

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION		INUAL (INS1, INS2) -INSPECTION (FUI)		MPLAINT/I		Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 011	2720 DATE:	9/12/13	ARR	IVE: <u>1110</u>		DEPART: 12	230	
FACILITY NA	ME: PAWS	& CHERISH PET CRI	EMATIONS					
FACILITY LO	CATION:	4340 NW 19TH AV	VE BAY E					
		DEERFIELD BEA	CH 33064-8	3710				
Email: PBI	RETON@BLE AME: GLEN ENN@PCSON	NLINE.ORG.UK	24/2017	TON	Mobile:	(561)721-4003 (160)474-0220		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
	facility represe	entative(s): Peter Breton	_				(check ☑ ox for each o	only one question)
2. Is the Autho If no, who is		ntative still PETER BRI	ETON?			[⊠ Yes	□No
3. Is the facility		provide an administrat GLENN TUCK? chinson					Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
4. Will facility	be conducting	VE test(s) during toda authority notified at lea					⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Animal Crematory. Matthews Power Pack JR - 75lb/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑	only one
	box for each of	•
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		
after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes	□No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 120 🖂 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		NO
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	⊠ Yes	□No
4. Date of last inspection: 8/20/12	Z 105	
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes	□No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	□No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation?	⊠ Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: $8/20/12$		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	⊠ Yes	∐No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑	only one
	box for each of	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	□No
a. Operating capacity during test? 120 🛛 lbs for batch unit 🔲 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆		
☐ Yes		
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?		∐No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	□No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	□ 1 7	□ N.T
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute.	s in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Operating capacity during test?		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? \\ \Boxed{\text{\$\sigma}} \\ \t	ı 🗆 🗆	
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?		□No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?]	
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	☐ Yes	□No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute.	s in any one-hour)	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standard		⊠ N-
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standard If yes, what reason?	rds?	⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one		
		box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	_	_	
Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected-	Scale: 1-10 (worst)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –			
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the	<u></u>		
secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No	
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence			
time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No	
(Application of initial notification: received on or after 8/30/89; received before 8/30/89)			
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?			
(1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes	□No	
(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;			
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	- X Yes	□No	
(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	☐ Yes	□No	
(4) Adjustments	Yes	□No	
(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No	
(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□No	
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes	□No	
e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07 ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	⊠ Yes	□No	
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic			
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		□No	
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity			
exceeds 15% opacity ?		□No	
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in			
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	- 🛛 Yes	□No	
	(check 🗹	only one	
DADE IV. CECONDADY COMPLICATION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	· -	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	question)	
1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:			
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F			
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	□No	
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremater			
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No	
2. If the application to construct ON or AFTED Avanet 20, 1080 is the			
2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F			
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes	□No	
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremater			
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes	□No	
I was a second of the second o			
	(check ☑	only one	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	question)	
	. •		
1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate cor			
are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
If yes, what other materials?			
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics			
as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes	□No	
If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from use?		□No	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufactur Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedu shutdown and malfunction?		No			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been	of the facility or any emissions unit nistrative change at the facility? the change?	Yes Yes	 □No □No □No □No □No □No □No 		
Art Pennetta Inspector's Name (Please Print)	9/12/13 Date of Inspection 9/14				
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next In					
COMMENTS:					