

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 2, 2011

*By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested* fc@faithchapelfuneralhome.com

Mr. Charles D. Dillard Operations Manager Faith Chapel Funeral Home, North 1000 U.S. Highway 29 South Cantonment, Florida 32533

Dear Mr. Dillard:

On May 20, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330285. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

A concern is discussed in the inspection report. Please review the Comments section of the report in order to ensure continued compliance in the future.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carre Melton

Carol Melton Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



## HUMAN CREMATORY



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		LAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0330285 DA	TE: <u>5/20/11</u>	ARRIVE	E: <u>11:45 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:15</u>	<u>PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FA	AITH CHAPEL FUNERAL	HOME NORTH				
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 1000 S HWY 29					
	CANTONMENT	32533-6418				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI	ED REPRESENTATIVE: [OD: 7/11/2009 / 7/11/ (effective date) (end data)	/2014	LARD PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(850)432-6146 (850)232-6834		
Ir	Facility Section					
	<u>N COMPLIANCE STATU</u>		- <u> </u>			
IN COMPLIAN	NCE MINOR Non-C	COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICAN	T Non-COMPLIANCE	3	
·						
PART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING	G	_		ck 🗹 only one	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Mike Atwood and Roy Wiggins</u> box for each question)						
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	presentative still CHARLES	DILLARD?		X Y	esNo	
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact a If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrat still ?	tive update within	1 30 days?	N	les □No les □No	
4. Will facility be condu If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during toda iance authority notified at le	ay's inspection? east 15 days in adv	vance?	Y	as ⊠No as □No	

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – Human Cremator-primary/2ndary chambers, NG fired, 150 #/hr</u>

DADTE FILE DEVIEW DDIAD TA INGDECTIAN	
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	XesNo
<ul> <li>b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?</li></ul>	XesNo YesNo
<ul> <li>4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing</li> </ul>	
operation? 🖾 N/2	A YesNo
<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 2/16/11</li> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? -</li> <li>f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?</li></ul>	
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?         <ul> <li>a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?</li> <li>b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	☐ Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes □No
<ul> <li>c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?</li></ol>	YesNo YesNo
5. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the ray and CO su	Yes 🖾No
If yes, what reason?	
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes 🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –	
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	e 🛛 Yes 🗌No
<ul> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residend time at  1,800<sup>1</sup> □ 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li></ul>	

2

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
<ol> <li>All temperature measurements</li> <li>all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;</li> </ol>	🛛 Yes	No
<ul> <li>monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li> <li>3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )</li> <li>4) Adjustments</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
<ul> <li>5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> <li>6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li> <li>(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical</li> </ul>		□No □No
<ul> <li>control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	□No
<ul> <li>(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?</li> </ul>	Yes	No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> </ol>	□ Yes	□No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber?		No
<ol> <li>If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul>		⊠No □No
		INO

PA	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check 🗹	
		box for each	question)
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- 🗌 Yes	XNo
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No

PA	ART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	2
1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes	No
2.	Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	🛛 Yes	No
3.	<ul> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ul>	Yes	⊠No □No □No

<b>PART VII:</b> <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check  only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					

### racinity Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	s or Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	Yes	<ul> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> </ul>

Jennifer Waltrip

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

May 2012

May 20, 2011

/s/

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On May 20, 2011, Department personnel conducted the annual air program compliance inspection of Faith Chapel Funeral Home in Escambia County. Mr. Roy Wiggins and Mr. Mike Atwood were available to assist during the inspection.

The cremation unit was in operation at the time of the inspection. No emissions were noted.

Records of maintenance, performance evaluations, adjustments and calibration checks were not available for review. The requirement to retain these records was discussed during the inspection. We reviewed the Matthews Cremator Certification Manual which outlined all preventative maintenance and calibration procedures necessary for the cremation unit. The manual also had templates for tracking all required maintenance and calibration checks. According to facility personnel, they will begin using the templates and will maintain the records for future inspections.

Circle charts of continuous temperature readings were reviewed and revealed short instances where the temperature in the secondary chamber dropped below 1600 degrees Fahrenheit. Rule 62-296.401(5)(c)1, Florida Administrative Code, states that the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone shall be no less than 1600 degrees Fahrenheit throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber. According to facility personnel, the manufacturer of the cremation unit instructed them to open the unit door during each cremation to check on the status. A telephone call to the manufacturer of the cremation unit confirmed the necessity to open the door during the cremation process to allow for repositioning to ensure adequate burning. Since opening the door causes a drop in temperature of the secondary chamber, the door should only be opened when necessary and then closed as quickly as possible.