

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	· · · —			
AIRS ID#: 0251312 DATE: <u>10/31/2013</u>	ARRIVE: 8:35 AM	DEPART: <u>9:50 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CONCRETE STRUCTURES I	BATCHING PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 12100 NW 58TH S	Т				
MIAMI 33178					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/17/2009 / 5/17/2009 (end date)	Mobile PHON Mobile 2014	E:			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): DICK SALO Brief Notes:	_	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DICK SALO If no, who is?:	ONIA?				
If different, did the facility provide an administration 3. Is the facility contact still?	ive update within 30 days?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-weigh batcher w/filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – CCB Plant-weign batcher w/fliter subject to Reasonabl	<u>e Precautions</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ onl box for each ques	ly one stion)
Date of last inspection: 12/7/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	•	ly one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each ques	stion)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, an	<u>nd Yards</u>	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	control unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when 	X Yes] No
control emissions?	Yes	No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airb particulate matter?	orne] No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind particulate matter from stock piles?	entrainment of X Yes] No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point	to the truck? Yes] No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		_
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		│ No │ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo(cement) w/filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 – CCB Plant-silo(cement) w/filter subject to Reasonable Prec	<u>autions</u>
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 12/7/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Ya.	(check only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by:	ol unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or m 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	
particulate matter?	nment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	truck? Yes No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception or units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	_	Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/ 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/		<u> </u>	'
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	☐ No
GF	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			INO
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ box for each 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ☐				
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>) 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? ————————————————————————————————————				
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p	ocal Air Program by telephone,			
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] ss days following a relocation? Yes No			
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	business days prior to relocation? Yes No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was				
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No			
CHANGES				
	(check $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ only one box for each question)			
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:				
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No				
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes d. A change in ownership? Yes No				
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?				
FRANK DELGADO	10/31/2013			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	10/2014			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED TWO VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE SILO'S DUST COLLECTOR AND THE LOAD OUT DUST COLLECTOR. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON SITE. THE ENTIRE FACILITY IS PAVED.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 10:22 am, Jan 09, 2014