	COMPLIANCE	INSPECTION (CHECKLI	IST Enviroi Comp	nmental Iliance
INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/I ARMS COMPL		(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0951329 D	DATE: <u>12/27/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:15</u>		DEPART: <u>10:35</u>	<u>.</u>
FACILITY NAME: N	/IAACO COLLISION REPAIR &	AUTO PAINTING			
FACILITY LOCATIO	DN: 11219 S Orange Blosso	m Trl			
	ORLANDO 32837-92	08			
OWNER/AUTHORIZ Email: caroline@n CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PER	MATT PEACH		Mobile: PHONE:	(407)466-6634 (407)832-0590 (407)832-0590 (407)466-6634	
PART I: INSPECTIO	DN COMPLIANCE STATUS (c NCE MINOR Non-COM			Non-COMPLIANC	E
IN COMPLIA	NCE MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIG	GNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANC	E
IN COMPLIA PART II: <u>RECORDK</u> (check ☑ appropr	NCE MINOR Non-COM EEPING REQUIREMENTS – I iate box(es))	PLIANCE SIC	GNIFICANT		
 ✓ IN COMPLIA PART II: <u>RECORDK</u> (check ☑ appropr 1. Does the facility which are exem have been exem 	NCE MINOR Non-COM EEPING REQUIREMENTS – I iate box(es)) y operate any emissions units othe pt from permitting pursuant to the upted from permitting under Rule of	PLIANCE SIC Rule 62-210.300, F.A r than the surface coa c criteria of paragraph 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Ru	GNIFICANT .C. ting operation 62-210.300(1 ile 62-210.30	ns and emissions unit 3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., o 00(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)	ts
 ✓ IN COMPLIA PART II: <u>RECORDK</u> (check ☑ appropr 1. Does the facility which are exem have been exem 2. Does the owner and the quantity 	NCE MINOR Non-COM EEPING REQUIREMENTS – I iate box(es)) y operate any emissions units othe pt from permitting pursuant to the upted from permitting under Rule of /operator of the facility maintain r y of the coatings used?	PLIANCE SIC Rule 62-210.300, F.A r than the surface coat criteria of paragraph 52-4.040, F.A.C.? (Ru ecords to document th	GNIFICANT .C. ting operation 62-210.300((ile 62-210.30 ne VOC conte	ns and emissions unit 3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., o 0(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ent of the coatings	ts or □Yes ⊠ No - ⊠Yes □ No
 ✓ IN COMPLIA PART II: <u>RECORDK</u> (check ✓ appropr 1. Does the facility which are exem have been exem 2. Does the owner and the quantity 3. Does the owner of at least five y 	NCE MINOR Non-COM EEPING REQUIREMENTS – I iate box(es)) y operate any emissions units othe pt from permitting pursuant to the upted from permitting under Rule of /operator of the facility maintain r	PLIANCE SIC Rule 62-210.300, F.A r than the surface coar criteria of paragraph 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Ru ecords to document the le for Department ins	GNIFICANT .C. ting operation 62-210.300(3 ile 62-210.30 ie VOC conte- pection, these	ns and emissions unit 3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., o 00(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ent of the coatings e records for a period	ts or □Yes ⊠ No - ⊠Yes □ No 1
 ✓ IN COMPLIA PART II: <u>RECORDK</u> (check ✓ appropr 1. Does the facility which are exem have been exem 2. Does the owner and the quantity 3. Does the owner of at least five y 4. Is the total quant 5. Does the amour 	NCE MINOR Non-COM EEPING REQUIREMENTS – I iate box(es)) y operate any emissions units othe pt from permitting pursuant to the upted from permitting under Rule of /operator of the facility maintain r y of the coatings used?	PLIANCE SIC Rule 62-210.300, F.A r than the surface coa criteria of paragraph 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Ru ecords to document the ole for Department ins lbs/day or less, avera ts and thinners used in	GNIFICANT .C. ting operation 62-210.300(ale 62-210.30 ne VOC conte- pection, these ged monthly' n the process	ns and emissions unit 3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., o 00(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ent of the coatings e records for a period ? including those used	ts Dr □Yes ⊠ No - ⊠Yes □ No - ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No
 ✓ IN COMPLIA PART II: <u>RECORDK</u> (check ✓ appropr 1. Does the facility which are exem have been exem 2. Does the owner and the quantity 3. Does the owner of at least five y 4. Is the total quant 5. Does the amour for cleanups? 	NCE MINOR Non-COM EEPING REQUIREMENTS – I iate box(es)) y operate any emissions units othe pt from permitting pursuant to the upted from permitting under Rule (/operator of the facility maintain r of the coatings used?	PLIANCE SIC Rule 62-210.300, F.A r than the surface coa criteria of paragraph 52-4.040, F.A.C.? (Ru ecords to document the ole for Department ins lbs/day or less, avera ts and thinners used in	GNIFICANT .C. ting operation 62-210.300((ile 62-210.30 ne VOC conte- pection, these ged monthly n the process	ns and emissions unit 3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., o 00(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ent of the coatings e records for a period ? including those used	ts or □Yes ⊠ No - ⊠Yes □ No - ≅Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No
 ✓ IN COMPLIA PART II: <u>RECORDK</u> (check ☑ appropr 1. Does the facility which are exem have been exem 2. Does the owner and the quantity 3. Does the owner of at least five y 4. Is the total quant 5. Does the amour for cleanups? 	NCE MINOR Non-COM EEPING REQUIREMENTS – I iate box(es)) y operate any emissions units othe pt from permitting pursuant to the upted from permitting under Rule (/operator of the facility maintain r of the coatings used?	PLIANCE SIC Rule 62-210.300, F.A r than the surface coa criteria of paragraph 52-4.040, F.A.C.? (Ru ecords to document the ole for Department ins lbs/day or less, avera ts and thinners used in	GNIFICANT .C. ting operation 62-210.300((ile 62-210.30 ne VOC conte- pection, these ged monthly n the process	ns and emissions unit 3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., o 00(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ent of the coatings e records for a period ? including those used	ts or □Yes ⊠ No - ⊠Yes □ No - ≅Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. - (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	tainin	ng spr	ray coat	ing eq	uipment	to ensu	re effect	ive app	olication	with a	ı minimun	n of over	spray?	⊠Yes]]	No
---	--------	--------	----------	--------	---------	---------	-----------	---------	-----------	--------	-----------	-----------	--------	------	--	-----	----

b)	monitoring the coa	ating th	ickness to	o avoio	ł excessi	ve coating	?	 		 	⊠Yes
						-			-		

c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?		
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Xes [No

d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?------

e)	implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
	1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
	cycles?	Yes 🗌 No
	2) recycling cleaning solvents?	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\overline{\Box}$ No
	3) using water based cleaners?	\square Yes \square No

RT IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/27/2012

Date of Inspection

~12/2013.

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Assefa Hailemariam met with Matt Peach, owner, for Maaco Collision repair and Auto painting at 11219 South Orange Blossom Trial, Orlando Florida on December 27, 2012. He provided the inspector with a walk-through of the facility and inspecte the spray paint booths. Each spray booth is equipped with particulate filters; Spray booth has a down draft system and all filters in the booth are changed out every 3 days or every week, or as necessary. I also observed an open booth that was used for small touch ups, for prep and cleaning cars. In the mixing room all paint and solvent containers were closed tightly when not in use. No leaks or spills were observed during our walk through of the facility and all containers were covered. Facility provided the data logs from December 2011 to November 2012. The records provided shows the highest averaged monthly total for VOC coating in pounds was in November 2012 with 41.3 pounds, which was less than the permitted limit of 44.0 pounds. The facility was in good condition. No unconfined PM emission or objectionable odor was observed during my inspection

No

No