



Surface Coating Operations – General Permits

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: _____

AIRS ID#: 1030538 **DATE:** 1/13/14 **ARRIVE:** 2:15PM **DEPART:** 2:55PM

FACILITY NAME: Dream Cabinets Co., Inc. **Per_ID:** 2317 **PERMIT NO.:** 1030538-001-AG

FACILITY LOCATION: 2150 Tall Pines Drive
Largo, FL

EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Wood cabinet manufacturing company with a manual spray booth and an automated conveyerized spray machine

OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Zbigniew Smaga **PHONE/email:** 855-973-7326 / dreamcabinetsales@gmail.com

CONTACT NAME: Zbigniew Smaga **PHONE/email:** 855-973-7326 / dreamcabinetsales@gmail.com

REMITTANCE YEAR: **ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 8/17/14
(effective date) (end date)

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))

- Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? [Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.] Yes No
- Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used? (see how coatings are defined in item 5 below) [62-210.310(4)(c)2.b., F.A.C.] ----- Yes No
- Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? [62-210.310(4)(c)2.b., F.A.C.] ----- Yes No

Comments: The records were available back to January 2013. A partial copy of the records are attached as an example of the record format.

- Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly? ----- Yes No
[62-210.310(4)(c)2.a., F.A.C.] (total lbs VOC ÷ # days/mo = VOC lbs/day)

Comments: Reviewed records for the months of August 2013. The highest reported monthly daily average was 36.15 pounds. Supporting documentation was available ----- Yes No

- Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanup? [62-210.310(4)(c)2.a., F.A.C.]----- Yes No

Surface Coating Operations – General Permits

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))

1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a specific emissions limiting standard such as VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT), Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? [Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.]----- Yes No
2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? [Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.]----- Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
 - a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? Yes No
 - b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?----- Yes No
 - c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? Yes No
 - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- Yes No
 - e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles? Yes No
 2. recycling cleaning solvents?----- Yes No
 3. using water based cleaners?----- Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

Administrative Changes: [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]

1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?----- Yes No
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ----- Yes No

Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]

1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5- year effective period?----- Yes No
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? ----- N/A Yes No

Comments: The permit expires on 8/17/14. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 7/17/14.

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]

1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been
 - a) Installation of any new process equipment? - ----- Yes No
 - b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? ----- Yes No
 - c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ----- Yes No
 - d) A change in ownership? ----- Yes No

If any of the answers to 1a) – 1)d) is **Yes**, was a new registration form and appropriate submitted 30 days prior to the change?----- Yes No

Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]

1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? ----- Yes No
 If the answer is **Yes**, proceed to a) and b).
 - a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? ----- Yes No
 - b) Did the notification include:
 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?----- Yes No
 2. Dates and times of noncompliance; or if not corrected, the anticipated time noncompliance is expected to continue and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? ----- Yes No

Surface Coating Operations – General Permits

PART V: INSPECTION COMMENTS:

- *I met with one of the employees Ed, shop worker , who uses the conveyerized automated spray painting machine. The machine was not in operation, and the spray booth was not in operation. The filters were in place.*
- *We toured the facility most of the lights were off, There were 3 employees working on projects, and Ed, stated the rest were in field doing cabinetry installations. There is now only 10 employees left. There had been no changes to the operations, or number of cabinetry manufacturing equipment. The two baghouse control devices and pick up points remain. (See photos)*
- *The permit expires on 8/17/2014. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 7/17/2014. I gave the employee Ed copies of information handout and web link for Ziggi to assist him in processing the renewal of the general permit.*
- *The office assistant, is no longer employed at this facility, but in the past typically made the records available for inspection. While I was still on site, an employee called and I spoke to Mr. Ziggi on phone . because he was out on sales appointment. I informed Mr. Ziggi, no one on site was familiar with his records. I advised him I would need to see the 2013 records as soon as possible to determine compliance status . I asked him to email copies. He stated he would send when he had finished his sales appointment. I also advised him to process the permit renewal to avoid expiration of permit and a possible violation.*

I did not receive the records on date of inspection or day after 1/14/14. I called and spoke to Ziggi, regarding his records are maintained through his vendor, and should be kept on site for inspection. He stated he would send copies of the records by 1/15/14. 1/14/14 I also sent an email to his facility address requesting facility records by end of day.

1/16/14 – I called cell phone number 855-973-7326 and a recording stated the company number was temporarily out of service. I called facility second number 727-535-8384 on 1/17/14 and left message informing him that not making the records available is a violation of the permit which he could receive a warning letter with penalties.

1/22/14 – I called 855-973-7326 and a recording still stated the company number was temporarily out of service. I called a facility number 727-535-8384 again and left message informing Mr. Ziggi, that not making the records available is a violation of the permit which he could receive a warning letter with penalties. Return call received from Justin at facility number, stating she would be faxing the records.

**** RECORDS RECEIPT**

1/22/14 – Received copies of the vendors records maintained for Dream Cabinets from Office assistant Justin

Records did not have the days of operation noted and calculations for the monthly, daily pounds average for the chemical usage.

1/24/14 received days of operation per month information. -Review I entered days for the months totals, and found the facility had not exceeded the permit limitation off 44lbs/day average. The highest month totals was August 2013- 795.37lbs / 22 days for the daily average of 36.15 lbs per day.

Inspection Entered into AQACCESS? Yes

INSPECTION ENTERED INTO ARMs? Yes

Shea Jackson
Inspector(s)

1/13/14
Inspection Date

Signatures

Surface Coating Operations – General Permits

Dream Cabinets Co., Inc.
2150 Tall Pines Drive, Largo



Project Id: 88621 **Permit No:** 1030538-001-AG **Arms Number:**

Inspector: Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 1/13/14 / _____

Source (EU): Wood cabinet manufacturing company with a manual spray booth and an a automated conveyORIZED spray machine

Description: [Surface coating operations no pieces were being processed at this time.]



Description: [There were 3 employees working at time of inspection. The lights were on low to conserve energy]