

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (COMPLAINT NO:	CI) [
AIRS ID#: 7775611 DATE: 11/13/2013 ARRIVE: 10:05AM	DEPART: <u>11:00AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: VULCAN MTLS-FL ROCK DIV-MIAMI QUARRY	
FACILITY LOCATION: 12201 NW 25TH ST	
MIAMI 33182-1504	
Email: Mobile: (30	05)805-6900 05)986-6256 05)592-2664
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)	
	on-COMPLIANCE
DADE H. ONGREE INTRODUCTION MEETING	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Martin Marks Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still MARTIN MARKS?	X YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still KENNY KNOWLES? If no, who is?: <u>Jason Jowers</u>	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher,limerock wet crush.op diesel pwr, 300T/hr

		(check ☑	only one
	b	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u> 1	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stone (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax,	g Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	инте;	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		No No No
sul	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
σ.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher,limerock wet crush.op diesel pwr, 300T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	icu	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes by this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
-0	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	grinding film of storage off in the production fine:		110
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1.C			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	YVI		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	W4L-EU4-1 1'C-14-1 (44/22/2009)	□ 3 7	□ N.
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	∐No
1.0	annual to Organization 12 is "No" ship the following an actions and so dispaths to Organization 20		
IJ	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
12	Does the EII have a particulate matter conture quetar (equipment including analogues		
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ Vac	□ No
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	∐No
Τſ	grouper to Organian 12 is "No" skip the following greations and as directly to Organian 10		
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
1 /	Initial Tests		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ 3 7	□ Nt.
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
١			
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	∐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
ĺ		_	_

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher, limerock wet crush.op diesel pwr, 300T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\Boxed{N/A}	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	X Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	⊠ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher,limerock wet crush.op diesel pwr, 300T/hr

individually in compliance with en a. Was an initial PM stack test performinitial startup of the EU?					
initial startup of the EU?	1 1				
{A "vent" is any opening through w			J/A	Yes	☐ No
, in the second	hich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	ig air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compl	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	?	☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
3.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				_	
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	ne		
		al basis in accordance with mar			
				Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate wit			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr	•				
and)				
b. a device for the continuous measurements	arement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	ibber and the	;	
		ance with manufacturer's instru		Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate with			
of design scrubbing liquid flow	-		, , ,		
4. When was the last VE test conduc	ted by the owner/oners	tor for this EU? 4/26/2012			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			vears?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpa		70 occii tested witiiii tile pust 3	years.	103	
		ndar years?		Yes	□No
		ar year?		Yes	□No
ii. has the De seen tested yet v	Thin the current curence	ar year.			
5. Was a VE test conducted by the or	<i>vner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a p				Yes	□No
Rate:	to coss rate that is represe				
b. Was the VE test conducted accor	ding to EPA Method 9?			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	est six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com				Yes	□No
a. Dia iii , E tost demonstrate com	primite with the spacify				
6. Was a VE test conducted by the in	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a p				Yes	☐No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accor	ding to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com				Yes	□No
	1 7	,		_	_
	VE Onac	city Limits			
		Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	EU not subject to		Jubball		
	EU not subject to	-	_		
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	construc	ted, modif	fied,
	_	constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construc or recons	ted, modif structed o	fied,
	40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	construc	ted, modif structed o 2/2008	fied,
Crusher with no capture system	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	construc or recons	ted, modif structed o	fied,

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-conveyor, self contained, no spray bars, wet ops

		(check ☑	only one
	t	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes Yes	□No □No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
υ.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

2 -NMMP Plant-conveyor, self contained, no spray bars, wet ops

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material	!l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1£			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU?	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	∐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No

2 -NMMP Plant-conveyor, self contained, no spray bars, wet ops

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
guestions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

2 -NMMP Plant-conveyor, self contained, no spray bars, wet ops

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not	
individually in compliance with emissions limits:	
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	
initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$	
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from	
one or more affected EUs.}	
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes	□No
	
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	_
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the	
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	
instructions? Yes	□No
Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}	
and	
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	
** ***-& *** ** ** - ···· · · · · · · ·	
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes	□No
	<u> </u>
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit? Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes	□No
Rate:	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	
	□No
	<u> </u>
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit? Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes	□No
Rate:	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	□No
	
VE Opacity Limits	
EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU	
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, constructed, modified	d,
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or reconstructed on o	-
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008	-
1 7	
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-RIC diesel engine, crusher/conveyor pwr, 425 Hp

		(check ☑	only one
	t	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		_
_	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	∐ Yes	∐No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	∐ Yes □ Yes	∐No □No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
•	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
σ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

3 –NMMP Plant-RIC diesel engine, crusher/conveyor pwr, 425 Hp

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed .	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi.		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	0	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	The suppression systems is not considered to be suith area for purposes of this definition.		
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	ine answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 hoove is 110 then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	Then was the Bo last constructed, mounted, or reconstructed.		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
	Thus the De constructed, mountain, or reconstructed on or after 1/22/2000		
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
-,	and the state of t		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
		_	_
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
-,			
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	at it yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 770 opacity.	105	
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	103	
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	a. There initial rugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?	105	□140
1			

3 –NMMP Plant-RIC diesel engine, crusher/conveyor pwr, 425 Hp

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
☐ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ `	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		. 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	3		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Π,	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No

3 –NMMP Plant-RIC diesel engine, crusher/conveyor pwr, 425 Hp

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	col device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			I/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compli-				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	m non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control er	nissions from the EU?			Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through th	ie.		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	-	manaracturer to be accurate with	11111 1230		
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	e	
device has been calibrated on ar				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
of design scrubbing liquid flow					
	,				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s	subpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart	000:				
i. has the EU been tested during	g each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ar year?		Yes Yes	□No
	, a a				
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				∐ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo					∐No
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?					□ N1.
b. was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9?			☐ Yes	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	lest six-minute average.		□ x z	□ N1.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	nance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		∐ Yes	∐No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	nector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	ocess rate that is represe				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9?			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				☐ Yes	□No
r		(
	VE O	tu. Tttu.			
		city Limits	C-1 4	LOOO ET	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU		t 000 EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modif	,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		istructed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
	1		1	-	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility learn records to show that it does not have the notantial to emit.	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	□No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	ne/yr		No No No No
GF	ENERAL CONDITIONS		. 🗖	
		•		only one uestion)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	_	•	
2.	pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator:		Yes	⊠No
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	· 🛛 '	Yes	□No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□No
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)			only one uestion)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	Yes Yes	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	· 🔲 ·	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
	11 125, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period;		103	

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numes associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar min	elocation of the facility or any emissions units or	only one question)
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Y		□No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repla c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment tha d) A change in ownership?	Yes accement? Yes at is substantially different? Yes egistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	No No No No
MARUFUL MALIK	11/13/2013	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	11/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: On November 13, 2013 I visited this facily to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to attend the Visible Emissions tests. On site I met Jason Jowers, the Plant Manager of the facility. M.Dion Bennett, KLEINFELDER, conducted the VE test on the Conveyer belt drop and Eric Oij, KLEINFELDER, conducted a simultaneous VE test on the Rock crusher. Both tests started at 10:10 A.M. The portable crusher was operating at 300 tons per hour. No visible emissions were observed during the test. No objectionable odor was detected around the crusher.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 10:50 am, Jan 28, 2014