3. Mr. Nero inicated that Unit #2 had been rebricked approximately 1 year ago. Maintenance records, temperature charts, and MSDS sheets for cremation bags were not available for review at the time of the inspection. The Department requested maintenance records and temperature charts from January 2009 to present be submitted no later than January 4, 2010.
- 4. Questions 3, 4, 5, 6 in Part II, question 3 in Part III and questions 1d, 2, 3 in Part IV are not applicable.
- 5. Questions 1b and 5 in Part III will be determined when requested information is supplied to the Department by the facility.
- 6. The Department was not notified at least 15 days prior to the date of the last required compliance test.