

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: AN	NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVI	ERY (CI)		
RE-	INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	O:		
AIRS ID#: 1050430 DATE:	<u>9/9/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:00 a.m.</u>	DEPART: <u>10:00 a.m.</u>		
FACILITY NAME: BARTOW MANUFACTURING PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 4151 US HWY 17 S					
	BARTOW 33830-7567				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: NATHAN NABORS PHONE: (864)605-5007 Email: Mobile: (864)444-0148 CONTACT NAME: RANDY ROMANI PHONE: (863)370-6089 Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/4/2009 / 6/4/2014 6/4/2014 (effective date) (end date)					
PARTA NIGREGIAN GOV		. 🗖			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from sile controlled to the extent 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represent unless such rate is unactoral to this question is "Yes skip 4.a) and 4.b) and 6.a) Was the batching of b) During the visible eduration? 5. If emissions from the value from the silo dust colle	os, weigh hoppers (batchers) a necessary to limit visible er ns tests of the silo dust collect attative of the normal silo loa chievable in practice?	and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity? Extor exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the mission controlled by the silo cons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If are the visible emissions test?	And conveying equipment One and conveying eq		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	⊠Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ponly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	Yes
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ng ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak	ke reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:					
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 					
	tock piles, and yards? Yes No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s					
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\Sigma Yes\)					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wir					
	Yes No				
	e emissions at the drop point to the truck?				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1. Give the least incorrection has there been					
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
alterations to existing process equipment without re					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diff					
recent notification form?					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the over	wner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050	0, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?	□Yes □ No				
7 B 11 11	0/0/0010				
James Burkholder	9/9/2010				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	9/9/2013				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
nispotor o organization	Approximate Date of New Inspection				
COMMENTS: Metromont corporation is a pre-cast cement manu	ufacturing corporation located in Bartow Florida off of US Hwy				
17 South. When I arrived on site I met with Mr. John White who					
testing for EU# 002 and 003 Silo2 and Silo 3, respectively. There	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
a rate above 25 tph.	1000 100 1000 1000 100 100 100 100 100				
-					
The facility was previously importing concrete from a local ready mix, but decided to implement their own batching plant on site.					
The facility consists of a hopper and two bins for raw materials (which are currently not in use as of yet), 6 silos, and a batch plant					
with two mixers. "Bullets" are ran on a track to move concrete from the mixers to the mold/cast. The mixers also have a washing area and waste recycle area that drains into a storage area where the water is recycle and the waste is reclaimed. Silos 1-3 on the					
area and waste recycle area that drains into a storage area where the East side of the batch plant are for structural mixes and silos 4-6 or					
Silos 4-6 have not commenced operations as of 9/9/2010. Each si					
Short of have not commenced operations 22 222	To has the capacity to hold 100 tolls of materials.				
The facility keeps daily records, monthly records, and annual throu	ughput totals. The most current daily records available were for				
9/8/2010. The facility produced 21902 lbs or 26.15 yards of cement. The facility used 21905.5 lbs of cement, 24860 lbs of sand,					
and 47839 lbs of 67 stone.					
At the time of increation the facility appeared to be in compliance					
At the time of inspection the facility appeared to be in compliance.					