NEOWARU PROTECTION	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775595 DATE: 07/27/2009ARRIVE: 1050DEPART: 1205FACILITY NAME: OLDCASTLE COASTAL-PORTABLE TUMBLER #3	<u>i</u>
FACILITY LOCATION: 4600 MAGNUM DR FORT PIERCE 34981-4836	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ERIC MYERS PHONE: (813)783-1970 CONTACT NAME: Mark Lawrence PHONE: (772)429-1100 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/28/2009 / 6/28/2014 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	CE
 PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipme controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?	\[Yes \] No ent \[Yes \] No cted r rate, \]Yes \[No er \]Yes \[No id \]Yes \[No rate

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? ⊠Yes □ No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)	
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.) □Yes □No a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? Yes No b) material processed on a monthly basis? Yes No c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? Yes No 	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions?
3	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes 🗌 No
2	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
)ι	use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Yes b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ Yes No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

Patricia Tampas

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

07/27/2009

Date of Inspection

07/27/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: PT witnessed the VE fo the relocatable tumbler. This tumber takes retaining wall blocks and smooths the edged and the corners for a weathered look for landscaping. This tumbler is powered by a deisel engine at the opposite end of the dust collector. The plant manager explained the unit will be on this location for several weeks only. No emissions were seen from the dust collector nor the deisel engine. Some fugitive dust was noted from the wholes cut into the sides of the tumbler, however, it did not leave the property. No violations were noted