

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775580 DA '	TE: <u>9/18/09</u>	ARRIVE: 11am	DEPART: 1pm	
FACILITY NAME: FLO	ORIDA ROCK BROOKSVIL	LE PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION	14556 Ponce DeLeon	BLVD		
	Brooksville 34601-	8422		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: D.	ANIEL GOODWIN PHO	ONE: (352)796-0149	
CONTACT NAME:		PHO	ONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 3/23/2009 / 3/23/20 (effective date) (end date)	14		
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFI	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II-A: AIR GENE	RAL PERMITS – Rule 62-2 re box(es))	10.310, F.A.C.		
	<u>DURES – Confirmation of E</u>			
1.Does this facility ke a) 10 tons per yea	eep records to show that it doe ar or more of any hazardous ai	s not have the potential to em r pollutant?	ut: 	A
b) 25 tons per yea	ar or more of any combination	of hazardous air pollutants?-	Yes No N/	A
		ated air pollutants?	Yes No N/	A
2. Does this facility of				
of units and ac	units or activities not covered by tivities that are exempt from p 40, F.A.C.?;	ermitting pursuant to subsect	ion Rule 62-210.300(3), F.A.C., Yes No No	A
general permit	units or activities authorized by and the air general permit of it cility?	nterest specifically allow the	use of one another	Α
	•			
1. Has the owner or	<u>DURES – Initial Registration</u> operator of this facility completed specific air general permit to	eted and submitted the proper		A
*			te)?;	
PART II-A: <u>AIR GI</u>	ENERAL PERMITS – Rule			
(check R appropriat		sout of the feeilit-9.	□ \$7 □ \$1- □ \$1/-	Α.
	ny new administrative, constru	· ·	Yes No N/.	A
a re-registration?-			Yes No N/	<u>A</u>

GENERAL CONDITIONS - Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C. 1. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?;
2. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?;
3. Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?; Yes No N/A
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?;
4. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?
PART II-B: <u>DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u>
(check \mathbf{R} only <u>one</u> box)
FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) (If you have checked R this category, answer all questions INCLUDING those with **.)
Subject Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked R this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)
PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. (check R appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point: **a) exceed 7% percent opacity?
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No

PART III: <u>EMISSION</u> <u>STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont. (check R appropriate box(es))
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed 7% percent opacity?
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? [Yes No
**2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a</i>)) Yes No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) </i>
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is: 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent?
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}$ % percent opacity? \square Yes \square No
**5. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? Yes No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line?

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.)
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? Yes □ No
<u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? Yes No
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? Yes No
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?
**d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
Performance/Compliance Testing
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?

Yes 🗌 No
Yes No
Yes 🗌 No
Yes No
Yes No
Yes No
Yes No
Yes No
x for Yes No te Yes No Yes No
\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued)
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for: **1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream? Yes No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?
PART VI: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.
(check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary [; 2) a relocatable [; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [(Please check R only one box.)
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis?
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?
5. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (<i>not a Title V source</i>)?

PART VII: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION 210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C.	CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-
(check R appropriate box(es))	
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	
emissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars l	
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, ar	drop points? Yes No No nd yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards? Yes No
2) application of water or environmentally safe du	st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control Yes No
re-entrainment, and from building or work area	her paved areas under control of the owner/operator to s to reduce airborne particulate matter?
•	
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipmatter?	ment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems	?
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems	?
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems' PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment	
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C.
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C.
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. —————————————————————————————————
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. —————————————————————————————————
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially of the second substantial substantially of the second substantial	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes No replacement?————————————————————————————————————
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050)	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes No replacement?————————————————————————————————————
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050)	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes No replacement?————————————————————————————————————
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050 local program office?	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes No replacement?————————————————————————————————————
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050 local program office?	ES – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. Yes No replacement?————————————————————————————————————

COMMENTS: Boxes left blank were not applicable during this inspection. This was this unit's initial inspection. I went to verify that this crusher was the one that was permitted. I met with Daniel Goodwin who is part owner of the company. The crusher was not onsite due to repairs. Mr Goodwin informed me that the crusher has not been one this site from since 6/19/09. I told Mr. Goodwin that we have not received any relocation notices for this facility. He said we sent them in and he will show me. I followed him to his office, which was across the street from the Florida Rock quarry which is where the crusher was supposed to be according to ARMS. I met with Tara Miller, who is the office secretary that sends out the relocation notices. I found out that the relocation notices were sent to the wrong district office (Orange County) due to a misunderstanding and some confusion. I was told by Mr. Goodwin that this is the Goodwin Bros first crusher with an air permit, so I gave them some compliance assistance by explaining the process for moving a relocatable crusher and that it will need to be tested after 30 days of operation. They told me that Koogler will be doing the test in October. I had Tara Miller give me a hard copy of the current relocation notices and told her to email me the rest of them. I told Mr. Goodwin and Ms. Miller that I will sort through what they have given me and I will let them knowif I had any questions, then i went back to the office.

After reviewing the emails and the relocation ntices back at the office I saw that the relocation notices were sent to Orange County's local program. The instructions from Koogler (consultants) were not specific in terms of which district to send information to. I updated ARMS with the relocation notices and sent Tara Miller some information and instructions that will benefit them in the future. Also I have not seen the crusher as of yet so I will be conducting a follow up inspection in a week to verify the units model and serial numbers.