

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)						
AIRS ID#: 0310567 DATE: <u>7/23/12</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:				
FACILITY NAME: JACKSONVILLE FACILITY						
FACILITY LOCATION: 5570 FLA MINING	BLVD S #610					
JACKSONVILLE	32257-3248					
Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: RICHARD OWEN PHONE: (904)707-59		PHONE: (904)707-5997 Mobile: PHONE: (904)707-5997 Mobile:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/13/2009 / 8/13/2 (effective date) (end date						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING		(check ☑	only one			
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Mike Keener & Richard Owen</u>		,	box for each question)			
Brief Notes: Mike Keener provided temp ature ch	narts and facility records.					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RICHARD OWEN?		× Yes	□No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still RICHARD OWEN? If no, who is?:			□No □No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea			⊠No □No			

Emissions Unit Section 1 –ANIMAL CREMATORY-prim/2ndary chmbrs, LPG fired, 150Lbs/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	
 Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 150	t. ⊠ Yes □No
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation?	'A ⊠ Yes □No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	YesNo ions? YesNo
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six n	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	YesNo ions? YesNo YesNo YesNo
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO sta If yes, what reason?	nndards? ☐ Yes ⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- Yes	⊠No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected		worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \(\bigcap 1,800^1 \) \(\bigcap 1,600^2 \) degrees was determined?	✓ Yes	□No	
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	- 🛚 Yes	□No	
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes cally	□No □No	
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	y X Yes	□No □No □No	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one	
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber?		□No	
2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crems process begins in the primary chamber?	ation	□No	
	(check 🗹	only one	
1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate coare any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		question)	
If yes, what other materials? 2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	- 🛛 Yes	□No	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?		YesYesYesYesYesYesYes	NoNoNoNoNo		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐					
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the same of t	f the facility or any emissions unit trative change at the facility?	s or Yes	⊠No □No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		Yes	☐No ☑No ☑No ☑No ☑No ☑No ☐No		
William Coffman	7/23/12				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection			

COMMENTS: Mike Keener produced facility records and escorted inspector thru facility. All equipment and building were very clean and in good condition. Facility was not operating at time of inspection.