	NUMERTAL PROTT	CTION	
BI GHI	1	a car	
FI	ORIDA	T	1
			-

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE			
AIRS ID#: 0951326 DATE: <u>12/8/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:55 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: MOBILE MIX MASTERS				
FACILITY LOCATION: 3208 OVERLAND RI	D			
АРОРКА 32703-94	+73			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: PP		E: (407)294-8878		
Email: CONTACT NAME: PETER PIACENTI		E: (407)294-8878		
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/15/2009 / 3/15/20 (effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
[
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>		(check \mathbf{v} only one box for each question)		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):		000 101 00011 9000001		
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still PETER PIACE If no, who is?:	ENTI?	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still PETER PIACENTI? If no, who is?:	• update within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo YesNo		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's	s inspection?	YesNo		

If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? -----

Yes Yes

...No

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{4}{10}/2009$	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	T X 7	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		No No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: 4/10/2009		L -···
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	
	=	
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes Yes	No No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>33</u> tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? \square N/A	Yes	🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	\square Yes	
1. Did tile test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing:		
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		-
	box for each	question
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		∐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
	Yes	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		□ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
		I
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	nducted at a ra	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not load		pection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	🛛 Yes	No No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	_	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	No No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	\Box Yes <i>h</i> .	🛛 No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>and go to</i> 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes	
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>and go to</i> 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes te and	No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	 Yes H. Yes Yes Yes 	🛛 No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes <i>h.</i> ☐ Yes te and ☐ Yes tes	No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes <i>h.</i> ☐ Yes te and ☐ Yes tes	No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes te and ☐ Yes tes i is separate	No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3 below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3 and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes tee and ☐ Yes tes i is separate ector	☑ No☑ No☑ No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	 ☐ Yes <i>h</i>. ☐ Yes <i>i</i>e and ☐ Yes <i>i</i>es <i>i</i>s separate <i>i</i>ector <i>i</i>ector <i>i</i>ector <i>i</i>ector <i>i</i>ector <i>i</i>ector <i>i</i>ector 	No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes te and ☐ Yes tes is separate ector ☐ Yes es	 No No No No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes <i>h</i> . $ Yes $ <i>i</i> e and $ Yes $ <i>i</i> es <i>i</i> is separate <i>ector</i> $ Yes $ <i>i</i> es. $ Yes $	 No No No No No No No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes <i>h</i> . $ Yes $ <i>i</i> e and $ Yes $ <i>i</i> es <i>i</i> is separate <i>ector</i> $ Yes $ <i>i</i> es. $ Yes $	 No No No No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> g.1) – g.3) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes <i>h</i> . $ Yes $ <i>i</i> e and $ Yes $ <i>i</i> es <i>i</i> is separate <i>ector</i> $ Yes $ <i>i</i> es. $ Yes $	 No No No No No No No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration?	Yes $ h. Yes $ $ he and Yes $ $ tes $ $ his separate $ $ ector $ $ Yes $ $ es. $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes$	 No No No No No No No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes $ h. Yes $ $ he and Yes $ $ tes $ $ his separate $ $ ector $ $ Yes $ $ es. $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes$	 ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No ⋈ No
 g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? <i>If YES, then continue on to questions g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip g.1</i>) – <i>g.3</i>) <i>and go to</i> Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes $ h. Yes $ $ he and Yes $ $ tes $ $ his separate $ $ ector $ $ Yes $ $ es. $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes $ $ Yes$	 No No No No No No No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🛛 Yes - 🕅 Yes	No No No No No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🕅 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Var	□ No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹 box for each	•
 Is the facility: stationary X; relocatable ; or consisting of be concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants 			• /
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement at soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	······		🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No 	day prior to changing location?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bu c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Not to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	ification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900	0(6)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separa	ate air construction or air operation p		
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions u a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine If YES, what was the purpose?	purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa	age)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how lon co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration			D No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number	of the facility or outhorized represe	box for each	question)
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor at 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days.	tion of the facility or any emissions dministrative change at the facility?	units or 🏾 Yes	⊠ No □ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
 a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is so 	ent?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
d. A change in ownership?		Yes	No No
 If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new regist 30 days prior to the change? 		submitted	🗌 No
Illra Dundu			
Ilka Bundy	12/8/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	12/8/2010 Date of Inspection		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

COMMENTS: Ilka Bundy met with Bruno Ferraro, consultant from Grove Scientific, and Pete Piacenti, R.O., on 12/8/2010 to audit the visible emissions test on the cement silo. It should be noted that Bruno Ferraro took over the consulting for this business to help the facility determine the leaking problem with the bags in the silo. It was determined that the bags were suffering from a condition known as "bag blinding" due to lack of maintenance. The bags were not being shaken enough, as deemed necessary to keep the dust from over-caking on the bags. The facility was told by the consultant that the bags need to be shaken daily to prevent future bag blinding. The lack of proper operation of the pollution control device was the cause of previous emissions observed coming from the baghouse vent. The inspector was also told that a new shaker system was installed when the higher-grade bags

were installed. For the compliance test conducted on 12/8/10, the observed opacity was zero percent and the loading rate was 25.47 TPH. This facility mixes the final product at the destination. There is no weigh hopper mixing conducted at this facility.