

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 17, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested fc@faithchapelfuneralhome.com

Mr. Charles D. Dillard Operations Manager Faith Chapel Funeral Home, North U.S. Highway 29 South Cantonment, Florida 32533

Dear Mr. Dillard:

This is in reference to our May 16, 2012 compliance assistance visit to your facility, ID 0330285. A report focusing on ways to improve compliance is enclosed.

If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)						
AIRS ID#: 0330285 DATE: <u>5/16/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:37 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:48 PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: FAITH CHAPEL FUNERAL	HOME NORTH							
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 1000 S HWY 29								
CANTONMENT	32533-6418							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/11/2009 / 7/11 (effective date) (end d	Mobile: (PHONE: Mobile: /2014	(850)432-6146 (850)232-6834						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mr. Charle  Brief Notes:	<del></del>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still CHARLES If no, who is?:	DILLARD?							
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:								
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le								

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Cremator-primary/2ndary chambers, NG fired, 150 #/hr

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No
3.	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	Yes	□No
	d. Date of last VE test: 2/16/11 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
- T	DE W. MACHINE EL MACHANIC ADPORTING		
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?  b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	⊠No □No □No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□No
	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes Yes	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa	rds?	□No
	If yes, what reason?		
D/	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(also als 🔽	
<b>1</b> 1.	MONTORINO/RECORDINER IN OREQUIREMENTS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
a	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? ————————————————————————————————————	⊠ Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?  1) All temperature measurements	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Ally</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check 🗹 box for each	2
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:     a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————     b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————		□No
2. If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?		□No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- Yes	⊠No
2. Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer? ———————————————————————————————————	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	⊠ Yes	□No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	⊠ Yes	□No
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes	⊠No

a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least onc     b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (c	check 🗹 only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIAN	NCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	LIANCE			
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check 🗹	-		
		box for each	question)		
Administrative Changes:					
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? YesNo  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? YesNo  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been					
Jennifer Waltrip	May 16, 2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	May 2013				
	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection			
COMMENTS: On May 16, 2012, Department personnel conducted a compliance assistance visit at Faith Chapel Funeral Home in Escambia County. The Department would like to thank Mr. Dillard for his assistance during the visit.  All records were well maintained and indicated compliance with Department requirements. We discussed the requirement to conduct the annual visible emissions test. The facility was unaware of the requirement to notify the Department 15 days prior to testing. I made a note of the 15-day notification requirement, the requirement to submit the report within 45 days of the test date, and the Department's e-mail address on Mr. Dillard's visible emissions paperwork. I also emphasized that when using a new testing company, he should make sure who will notify and submit the report to the Department. I explained how this miscommunication has been a problem in the past for other facilities. He was grateful for the tips and that he did not already miss the opportunity to notify the Department as required.					

I also gave Mr. Dillard the laminated handout with a brief description of Crematory Air Regulation Guidelines. Mr. Dillard was grateful for the reference material and indicated he would hang it on his wall.

4