WHERTON WOTECTION	
Some Martin	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0251319 DATE: <u>4/12/2013</u> A	ARRIVE: <u>9:41 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:48 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: GEM PAVER			
FACILITY LOCATION:9845 NW 118TH WAY			
MEDLEY 33178-1043			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JORGE Email: gempaversjf@aol.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2010 / 1/14/2015 (effective date) (end date)		(305)805-0000 (305)970-7169	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	F/7	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>JORGE FERNAND</u> Brief Notes:	<u>EZ</u>		
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JORGE FERNANDI If no, who is?:	EZ?	XesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative upda 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:			
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspe If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 da 	ction? ys in advance?	⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No	

<u>1 – CCB Plant-silo #1 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/16/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
		ï	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Xes	□ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	No No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	

2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

<u>2 – CCB Plant-silo #2(white cement/ctr silo)w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/16/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each c	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ied		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No	
control emissions?	_	□ No	
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	—	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· Xes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – CCB Plant-silo #2 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

	(check 🗹 box for each	question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/16/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		question
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No
 appreciation of which of environmentary safe dash suppression environmentary which necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
particulate matter?		🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles?		No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	D No No

4 – CCB Plant-silo #3 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions
--

4 – CCB Plant-silo #3 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/16/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🛛 No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and </u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question) Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to con emissions by:	ntrol unconfined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when n 	ecessary to		
 control emissions?	the		
 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind ent particulate matter from stock piles? 	trainment of		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to t	the truck? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 			

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

5 – CCB Plant-silo #1 (white cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 – CCB Plant-silo #1 (white cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/16/2012</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check ☑ only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check ☑ only one box for each question)			
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Xes	□ No □ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	f —	🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		D No No	

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	א [א [א [lo
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes K If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	<u>л</u>	Ιο
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	N	0
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	И И И И П	lo lo lo
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes] N	[0

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following)	box for each	•
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Dut the owner of operator houry the appropriate Department of Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?)(6)]	□ No
 c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9000 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? 	(6)]	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? 	_	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)

Ac	Administrative Changes:					
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not					
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or					
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🖂 No				
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No				
Ne	New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been					
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No				
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? [] Yes	🖂 No				
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? [] Yes	🖂 No				
	d. A change in ownership? [] Yes	🛛 No				
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted					
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	🗌 No				

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

4/12/2013

Date of Inspection

4/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: FRANCIS MORLU OF SOUTH FLORIDA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON ALL FIVE SILOS. I WITNESSED THREE VE TESTS; TWO ON THE GRAY CEMENT SILOS AND ONE OF THE WHITE CEMENT SILO. ALL THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI, I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY, THE ENTIRE FACILITY IS PAVED.

> **REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 8:22 am, Apr 29, 2013