	CHUBICAL PROTECTION
BUGA	a Mana
E F	LORIDA
B	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775595 DATE: 12/11/2009ARRIVE: 925DEPART: 1130FACILITY NAME: OLDCASTLE COASTAL-PORTABLE TUMBLER #3FACILITY LOCATION:4600 MAGNUM DR FORT PIERCE 34981-4836OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ERIC MYERSPHONE: (813)783-1970CONTACT NAME:Mark LawrenceENTITLEMENT PERIOD:6/28/2009 / 6/28/2014 (effective date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (<i>continued</i>) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?				
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes Yes No 				
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xest Completed in the test was completed?				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check \square appropriate box(es))	
---------------------------------------	--

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processi plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ⊠ No ⊠Yes ☐ No ⊠Yes ☐ No ⊠Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No
2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions? Yes No
3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
) us	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No

Patricia Tampas

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/11/2009

Date of Inspection

12/11/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The VE of the relocatable tumbler was performed by Arlington after the Annual VE of the facility (1110098). The tumbler is to move to another location within the next several weeks. PT sent Mr. Lawrece the links for the required forms by email on 12/14/09. There were no violations noted at this facility.