

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0951329 DATI FACILITY NAME: MAA	E: 12/30/2010 ACO COLLISION REPAIR & A	ARRIVE: 10:15 AUTO PAINTING		DEPART: <u>10:46</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION: OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: caroline@maacc CONTACT NAME: MA Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOR	ATT PEACH	8	Mobile: PHONE:	(407)466-6634 (407)832-0590 (407)832-0590 (407)466-6634	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used? ☐ Yes ☐ No 3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? ☐ Yes ☐ No 4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly? ☐ Yes ☐ No 5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanups? ☐ No					
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)					

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REC (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	OUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)		
3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention involved in surface coating operations on methods of red a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effecti b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive co considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., watered) implementing inventory control practices to prevent see implementing management practices to reduce VOC entry in the spraying light colored coatings before dark color cycles?	ve application with a minimum of overspray? ve application with a minimum of overspray? ve application with a minimum of overspray? Ves \square No		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.		
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without re c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office?	placement? ferent than that noted on the most Wes No Yes No Yes No When submit a new and complete O, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
Assefa Hailemariam	12/30/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 12/2011		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: Mr. Assefa Hailemariam met with Mrs. Caroline 11219 South Orange Blossom Trial, Orlando Florida on Decembe facility and inspects three spray paint booths. Each spray booth is system and all filters in the booth are change out every months or small touch up. In the mixing room all paint and solvent container observed during our walk through of the facility and all containers 2010 to the present by E- mail. The records provided shows the hi February 2010 with 32.6 pounds, which was less than the permitte unconfined PM emission or objectionable odor was observed during	r 30, 2010. She provided the inspector with a walk-through of the equipped with particulate filters; Spray booth has a down draft as necessary. I also observed an open booth that was used for s were closed tightly when not in use. No leaks or spills were were covered. Facility was provided the data logs from January ghest averaged monthly total for VOC coating in pounds was in ad limit of 44.0 pounds. The facility was in good condition. No		