

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)]			
AIRS ID#: 0251308 DATE: <u>8/23/2012</u>	ARRIVE: 9:32 A	M DEPA	RT: <u>10:18 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CBS CONCRETE BLOCK &	PAVER PLANTS					
FACILITY LOCATION: 5804 SW 177TH A	VE					
MIAMI 33193-53	300					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/6/2009 / 4/6/20 (effective date) (end date)	14	PHONE: (305)408 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	3-3444			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): JORGE RIN Brief Notes:	_		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
Is the Authorized Representative still SERGIO AI If no, who is?:	BILLEIRA?		Yes	⊠No		
If different, did the facility provide an administration 3. Is the facility contact still?				⊠No □No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea				□No □No		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-Block Plant silo (cement)w/silotopbaghouse,75Tcap. subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check \square only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 7/19/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection of the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Stora Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Storage	box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precessions by:	autions to control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall i 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ya 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chem control emissions?	rds? Yes No No nicals when necessary to
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas und owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reparticulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to miti	reduce airborne
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the control of the con	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? - c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		(check only one box	
		for each qu	uestion)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the self-yr 23,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of the self-yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of the self-yr 23,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal diesel/yr 24 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of the self-yr 23,000 gal diesel/yr 24,000 gal	$\frac{\text{pane/yr}}{\text{nne/yr}} \le 1.00$)?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumers for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check v on for each qu	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	N ***	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	🔀 Yes	∐ No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		check 🗹 or	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (stationary and relocatable	for each question 2.)	uestion)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)] Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi 	prior to changing location?] Yes	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation? cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]] Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate		Yes	∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	t in that separate permit:] Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long is co-located at the permitted facility?	[Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? -] Yes	∐ No
COVANCES			
<u>CHANGES</u>		check 🗹 or for each qu	•
Administrative Changes:		•	icstion)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation 			
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm			☐ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	s of the change?] Yes	⊠ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement		Yes	No No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub-	stantially different?] Yes	⊠ No
d. A change in ownership?		Yes	⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?	ion form and the appropriate fee submitte	ed] Yes	□ No
FRANK DELGADO	8/23/2012		
FRANK DELGADO Inspector's Name (Please Print)	8/23/2012 Date of Inspection		

COMMENTS: EUGENE SCHALTENBRAND PERFORMED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE CONCRETE BLOCK PLANT CEMENT SILO. THE PAVERS PLANT IS TEMPORARILY CLOSED AT THIS TIME. THE VE TEST STARTED AT APPROXIMATELY 9:40 A.M., THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 9 PSI. I DID

NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE THIRTY (30) MINUTES TEST.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 4:43 pm, Sep 05, 2012