OWERTAL PROTECTION	
San Martin	
FLORIDA	

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	· · · <u> </u>		
AIRS ID#: 0190079 DA	ATE: <u>10/29/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:30 am</u>	DEPART: <u>10:30 a</u>	um	
FACILITY NAME: C	LAY CREMATORY				
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 3149 CR 215				
	MIDDLEBURG 3206	8-6478			
OWNER/AUTHORIZ	ED REPRESENTATIVE: DAV	VID BROADUS PHONE	: (904)284-4000		
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	:		
ENTITLEMENT PER	IOD: 5/17/2009 / 5/17/2014 (effective date) (end date)	l			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
	ECORDZEEDING DEOLUDE	ATENITS D. 1. (2.20(401 E			
PART II: <u>TESTING/R</u> (check ☑ appropria	ECORDKEEPING REQUIREN ate box(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.4	A.C.		
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any o	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?			🗌 Yes 🖂 No	
 (check appropriation of the second secon	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this ?	site visit according to EPA Met	hod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any on 2. Was a visible emendation 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demondary prior to the 	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this 	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?	∐Yes ⊠ No	
 (check d appropriation) 1. Were there any on the end of the	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this 	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining applicab	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?	□Yes □ No	
 (check d appropriation of the end o	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this restrate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining applicab ication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 p	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? 	∐Yes ⊠ No	
 (check d appropriation of the second seco	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during this performance individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) nstrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notifind poxide (CO) emissions equal to or base of the too of too	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining applicab ication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 p ly average basis and tested accor	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 ach anniversary date? ole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) arts per million by rding to EPA Method	□Yes □ No	
 (check d appropriation of the second seco	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this constrate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining applicab ication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 p ly average basis and tested accor nod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.4	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 ach anniversary date? ole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □No	
 (check d appropriate) 1. Were there any on the end of the e	ate box(es)) bejectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this constrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining applicab ication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 p ly average basis and tested accor- nod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A qual to or below the requirement to 7% O_2 and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method 	 Yes ⋈ No 	
 (check d appropriate 1. Were there any on 2. Was a visible emergination 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demond days prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demond completed within a) Carbon Mondov completed within a) Carbon Mondov large for the complete b) Oxygen test produces (Ref.: Chapter of the complete complete) (Ref.: Chapter of the complete) (Ref	ate box(es)) bejectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during this constrate individual source compliant AGP Notification form submission 1(5)(i), F.A.C.)	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining applicab ication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 p ly average basis and tested accor- nod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A qual to or below the requirement to 7% O_2 and tested according to ce operating at the manufacturer	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) earts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)?	Yes No Yes No	
 (check d appropriate 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emergination 62-297, F.A.C.)² 3. In order to demondary prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) 4. In order to demonder to demonde	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this 	site visit according to EPA Met nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining applicab ication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 p ly average basis and tested accor- nod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A qual to or below the requirement to 7% O_2 and tested according to ce operating at the manufacturer	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 ach anniversary date? ole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)?	 Yes ⋈ No 	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2) Monitoring device	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
6) Adjustments	\Box Yes \boxtimes No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes 🕅 No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes 🕅 No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one 🗹 box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	Yes No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes 🗌 No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes 🗌 No
······································	
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ne
@ 1800° F?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	у
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	ĩ
their use and for at least two years after their use?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	—
this location?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes 🗌 No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A New or Modified Process Equipment

A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	
local program office? [Yes	No
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit	
was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit? Yes	No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was	
required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates? Yes	No
a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training? Yes	No

Vincent Clark

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

10/29/2009

Date of Inspection

10/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: New facility. Operations started last month. I explained the Air General Permit requirments, including operating temperatures, visible emission limit, annual VE testing, and recordkeeping to David Broadus. VE testing was conducted on 10/09/09. The crematory was operating during my inspection. Secondary chamber temperature was above 1600 degrees F. No odors. No visible emissions.