$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS RE-INSPECTION (FU		DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 7775586 DATE: <u>3/14/13</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART: _	
FACILITY NAME: JACKSONVILLE NAVAI	L AIR STATION		
FACILITY LOCATION: 6025 Eagle Run	ı Rd		
JACKSONVIL	LE 32212-3124		
	E: RAY WIECEK 5/14/2014 and date)	PHONE: (216)524-0999 Mobile: PHONE: (216)524-0999 Mobile:	
	Facility Section		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STA		x) GNIFICANT Non-COMPLI	ANCE
DADE IL ONGUEE INEDODINEDON METE	NA C		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEET 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u>ING</u>	1	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAY W If no, who is?:	TECEK?		☐ Yes ☐No
If different, did the facility provide an administ 3. Is the facility contact still RAY WIECEK? If no, who is?:			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during t If yes, was the compliance authority notified a			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-concrete crusher, diesel/elect.pwred, 300T/hr cap

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoris is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo	cy e, Gravel; Salt;	
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}		
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	No No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	∐No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 -NMMP Plant-concrete crusher, diesel/elect.pwred, 300T/hr cap

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be suturated for purposes of this adjunction.		
10	.Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	grinding film of storage on in the production line:	1 Cs	
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	· · ·		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
7.0			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	777 J. 7771		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	YY	_ **	
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	∐No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
12	December 1711		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ 3 7	
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	∐No
T.			
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	T to 1 m		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? \[\] N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	☐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } \}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No
1	opening of the order of the opening of the opening of the opening.		

1 -NMMP Plant-concrete crusher, diesel/elect.pwred, 300T/hr cap

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 -NMMP Plant-concrete crusher, diesel/elect.pwred, 300T/hr cap

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		**	
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\vdash	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_		_
instructions?	\square	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		V	□ Mo
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design scrubbing fiquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		1 45	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\Box	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	П	Yes	□No
•	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	No
Rate:	_		_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
•			

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-screening unit, diesel/electric pwrd

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoris is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax,	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride,	
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}		
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-screening unit, diesel/electric pwrd</u>

1	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or	_	_
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	totally by their suppression systems as not considered to be such area you purposes by this definitions,		
10.1	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	5-maing min of storage om in the production mie.		
١.,	Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
'	wer suppression systems is not constacted to be suith area for purposes of this definition.		
If a	nswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
-	he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
1,	ic unisher to uni of the six questions 3 10 above is 110 then commune to question 11.		
11.	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
	, ,		
			_
If a	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
If a	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
		☐ Yes	□No
	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	☐ Yes	□No
13.1	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	Yes	□No
13.1	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
13.] If a 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests:	☐ Yes	□No
13.] If a 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	☐ Yes	□No
13.] If a 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests:	☐ Yes	No
13.] If a. 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	
13.] If a 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? *nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
13.] If a. 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **mswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
13.] If a. 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? *nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13.] If a. 14.]	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? *nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If an 14.1 is a 14.1 is a 15.1	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **mswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 i	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **mswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 i	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **mswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 i	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? *nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 i	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? Inswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 i	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? *nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 is a 15.1	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **mswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 (14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
13.1 If a. 14.1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **mswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>2 –NMMP Plant-screening unit, diesel/electric pwrd</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

2 -NMMP Plant-screening unit, diesel/electric pwrd

22 If the EU is a building anglesing any other regulated EUs and all anglesed EUs are not			
22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
v i			Į.
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Vac	\square No
	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			Į.
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			Į.
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\vdash	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
22 T		47	□ NT.
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			Į.
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į.
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		*7	□ NT.
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			Į.
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		T7 .	□ NT.
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			Į.
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Vac	\square No
	Ш	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		*7	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	H	Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ш	Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	H	Yes	□No
Rate:	Ш	103	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	Ш	105	□ī \ ∪
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity finite (See chart below)	ш	168	□INO
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	H	Yes	□No
Rate:	Ш	105	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	ш	105	₹∪
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	П	Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comphance with the opacity mint: (See chart below).	Ш	1 68	□1 N O
			ļ

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-diesel/electric power for all units,525 kW genset

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes Yes	□No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

$\underline{3}$ –NMMP Plant-diesel/electric power for all units,525 kW genset

belt conveyor in a production line the grinding mill or storage bin in the partial state of the separates marketable fines from the sufficient such that the product is with sufficient surface moisture such of the material through screening of	or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or nat processes saturated material up to the first crusher, roduction line?	ated ial sing etted	□No
downstream of wet mining operation grinding mill or storage bin in the properties of the storage bin in the properties. Wet mining operation means any nonmetallic mineral from deposition meral is saturated with water. "Some moisture such that particulate matter through screening operations, bucks	eket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line in that process saturated material up to the first crusher, roduction line?	_	□No
subpart OOO so skip the following que	s 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified	d, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip	the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
	atter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip	the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
initial startup of the EU? b. If yes, was the EU found to be in c. Was an initial VE test performed	ormed on the control device within 180 days of	- Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
individually in compliance with ea a. Was an initial PM stack test performance initial startup of the EU?	ny other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not missions limits: ormed on each vent control device within 180 days of	☐ Yes	□ No
c. Was an initial VE test performed	compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?om non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No No No

<u>3 –NMMP Plant-diesel/electric power for all units,525 kW genset</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		* 7	
instructions?	- 🔲	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vac	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing riquid now rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of 		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

<u>3 –NMMP Plant-diesel/electric power for all units,525 kW genset</u>

22. If the	EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not				
individually in compliance with emissions limits:							
a. Wa	s an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of				
i	nitial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No	
{A "ve	ent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the				
purpo.	se of exhausting from a building	air carrying particulat	te matter (PM) emissions from				
one or	· more affected EUs.}						
b. Wa	is the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No	
c. We	re initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	□No	
	23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?					□No	
	does the owner/operator mainta						
			oss of the gas stream through the				
			al basis in accordance with man				
					☐ Yes	□No	
	•	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250			
I	bascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}					
and							
			liquid flow rate to the wet scrub		_	_	
			ance with manufacturer's instruc		∐ Yes	∐No	
	·	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%			
(of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}					
	was the last VE test conducte						
	•		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	∟No	
	EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		1 0				
1	. has the EU been tested during	each of the past 4 cale	ndar years?		∐ Yes	∐No	
1	i. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	∐No	
25 Wee e	VE test conducted by the cou	u au/au augtau fau thia ss	nit duming this site visit?		□ Vas	□ No	
			nit during this site visit? ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No □No	
	is the vE test conducted at a pro Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?		res	NO	
		ng to FDA Method 02			☐ Yes	□No	
c The	e VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	act civ minuta avaraga			140	
d Die	I the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No	
u. Di	the VE test demonstrate comp.	nance with the opacity	mint: (See chart below)			140	
26 Was a	VE test conducted by the ins	nector for this unit due	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No	
					Yes	□No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate:							
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?							
	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.						
			limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No	
J	r	<i>-</i>	(2000)				
		VE Opac	ity Limits				
		EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	000 EU		
		40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constru	constructed, modified,		
		Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recor	structed or	ı or	
			to 4/22/2008	after 4/2			
Crush	er with no capture system	20%	15%		12%		
l	her affected EUs	20%	10%		7%		
7 111 00	nor unocood DOS	2070	1070		1 /0		
ı							

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor	—	_
drop points)? \[\] N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s)	□ v ₂₀	□ мо
on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	∐ No □ No
d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control		
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? \[\sum N/A \]	Yes	□ No
b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ Yes	∐No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one
	(check 🗹 box for each c	
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each o	uestion)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNo
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception 	box for each of the box for each of box for ea	uestion) NoNo
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	box for each of the box fo	uestion) NoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the box fo	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the box fo	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene 	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNoNo

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		only one question) No
3.	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	SS	□No
	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operator permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No □No □No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nun associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine.	box finber of the facility or authorized representative no location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility?	YesNo		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?				
William Coffman Inspector's Name (Please Print)	3/14/13 Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	on		

COMMENTS: Walked thru Wigmore St. storage site Unit was not there. Spoke with Mr. Wiecek Unit Has moved out of State of Florida, No relocation notice on file. Was apparently at NAS for a short while.