

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	· / <del>-</del>		
AIRS ID#: 1270056 DA	TE: <u>11/23/09</u>	ARRIVE: 9:50 a.m.	DEPART: <u>11;25 a.m.</u>		
FACILITY NAME: TR	I-CITY DIVERSIFIED SERVI	ICES			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3713 OLD DELAND F	ROAD			
	DAYTONA BEACH	32124-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: L.J	. Summers PHON	E: (386)255-7623		
CONTACT NAME: C	Chris Hopkins	PHON	E: (386)255-7623		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>OD:</b> 6/17/2006 / 6/17/201 (effective date) (end date)	1			
PART I: INSPECTION  IN COMPLIANA	CE MINOR Non-COM	•	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II. TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	<u> </u>	A C		
(check <b>appropriate</b>		- Kule 02-290.401, F			
		s site visit according to EPA Mo			
62-297, F.A.C.)?-			\(\big \)Yes \(\big \) No		
3. In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)					
4. In order to demon	strate individual source complia	ance were the remaining applica			
completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by					
		rly average basis and tested acco			
b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?  Yes No c) Particulate matter emissions test with results equal to or below the requirements of 0.080 grains per					
dry standard cubic	c foot (ft <sup>3</sup> )of flue gas, corrected	to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	to EPA Method 5		
5. Was all emissions	testing conducted with the sour	rce operating at the manufacture	ers recommended		
6. Was CO & PM co	ompliance demonstrated by sub-	mission of a test report for an id or to the date of the last formal c	entical crematory unit? Tyes No		
8. Was the required to	test report filed with the Depart	ment as soon as practical, but no	o longer than 45 days after		
the test was comp	netea /				

(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to recon	
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	Yes No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	recording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes □ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	⊠Yes ∐ No
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐Yes ∐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes □ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>box</b> )	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F°	? Yes No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperatur	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$ ?	⊠Yes □ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	LYes L No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence	time
@ 1800° F?	Yes No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crema	
process begins in the primary chamber?	- ⊠Yes □ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that t	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	☐Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
a) installation of any new process equipment?		⊠No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment withou	-	⊠No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
Allen Rainey	11/23/09			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	<del></del>		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspectio	<u> </u>		

## **COMMENTS:**

- 1. I, Allen Rainey, witnessed visible emissions (VE) testing and performed a compliance inspection. I met with Lawrence Summers, Chris Hopkins, and Barry Meyers of the facility and Stephen Webb of Coastal Air Consulting, Inc. The VE testing was performed by Mr. Webb. No visible emissions were noted from any of the three crematories except heat waves.
- 2. Reviewed temperature charts for all three units from January 2009 to the present. Since February 9, 2009, three days after an enforcement meeting was held at the Department, the facility's charts demonstrate compliance with operation temperature and record keeping requirements. Since that date, there are one to four cremations per chart, and a blank chart is installed on each unit daily. Arrows drawn on the charts designate cremation start and start times. Unit #1, manufactured in 1986 (serial number remains unidentifiable), operates at an average temperature of approximately 1,620 degrees F. Unit #2 (serial number 104329) and Unit #3 (serial number 0781100), both manufactured after 1989, operate at average temperatures of approximately 1,700 degrees F. and 1,675 degrees F., respectively. These temperatures are above the required temperatures. The temperatures displayed by the program logic controllers and the analog chart recorders appeared to be in agreement on all units.
- 3. Spot checked temperature charts from September 2008 to December 2008, which are dates covered and resolved by the last enforcement case (OGC #09-0986).
- 4. Reviewed maintenance records from January 2009 to the present. The records show that a thermocouple was replaced in May on Unit #3, that the afterburner for Unit #2 was repaired in September and that the opacity monitors on the units were checked in August. The floors in Units 1 and 2 were rebuilt during the year.
- 5. Questions 4, 4a, 4b, 4c, 6, 8 in Part II, questions 6 and 6a in Part III and questions 1d, 2, 3 and 3a in Part IV are not applicable
- 6. Questions 1a, 1b, 3a, 3d and 4a in Part III will be determined during a future compliance inspection.
- 7. No objectionable odors were detected on or off of the facility property.