

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

March 4, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested Ateamkitchenandbath@gmail.com

Mr. Aaron M. Tew, President A Kitchen and Bath Group, Incorporated 178 John King Road Crestview, Florida 32529

Dear Mr. Tew:

On February 15, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910099. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carre Melton

Carol Melton Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/cs/c

Enclosure

WOTECTION
Star Verte
FLORIDA

CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0910099 DA	.TE: <u>2/15/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:27 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:46 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: VALPARAISO PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 507 HWY 190				
	VALPARAISO 32580				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	CD REPRESENTATIVE: AAR OD: 10/9/2009 / 10/9/2014 (effective date) (end date)	ON TEW PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(850)682-2465 (850)685-8774		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
 (check ☑ appropriat 1. Does the facility of which are exempt have been exempt 2. Does the facility of not cause, suffer, odor?	operate any emissions units other t from permitting pursuant to the c ted from permitting under Rule 62 comply with the objectionable odd allow or permit the discharge of a ed quantity of styrene containing r te twelve month period? (Chapter of perator of the facility maintain rec y basis? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c) operator retain, and make available ars? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c) 6.d ter operation subject to a volatile of CT) emission limiting standard of	than the cast polymer operations criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(2-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.30) or prohibition of subsection 62-2 air pollutants which cause or con resin and gel-coat used exceed 28 62-210.300(3)(c)6.c., F.A.C.) cords to document the quantity of c)6.d., F.A.C.) e for Department inspection, thes 1., F.A.C.)	and emissions units (3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or (0)(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.) Yes No 96.320(2), F.A.C. and tribute to an objectionable 		

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

 Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by: a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air? b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? 	
b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? $\overline{\square}$ Yes $\overline{\square}$ No	
	,
	,
c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application? \square Yes \square No)
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?)
e) managing cleanup solvents? Xes Ves Ves Ves)
2. Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the	
general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the	
adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources,	
water quality, or air quality? Xes Xes Xes)
3. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\overline{\square}$ No)

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment withor c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office? 	but replacement? by different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete -4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	□Yes ⊠No
Chris Stoll	2/24/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	2/2012	

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On February 24, 2011, an air general permit compliance inspection was conducted at the A Kitchen and Bath Group facility located in Valparaiso, Florida. The facility was in operation at the time of the inspection and no objectionable odors or fugitive particulate emissions were noticed as I approached the facility. The facility appeared to be well-maintained and operating in accordance with the general permit conditions. Records of gelcoat and resin usage are being maintained. For the period of March 2010 through February 28, 2011, the facility used 35,476 lbs. of styrene-containing resin and gelcoat materials.