

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	_	NT/DISCOVERY MPLAINT NO:	(CI)	
AII	RS ID#: 0251312 DAT	E: <u>12/7/2012</u>	ARRIVE: 9:	<u>04 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:08 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CONCRETE STRUCTURES BATCHING PLANT						
FA	CILITY LOCATION:	12100 NW 58TH ST	Γ			
		MIAMI 33178				
CO	VNER/AUTHORIZED Email: ONTACT NAME: Email: TITLEMENT PERIO	D: 5/17/2009 / 5/17/2 (effective date) (end date)	2014	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)597-9393	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
1.		ODUCTORY MEETING esentative(s): DICK SALC	_		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Is the Authorized Repre If no, who is?:	esentative still DICK SALC	DNIA?			□No
3.	If different, did the facil Is the facility contact sti If no, who is?:	lity provide an administrati Ill?	ve update within 30 c	lays?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes Yes	□No □No
		ing VE test(s) during today nce authority notified at lea				□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-weigh batcher w/filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – CCB Frant-weigh batcher w/inter subject to Reasonable Frecautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 10/27/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check b box for each	only one question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncontemissions by:	fined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	☐ No	
particulate matter?	f 🛛 Yes	□ No□ No□ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No□ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo(cement) w/filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 – CCB Plant-silo(cement) w/filter subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
	Date of last inspection: 10/27/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	TYes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No	
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check b ox for each	only one question)	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined		
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No□ No□ No	
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No	
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No □ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY					
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo		
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		·_ < 1.00?	,		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?			□ No		
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	<u>-</u>				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 	Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?			□ No		
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No		
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	Commenced and another D	(check 🗹 box for each	•	
s the facility: stationary \(\sigma\); relocatable \(\sigma\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sigma\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No	
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)				
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pr		☐ Yes	□ No	
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat				
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines			☐ No	
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificati				
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b	usiness days prior to relocation?	Yes	∐ No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit,				
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit ir		,		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpo	ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? \[Yes	☐ No	
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it w	vo c			
co-located at the permitted facility?		TYes	□No	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?			☐ No	
			1	
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check 🗹	only one	
Administrative Changes:		box for each	question)	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of th	e facility or authorized representa	tive not		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of	of the facility or any emissions un	its or		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No.				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes	⊠ No	
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Y			⊠ No	
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?			⊠ No	
d. A change in ownership?		- U Yes	⊠ No	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted				
30 days prior to the change?		- Yes	☐ No	
FRANK DELGADO	12/7/2012			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	12/2013			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection		
COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED VISIBLE EN	MISSIONS TESTS ON THE SILL	O AND WEIG	H HOPPER	
THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TESTS.				

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 3:37 pm, Dec 21, 2012

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.